



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



[There is no reportable action as a result of the 1 Board of Supervisors' closed session held today.] 2 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS 6 NOW IN SESSION AND WE'LL ASK EVERYONE TO RISE FOR THE 7 8 INVOCATION AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. THE INVOCATION WILL BE LED BY THE RIGHT REVEREND C. HOPETON CLENNON, MORAVIAN 9 CHURCH OF DOWNEY, AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WILL BE LED BY 10 MARTIN LIPIN, CHAPLAIN OF POST NUMBER 603 OF THE JEWISH WAR 11 VETERANS OF THE UNITED STATES OF ENCINO. REVEREND CLENNON? 12 13 THE RIGHT REVEREND C. HOPETON CLENNON: GOOD MORNING, FRIENDS. 14 15 TODAY, THERE WILL BE MUCH FOR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO 16 RECOMMEND, TO APPROVE, TO ADOPT, TO ALLOCATE, TO AUTHORIZE, TO AWARD, TO RECTIFY BUT FOR NOW I INVITE US TO PAUSE TO SHARE A 17 18 MOMENT OF SILENCE IN THE PRESENCE OF ALMIGHTY GOD. LET US PRAY 19 UNTO THE LORD. GRACIOUS GOD, YOU ARE WORTHY OF OUR PRAISE AND ADORATION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR GIFT OF LOVE AND THE OPPORTUNITY 20 AND DESIRE TO SHARE LOVE, IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR 21 THOSE AROUND US. THANK YOU FOR YOUR GIFT OF PEACE AND THE 22 ABILITY AND WILL TO FOSTER PEACE, INCREASING SAFETY AND 23 WELLBEING FOR THOSE AROUND US. WE ARE SORRY FOR ANY LACK OF 24 TRUST AND THE EASE WITH WHICH WE HURT FEELINGS. WE ARE SORRY 25



- 1 FOR ANY MISSED OPPORTUNITIES AND THE CASUAL MANNER IN WHICH WE
- 2 DELAY DOING GOOD. WE ACCEPT YOUR FORGIVENESS AND COMMIT
- 3 OURSELVES TO EVEN GREATER SERVICE. ALMIGHTY GOD, BLESS THIS
- 4 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, BE THE GUIDING LIGHT FOR LOS ANGELES
- 5 COUNTY, ENCOURAGE RESIDENTS AND ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES TO
- 6 EAGERLY RISE TO MEET THE CHALLENGES OF OUR AGE. TO YOU AND YOU
- 7 ALONE, BE ALL HONOR AND GLORY. AMEN.

8

- 9 MARTIN LIPIN: FOLKS, PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART AND
- 10 LEAD ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

11

12 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 14 SUPERVISOR KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 15 BOARD, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT'S MY PRIVILEGE TO TAKE A
- 16 MOMENT TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO THE RIGHT
- 17 REVEREND HOPETON CLENNON WHO, AS YOU HEARD, IS THE BISHOP OF
- 18 THE WORLDWIDE MORAVIAN CHURCH AND IS A PASTOR OF THE MORAVIAN
- 19 CHURCH OF DOWNEY. BISHOP CLENNON WAS BORN IN JAMAICA, WAS
- 20 ORDAINED AS A DEACON IN THE JAMAICAN PROVINCE OF THE MORAVIAN
- 21 CHURCH IN 1983. IN 1989, HE CAME TO THE UNITED STATES AND,
- 22 SINCE THAT TIME, HE HAS SERVED IN VARIOUS MINISTRY ROLES FOR
- 23 THE CHURCH. REVEREND CLENNON ENJOYS MANY HOBBIES, INCLUDING
- 24 PHOTOGRAPHY AND STAMP COLLECTING. HE AND HIS WIFE, SHEILA,
- 25 HAVE BEEN MARRIED FOR ALMOST 19 YEARS AND HAVE TWO BEAUTIFUL



- 1 CHILDREN, SO WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT REVEREND CLENNON WITH A
- 2 CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION, THANKING HIM FOR TAKING TIME OUT
- 3 OF HIS VERY BUSY SCHEDULE TO JOIN AND TO LEAD US IN A MOST
- 4 WONDERFUL INVOCATION. [APPLAUSE]

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. IT GIVES ME GREAT
- 7 PLEASURE TO PRESENT THIS CERTIFICATE TO MARTIN LIPIN, WHO LED
- 8 US IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE THIS MORNING. MARTIN IS
- 9 REPRESENTING THE JEWISH WAR VETERANS POST 603 OF ENCINO, WHERE
- 10 HE IS THE CHAPLAIN. HE SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY FROM
- 11 1952 THROUGH '54 AS A PRIVATE FIRST CLASS. HE SERVED IN 65TH
- 12 INFANTRY REGIMENTAL COMBAT TEAM IN THE KOREAN WAR IN VARIOUS
- 13 BATTLES, RECEIVED THE COMBAT INFANTRY BADGE, PRESIDENTIAL UNIT
- 14 CITATION, GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL, KOREAN SERVICE MEDAL WITH TWO
- 15 STARS, THE UNITED NATIONS SERVICE MEDAL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
- 16 PRESIDENTIAL UNIT CITATION, THE KOREAN DEFENSE SERVICE MEDAL.
- 17 HE IS RETIRED, HAS LIVED IN OUR DISTRICT FOR 44 YEARS. HE'S
- 18 MARRIED WITH THREE CHILDREN, HIS FAMILY IS IN THE FRONT ROW
- 19 THERE. WELCOME. ORIGINALLY HAILS FROM NEW TOWN HIGH SCHOOL IN
- 20 QUEENS, NEW YORK, AND HE ATTENDED NEW YORK CITY COLLEGE IN NEW
- 21 YORK, MY MOTHER'S ALMA MATER AS WELL, AND WE WANT TO THANK YOU
- 22 FOR LEADING US IN THE PLEDGE THIS MORNING, MARTIN, AND FOR
- 23 YOUR SERVICE TO OUR NATION. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THE AGENDA?



1

- 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 3 BOARD, WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 4, NOTICES OF
- 4 CLOSED SESSION. ON ITEM C.S. 2, AS NOTED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL
- 5 AGENDA, THIS ITEM WAS TAKEN OFF CALENDAR.

6

7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 7, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE
- 10 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEMS 1-D THROUGH 4-D. ON
- 11 ITEM 1-D, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE
- 12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
- 13 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK TO MAY 8TH,
- 14 2007.

15

16 SUPERVISOR KNABE: WHICH ITEM WAS THAT?

17

18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 1-D.

19

- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 21 THAT WILL BE CONTINUED.

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ITEMS 2-D THROUGH 4-D ARE BEFORE YOU.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE MOVES, MS. BURKE
- 2 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 5 AUTHORITY, ITEMS 1-H AND 2-H.

6

- 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MS. BURKE MOVES, ANTONOVICH
- 8 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 11 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEMS 1-P AND 2-P.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, ANTONOVICH
- 14 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 20.
- 17 ON ITEM NUMBER 5, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 18 REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM 17, SUPERVISOR
- 19 YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD AND ALSO ON ITEM
- 20 18, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD.

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE: I THINK I HAVE A CONTINUANCE OF ONE WEEK ON
- 23 17.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT IF
- 2 YOU-- IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO CONTINUING IT ONE WEEK?

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: NO, THAT'S NO PROBLEM. IT'S MY
- 5 UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS AMENDMENTS BEING NEGOTIATED IN
- 6 SACRAMENTO ON THIS PROPOSAL, SO ONE WEEK WOULD BE NO PROBLEM
- 7 AND WE CAN GET AN UPDATE AS TO THE COMPROMISE THAT'S BEING
- 8 RECOMMENDED BY THE AUTHOR AND THE INDUSTRY.

9

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 11 ITEM 17 WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK. ITEM 18 WILL BE HELD AND
- 12 THEN ON THE REMAINDER...

13

14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ITEM 5 WILL ALSO BE HELD.

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND ITEM 5 IS BEING HELD. ON
- 17 THE REMAINDER, ANTONOVICH MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS. UNANIMOUS
- 18 VOTE.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEM 21.

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
- 23 UNANIMOUS VOTE.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AUDITOR- CONTROLLER. ON ITEM 22, AS
- 2 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
- 3 OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MAY
- 4 8TH, 2007.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL
- 7 BE THE ORDER.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, ITEM 23.

10

- 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 12 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES, ITEMS 24
- 15 THROUGH 28.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 18 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

19

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: FIRE DEPARTMENT, ITEMS 29 AND 30. ON ITEM
- 21 29, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM
- 22 BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MAY 8TH, 2007.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL
- 2 BE THE ORDER. ITEM 30, ANTONOVICH MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
- 3 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 31 THROUGH 35. ON
- 6 ITEM 35, SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT ONLY THE L.A.C./U.S.C.
- 7 SETTLEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF 75,000 BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO
- 8 MAY 15, 2007. THE OTHER ITEMS SHOULD BE APPROVED TODAY.

9

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT
- 11 PROVISO, BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ON THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEMS, 31
- 14 THROUGH 34?

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. THAT INCLUDED 31
- 17 THROUGH 34.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HUMAN RESOURCES, ITEM 36.

20

- 21 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 22 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 37 THROUGH 39.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE
- 2 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEMS 40 THROUGH 45.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 7 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PROBATION, ITEMS 46 AND 47. ON ITEM 46, THE
- 10 CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER REQUESTS A ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE TO MAY
- 11 8TH, 2007.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL
- 14 BE THE ORDER. ON THE REMAINDER, 47 AND 48?

15

16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: JUST 47.

17

- 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 47, SORRY. KNABE MOVES,
- 19 BURKE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

20

21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEALTH, ITEM 48.

22

- 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 24 UNANIMOUS VOTE.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 49 THROUGH 70. ON ITEM
- 2 50, SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK THAT WE GET A ONE-
- 5 WEEK CONTINUANCE ON ITEM 50?

6

- 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT? ALL
- 8 RIGHT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 50 WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 51, THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
- 11 THAT REQUESTED THIS ITEM BE HELD.

12

13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MS. BURKE MOVES, MR.
- 18 ANTONOVICH SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PAGE 33, REGIONAL PLANNING, ITEM 71.

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH MOVES-- MR.
- 23 KNABE MOVES, MR. ANTONOVICH SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SHERIFF, ITEM 72.



1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES AND KNABE 2 3 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEMS 73 AND 74. 6 7 8 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, BURKE SECONDS. 9 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION, ITEM 75 AND 12 I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS A 13 ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 22.16.230 OF TITLE 22, PLANNING AND ZONING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE CHANGING REGULATIONS FOR 14 THE EXECUTION OF THE GENERAL PLAN RELATING TO THE WORKMAN MILL 15 16 ZONE DISTRICT NUMBER 97. 17 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THAT'S ITEM 76, 19 CORRECT? 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 75. 21 22 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 75. MR. KNABE MOVES, MS.

MOLINA SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION, ITEM 76
- 2 THROUGH 78.

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, ANTONOVICH
- 5 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC HEARINGS. ON ITEMS 79 AND 80, WE
- 8 WILL HOLD THOSE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING. MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS
- 9 TO THE AGENDA REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF
- 10 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN
- 11 ADVANCE OF THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL
- 12 AGENDA. ITEM 81-A.

13

- 14 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 15 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 81-B.

18

- 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES AND BURKE
- 20 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

21

22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 81-C.

- 24 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE
- 25 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 81-D. 2 3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE 4 5 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. 6 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 81-E. 8 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, KNABE 10 SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND ON 81-F, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY 12 13 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE 14 15 BOARD, ON ITEM A-3, THERE IS A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT 16 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. AND THAT WILL COMPLETE THE READING OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS 17 18 BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NUMBER 1. 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GLORIA, DO YOU HAVE ANY 20 21 PRESENTATIONS? 22 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NO, I DON'T HAVE ANY PRESENTATIONS. 24

SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE.



1

- 2 SUPERVISOR BURKE: THANK YOU. WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK TO COME
- 3 FORWARD THE ARTS EDUCATION, COMPTON UNIFIED DISTRICT. WE HAVE
- 4 FELICIA MANCINI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AND TRICIA STEEL, PROGRAM
- 5 DIRECTOR, OF THE MR. HOLLAND'S OPUS FOUNDATION. JERRY
- 6 EBERHARDT IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE WESTERN DIVISION OF SMITH-
- 7 BARNEY CORPORATION. THE AUDREY AND SIDNEY IRMAS CHARITABLE
- 8 FOUNDATION, CHRISTI WILKINS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF DRAMATIC
- 9 RESULTS AND LANETTE WHITE, VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS
- 10 ADMINISTRATOR OF COMPTON UNIFIED. I RECENTLY ACKNOWLEDGED THE
- 11 WONDERFUL CONTRIBUTION BEING MADE BY ORGANIZATIONS
- 12 COLLECTIVELY PARTNERING TO BRING ARTS EDUCATION, DANCE, MUSIC
- 13 THEATRE AND VISUAL ARTS TO THE COMPTON UNIFIED SCHOOL
- 14 DISTRICT. HERE TODAY ARE ADDITIONAL PARTNERS I'D LIKE TO
- 15 COMMEND FOR THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO THE COUNTY'S ART FOR ALL
- 16 INITIATIVE. NOW, FELICIA MANCINI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF MR.
- 17 HOLLAND'S OPUS FOUNDATION, AND TRICIA STEEL, PROGRAM DIRECTOR,
- 18 I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN SECURING THE
- 19 DONATION OF INSTRUMENTS AND FUNDING FOR BOTH SMITH-BARNEY AND
- 20 THE AUDREY AND SIDNEY IRMAS CHARITABLE FOUNDATION FOR ARTS
- 21 EDUCATION. [APPLAUSE]

- 23 SUPERVISOR BURKE: JERRY EBERHARDT, DIRECTOR OF THE WESTERN
- 24 DIVISION, SMITH BARNEY, THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN



- 1 SECURING THE DONATION OF INSTRUMENTS AND FUNDING FOR ROOSEVELT
- 2 MIDDLE SCHOOL. [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR BURKE: AND THE AUDREY AND SIDNEY IRMAS FOUNDATION,
- 5 THEY'RE NOT HERE TODAY BUT CHRISTI WILKINS IS HERE. AND TO
- 6 CHRISTI WILKINS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, DRAMATIC RESULTS, AND
- 7 YOU'VE BEEN HERE BEFORE AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN
- 8 HELPING COMPTON UNIFIED SECURE FEDERAL FUNDING FOR THE MATH
- 9 AND BASKET PROGRAM WHICH WILL SERVICE 300 STUDENTS IN THE
- 10 DISTRICT'S ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FOR THREE YEARS AND THE SUCCESS
- 11 OF THE INCREASINGLY MATH PROGRAM-- INCREASING MATH PROGRAM,
- 12 WHICH HAS ALREADY HELPED TO IMPROVE AND INCREASE MATH SCORES.
- 13 [APPLAUSE]

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR BURKE: AND LANETTE WHITE, VISUAL AND PERFORMING
- 16 ARTS ADMINISTRATOR, COMPTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, THANK YOU
- 17 FOR YOUR VISION AND ALL THE WORK YOU'VE PUT IN TO MAKE
- 18 STUDENTS ART EDUCATION BENEFITS SUCH A SUCCESS AT COMPTON
- 19 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT [APPLAUSE]

- 21 LANETTE WHITE: I JUST WANT TO THANK THE SUPERVISOR FOR HER
- 22 SUPPORT OF COMPTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT. I'VE ONLY BEEN IN
- 23 THE POSITION FOR EIGHT MONTHS BUT WE'VE DONE A LOT OF WORK IN
- 24 THIS TIME AND WE'VE SECURED OVER \$350,000 WORTH OF INSTRUMENTS



- 1 ALREADY AND WE'RE WAY EXCITED ABOUT WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS.
- 2 THANK YOU SO MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 TRICIA STEEL: ON BEHALF OF THE MR. HOLLAND'S OPUS FOUNDATION,
- 5 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS HONORABLE AWARD. THANK YOU TO THE
- 6 BOARD, TO THE COUNTY, TO COMPTON UNIFIED, TO LANETTE, EVERYONE
- 7 THERE FOR SUPPORTING THE ARTS IN THE SCHOOLS, WHICH IS SO VERY
- 8 IMPORTANT. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 JERRY EBERHARDT: GOOD MORNING. ON BEHALF OF SMITH-BARNEY, I
- 11 WANT TO THANK COMPTON SCHOOL FOR ALLOWING US TO COME IN ONE
- 12 DAY AND SURPRISE THE KIDS AND PROVIDE THEM WITH ALL THE
- 13 INSTRUMENTS THAT WE WERE ABLE TO BRING OVER. PRINCIPAL WHITE
- 14 WAS AMAZING, THE MOST ENTHUSIASTIC PRINCIPAL I'VE EVER SEEN
- 15 AND I LOOK FORWARD TO COMING BACK AND HEARING THE KIDS PLAY
- 16 THEIR INSTRUMENTS, SO THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

17

- 18 CHRISTI WILKINS: THANK YOU FOR THIS HONOR. I'D LIKE TO HAVE IT
- 19 PLEASE BE IN MEMORY OF U.S. CONGRESSWOMAN JUANITA MILLENDER-
- 20 MCDONALD. THANKS TO HER SUPPORT, WE DID GET SUPPORT FROM THE
- 21 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO BRING THOSE SERVICES TO THE
- 22 COMPTON STUDENTS.

23

24 SUPERVISOR BURKE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]



- 1 SUPERVISOR BURKE: CAN WE TAKE A PICTURE OF EVERYONE? I'D LIKE
- 2 TO NOW CALL UP L.A. COUNTY POLICE CHIEF MARGARET A. YORK.
- 3 WELL, CHIEF YORK WAS RECENTLY RECOGNIZED BY CONGRESSMAN ADAM
- 4 SCHIFF AS THE 29TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT WOMAN OF THE YEAR.
- 5 CHIEF YORK'S UNTIRING DEDICATION TO QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES HAS
- 6 POSITIVELY IMPACTED THE LIVES OF RESIDENTS THROUGHOUT THE
- 7 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. NOT ONLY IS SHE COMMITTED TO THE LOS
- 8 ANGELES COUNTY POLICE BUT SHE VOLUNTEERS HER FREE TIME TO MANY
- 9 COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS. CHIEF YORK IS A MEMBER AND FORMER
- 10 VICE CHAIR OF THE METROPOLITAN BOARD OF THE SALVATION ARMY,
- 11 FOUNDING MEMBER OF THE ARMY OF ANGELS, FOUNDING MEMBER AND
- 12 VICE CHAIR OF THE POLICE HISTORICAL SOCIETY, MEMBER OF L.A. 5
- 13 ROTARY CLUB AND BOARD MEMBER OF WOMEN AGAINST GUN VIOLENCE.
- 14 ALSO A TRUSTEE OF THE Y.W.C.A. OF GREATER LOS ANGELES. IT'S
- 15 WITH GREAT PLEASURE THAT WE PRESENT THIS SCROLL TO CHIEF YORK
- 16 FOR ALL OF HER WORK AND HER RECOGNITION BY CONGRESSMAN SCHIFF.
- 17 [APPLAUSE]

- 19 CHIEF MARGARET YORK: THANK YOU SO MUCH. THIS IS REALLY AN
- 20 HONOR FOR ME TO BE RECOGNIZED BY THE BOARD IN THIS WAY. I
- 21 APPRECIATE IT VERY, VERY MUCH. WHEN I STARTED MY CAREER AND
- 22 BECAME A POLICE OFFICER IN 1968, I HAD NO IDEA WHERE THIS
- 23 JOURNEY WAS GOING TO LEAD AND, 39 YEARS LATER, I'M VERY, VERY
- 24 PROUD TO SERVE AS CHIEF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY POLICE. WE HAVE
- 25 A WONDERFUL DEDICATED GROUP OF MEN AND WOMEN WHO ARE COMMITTED



- 1 TO A SAFE ENVIRONMENT IN ALL OF THE BUILDINGS AND THE
- 2 HOSPITALS THAT LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROVIDES SUCH GREAT SERVICE
- 3 TO AND ALSO TO THE BEAUTIFUL PARK SYSTEM OF LOS ANGELES
- 4 COUNTY. ONE OF THE GREAT BENEFITS OF MY LONG CAREER IN LAW
- 5 ENFORCEMENT WAS THAT, 26 YEARS OR SO AGO, I MET MY WONDERFUL
- 6 HUSBAND, WHO IS NOW JUDGE LANCE ITO, HE WAS THEN DEPUTY
- 7 DISTRICT ATTORNEY, LANCE ITO, AND SO IT'S JUST BEEN A
- 8 WONDERFUL CAREER, I'VE ENJOYED IT VERY MUCH AND I'M VERY, VERY
- 9 PROUD TO SERVE AS CHIEF OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANK YOU VERY
- 10 MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR BURKE: MICHAEL HENRY, DEPARTMENT HEAD, WOULD YOU
- 13 LIKE TO SAY A WORD?

14

- 15 MICHAEL HENRY: WELL, WE ARE GLAD TO CELEBRATE THIS CERTIFICATE
- 16 AND HONOR FOR CHIEF YORK, THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY, THE MEN
- 17 AND WOMEN OF OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY IS A WONDERFUL GROUP WHO
- 18 BASICALLY MAKES SURE THAT WE ARE SECURE IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 19 ANGELES, IN OUR FACILITIES AND OUR PARKS, AND WE APPRECIATE
- 20 CHIEF YORK'S LEADERSHIP AND ALL THAT SHE'S DONE TO HELP THIS
- 21 ORGANIZATION. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

- 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MS. BURKE. I'D
- 24 LIKE TO INVITE THE FAIRFAX HIGH SCHOOL BASKETBALL TEAM TO JOIN
- 25 US UP HERE. I THINK THEY'RE HERE. THERE THEY ARE. [APPLAUSE]

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: STATE CHAMPIONS, FAIRFAX 2 3 HIGH SCHOOL. [APPLAUSE] 4 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND LADIES AND GENTLEMEN-- HERE, YOU GUYS CAN-- I WANT TO MAKE 6 SURE YOU'RE ALL IN THE PICTURE HERE. I HAVE TO CONFESS TO A 7 8 CERTAIN BIAS. AS AN ALUMNUS OF FAIRFAX HIGH SCHOOL, WE TAKE GREAT PRIDE, ALL OF THE ALUMS, IN MANY ACHIEVEMENTS THAT 9 FAIRFAX HAS HAD OVER THE YEARS BUT THIS YEAR IS A GOOD ONE. 10 FAIRFAX HIGH SCHOOL'S BASKETBALL TEAM OF 2006/2007 IS 11 COMPRISED OF THE OUTSTANDING PLAYERS WHO STAND BEHIND ME, ROD 12 SINGLETON, CHRIS SOLOMON, DARIUS SINGLETARY, LANCE BAILEY, 13 14 CHASE STANBACK, JAYSHAWN HAMPTON, MALCOLM CAMPBELL, TED ROSS 15 WARCO, AND SOLOMON HILL. THEY WERE GUIDED AND INSPIRED BY THEIR HEAD COACH, HARVEY KITANI AND ASSISTANT COACHES J.D. 16 GREEN, RAMSEY MURAH AND JAMES SAMPSON. THE TEAM DISTINGUISHED 17 18 ITSELF THIS YEAR BY WINNING THE TITLE OF CITY, REGIONAL AND 19 STATE DIVISION 1 CHAMPIONS, HOLDING RIVAL MCQUINLAN'S HIGH 20 SCHOOL... [APPLAUSE] 21 22 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ...HOLDING RIVAL MCQUINLAN'S 23 HIGH SCHOOL TO 29 POINTS IN THE STATE CHAMPIONSHIP GAME, A NEW RECORD, AND AMASSING AN OUTSTANDING 28-AND-5 RECORD FOR THE 24

SEASON, RANKING THEM THIRD IN THE WEST. THEY HAVE PRODUCED TWO



- 1 OUTSTANDING AND PROMISING YOUNG ATHLETES IN CHASE STANBACK,
- 2 L.A. CITY PLAYER OF THE YEAR, WHO HAS BEEN AWARDED A
- 3 SCHOLARSHIP TO U.C.L.A. YES! [APPLAUSE]

4

- 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MY OTHER ALMA MATER. AND ROD
- 6 SINGLETON, WHO HAS BEEN AWARDED A SCHOLARSHIP TO CAL STATE
- 7 NORTHRIDGE. [APPLAUSE]

8

- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S NOT TO DETRACT
- 10 FROM THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THIS TEAM WHO HAVE ACHIEVED A GREAT,
- 11 GREAT SEASON AS WELL AND SOME OF THEM ARE COMING BACK NEXT
- 12 YEAR, SO WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT. SO THE BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WANT TO TAKE THIS
- 14 OPPORTUNITY TO HONOR THE COACHES AND THE MEMBERS OF THE
- 15 FAIRFAX HIGH SCHOOL BASKETBALL TEAM FOR 2006/2007 AND COMMEND
- 16 THEM FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING ATHLETIC ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND OUR
- 17 EXTENDED SINCERE CONGRATULATIONS AS THE CITY, REGIONAL AND
- 18 STATE DIVISION ONE CHAMPS FOR 2007 AND WE WISH ALL THE MEMBERS
- 19 OF THE TEAM AND THEIR COACHES SUCCESS IN FUTURE YEARS. I WANT
- 20 TO JUST SAY, I WENT TO THE CITY CHAMPIONSHIP GAME DOWN AT THE
- 21 SPORTS ARENA AND WATCHED THEM TAKE WESTCHESTER APART. THAT'S
- 22 YOUR DISTRICT, DON. [LAUGHTER]

- 24 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HEY, YOU'VE GOT TO SPREAD
- 25 THE WEALTH A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW? AND I KNOW HOW MUCH IT



- 1 MEANT TO COACH KITANI AND THE YOUNG MEN ON HIS TEAM AND THEN
- 2 THEY TOOK IT FROM THERE AND WORKED THEIR WAY UP TO THE
- 3 CHAMPIONSHIP GAME IN SACRAMENTO AT ARCO ARENA. I SPOKE TO THE
- 4 FAIRFAX HIGH SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES CLASS, GOVERNMENT CLASS, A
- 5 FEW WEEKS AGO, ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE TEAM IS IN THE CLASS
- 6 AND I SAID AT THE TIME THAT ALL OF US WHO COME FROM WHATEVER
- 7 HIGH SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES WE WENT TO ALWAYS LOOK BACK, KEEP AN
- 8 EYE, ONE EYE ON THE FUTURE AND ONE EYE ON THE PLACES WE CAME
- 9 FROM AND WHEN YOU WEAR THAT FAIRFAX JERSEY, YOU NOT ONLY PLAY
- 10 FOR YOURSELVES AND FOR YOUR COACHES AND FOR YOUR STUDENT BODY
- 11 BUT YOU'RE ALSO PLAYING FOR EVERYBODY WHO EVER ATTENDED THAT
- 12 SCHOOL BEFORE YOU BECAUSE THEY WATCH WHAT YOU DO. THEY GO THAT
- 13 SPORTS PAGE, I DO IT EVERY SEASON, FOOTBALL, BASKETBALL,
- 14 WHATEVER, I WANT TO SEE HOW FAIRFAX DID AND SO ALL OVER THE
- 15 WORLD, THERE ARE FAIRFAX ALUMS WHO TOOK GREAT PRIDE IN WHAT
- 16 YOU DID AND YOU DID IT NOT ONLY WITH GREAT ATHLETICS BUT
- 17 YOU'RE GREAT HUMAN BEINGS, TOO, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO
- 18 GREATNESS FROM ALL OF YOU IN THE FUTURE. COACH KITANI, I WANT
- 19 TO PRESENT YOU WITH THIS PROCLAMATION. I WANT TO SAY THAT IT
- 20 IS QUITE CLEAR THAT OUR CALLIGRAPHER DOES NOT FOLLOW FAIRFAX
- 21 HIGH SCHOOL BASKETBALL BECAUSE THEY TURNED CHASE STANBACK'S
- 22 NAME INTO CHANCE STANBACK, SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE A
- 23 CORRECTION ON THAT BUT WE'LL TAKE CARE OF THAT BUT IT'S A GOOD
- 24 CHANCE. I WANT TO PRESENT YOU WITH THIS PROCLAMATION FROM ALL
- 25 5 OF US IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF



- 1 SUPERVISORS TO COMMEND YOU, WE TAKE GREAT PRIDE THAT ONE OF
- 2 OUR SCHOOLS, LOCAL SCHOOLS, COUNTY SCHOOLS, AND STATE SCHOOLS
- 3 HAS ACHIEVED SUCH GREATNESS BUT I WANT TO SAY A PERSONAL WORD
- 4 TO YOU. I KNOW HOW HARD YOU WORK TO MOLD YOUR TEAMS AND TEACH
- 5 THEM HOW TO PLAY AND TEACH THEM HOW TO PLAY WITH TEAMWORK AND
- 6 I KNOW HOW MUCH THIS PARTICULAR SEASON MEANT TO YOU. I DON'T
- 7 KNOW IF YOU'VE EVER GONE ALL THE WAY WITH ALL THREE OF THOSE
- 8 CHAMPIONSHIPS. THEY'VE NEVER DONE IT ALL. THEY'VE WON THE CITY
- 9 CHAMPIONSHIP AND MISSED THE STATE, THEY'VE LOST THE CITY
- 10 CHAMPIONSHIP AND WON THE STATE. THIS YEAR, THEY WON THEM ALL,
- 11 AND I KNOW THAT'S A GREAT PERSONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR YOU AND,
- 12 COACH KITANI, YOU'RE A LEGEND IN THE COLLEGE-- HIGH SCHOOL AND
- 13 COLLEGE BASKETBALL RANKS AND LOOK FORWARD TO MANY MORE YEARS.
- 14 I HOPE THAT YOU'RE NOT GETTING READY TO RETIRE ANY TIME SOON.
- 15 BEFORE YOU-- I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO SAY A WORD BUT I'M GOING
- 16 TO PRESENT THESE PROCLAMATIONS TO THE KIDS-- YOUNG MEN,
- 17 THEY'RE NOT KIDS. FIRST I HAVE ONE FOR YOU, AN INDIVIDUALIZED
- 18 PROCLAMATION FOR YOU. ASSISTANT COACH JOHN DAVID GREEN. HE'S
- 19 NOT HERE TODAY. OKAY. WE'LL GET THAT TO HIM. RAMSEY MURRAH. [
- 20 APPLAUSE]

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ASSISTANT COACH JAMES
- 23 SAMPSON. [APPLAUSE]



```
SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NOW THE PLAYERS: ROD
1
2
    SINGLETON. [ APPLAUSE ]
3
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CHRIS SOLOMON. [ APPLAUSE ]
4
5
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DARIUS SINGLETARY. [
6
7
   APPLAUSE ]
8
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LANCE BAILEY. [ APPLAUSE ]
10
11
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CITY PLAYER OF THE YEAR AND
    FUTURE STAR AT U.C.L.A., CHASE STANBACK. [ APPLAUSE ]
12
13
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DESHAWN HAMPTON. [ APPLAUSE
14
15
    1
16
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MALCOLM CAMPBELL. [ APPLAUSE
17
18
    1
19
20
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PEDROS WARCO. [ APPLAUSE ]
21
22
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND LAST BUT NOT LEAST,
23
    SOLOMON HILL. [ APPLAUSE ]
24
```



1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NOW I'D LIKE TO ASK COACH

2 HARVEY KITANI TO SAY A WORD ON BEHALF OF THE TEAM.

3

- 4 HARVEY KITANI: I'D LIKE TO THANK ZEV AND THE REST OF THE
- 5 SUPERVISORS FOR INVITING US HERE. IT'S A GREAT HONOR TO BE
- 6 HERE. WE BROUGHT ZEV OUR STATE CHAMPIONSHIP T-SHIRT THAT HAS
- 7 FAIRFAX. ON IT SO YOU CAN WEAR IT PROUDLY. MAYBE HE'LL HAVE IT
- 8 UNDERNEATH HIS SUIT ONE DAY WHEN HE COMES TO THE NEXT MEET.
- 9 BUT I JUST WANT TO SHARE THAT THIS YEAR WAS A GREAT JOURNEY
- 10 AND TO WIN IT ALL JUST MADE IT EVEN NICER BUT THE JOURNEY IS
- 11 WHAT'S IMPORTANT AND THIS GROUP OF GUYS PLAYED TOGETHER, THEY
- 12 SACRIFICED FOR THE SAKE OF THE TEAM AND I THINK THEY LEARNED
- 13 MANY VALUABLE LESSONS THIS YEAR. WE HAD GREAT SENIOR
- 14 LEADERSHIP. OUR FOUR SENIORS WERE TREMENDOUS, TO SHOW YOU WHAT
- 15 KIND OF PEOPLE THAT THEY ARE, THE COMMITMENT, THE SACRIFICE
- 16 THAT THEY MADE, THEY'RE ALL GOING TO COLLEGE AND SINCE THE
- 17 TIME THAT WE HAD SPOKEN TO ZEV, OUR OTHER TWO SENIORS ALSO
- 18 HAVE RECEIVED SCHOLARSHIPS. JAYSHAWN HAMPTON IS GOING TO BE
- 19 GOING TO CAL STATE DOMINGUEZ HILLS AND MALCOLM CAMPBELL WILL
- 20 BE ATTENDING HARTFORD UNIVERSITY IN CONNECTICUT, SO... [
- 21 APPLAUSE]

- 23 HARVEY KITANI: SO TO HAVE ALL FOUR SENIORS GOING ON TO
- 24 COLLEGE, IT'S TREMENDOUS AND THAT'S WHAT'S JUST AS IMPORTANT
- 25 AND SO HOPEFULLY THE UNDERCLASSMEN WILL HAVE LEARNED AND WILL



- 1 FOLLOW THE FOOTSTEPS OF THE LEADING SENIORS. SO THANK YOU ALL
- 2 FOR HAVING US HERE. [APPLAUSE]

- 4 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I WANT TO ASK DON
- 5 WOLFE TO COME FORWARD, ALONG WITH HIS STAFF AND THE
- 6 ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION. ALL RIGHT. THE STATE OF
- 7 CALIFORNIA ENACTED THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
- 8 ACT OF 1989, MANDATING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO DIVERT 50% OF
- 9 SOLID WASTE FROM LANDFILL DISPOSAL BY THE YEAR 2000 AND
- 10 THEREAFTER AND FAILURE TO MEET THIS MANDATE COULD SUBJECT THE
- 11 COUNTY TO PENALTIES OF \$10,000 PER DAY. THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 12 PUBLIC WORKS IS THE LEAD COUNTY AGENCY ADVISING THE BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS ON WASTE MANAGEMENT ISSUES, HAS IMPLEMENTED
- 14 NUMEROUS WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN
- 15 NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED FOR THEIR EXCELLENCE AND INNOVATION AND
- 16 ARE SEEN AS MODELS FOR OTHER JURISDICTIONS TO EMULATE. THE
- 17 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS' STAFF WORKED DILIGENTLY WITH THE
- 18 STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO DEMONSTRATE THE COUNTY'S GOOD FAITH
- 19 EFFORTS TO COMPLY WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 939. IN MARCH OF 2007,
- 20 THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD APPROVED THE
- 21 COUNTY'S 2004 ANNUAL REPORT, WHICH DOCUMENTED A 53% DIVERSION
- 22 RATE FOR THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AREAS AND REPRESENTS A
- 23 SIGNIFICANT MILESTONE FOR THE COUNTY AND SETS A CONSTRUCTIVE
- 24 PRECEDENT FOR FUTURE YEARS. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF L.A.
- 25 COUNTY WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COMMEND THE



- 1 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ITS STAFF FOR THEIR EXCELLENT
- 2 SERVICE, DEDICATION AND LEADERSHIP IN PROTECTING OUR
- 3 ENVIRONMENT AND IMPROVING QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE CITIZENS OF
- 4 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SO, DON, IF YOU'LL ACCEPT THIS, AND
- 5 I KNOW WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE FROM YOUR STAFF. I CAN
- 6 INTRODUCE THEM OR YOU CAN INTRODUCE THEM. ALL RIGHT. FRED
- 7 REUBEN, CARLOS RUIZ, PAUL ALVA, HOSAN BANNA, AMICO THOMPSON,
- 8 GEORGE DE LA O, SABACH ARUMI AND WILSON PHUONG.
- 9 CONGRATULATIONS TO ALL OF YOU. [APPLAUSE]

10

- 11 DON WOLFE: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR, AND THE BOARD FOR HONORING
- 12 MY STAFF HERE. I'M VERY PROUD OF THE FOLKS' ENVIRONMENTAL
- 13 PROGRAMS. THEY'RE YOUNG, ENTHUSIASTIC, ENERGETIC AND, MOST
- 14 IMPORTANT, INNOVATIVE. THIS PROGRAM OF WASTE DIVERSION IS NOT
- 15 IMPORTANT JUST BECAUSE IT'S A LEGAL MANDATE BUT WE ARE FACING
- 16 A SHORTAGE CURRENTLY IN FUTURE OF LANDFILL SPACE AND WASTE OF
- 17 RESOURCES, THINGS PUT IN THE LANDFILL. THE JOB IS NOT DONE.
- 18 THEY ARE LOOKING FORWARD WITH A GREAT DEAL OF ENTHUSIASM TO
- 19 THE FUTURE OF MEETING THE GROWING NEEDS OF OUR ECONOMY WITH
- 20 RESPECT TO WASTE DIVERSION AND RECYCLING AND REDUCTION OF USE
- 21 AND I SHARE THEIR ENTHUSIASM AND, AGAIN, THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 22 FOR THIS HONOR. [APPLAUSE]

- 24 SPEAKER: AN ANNOUNCEMENT FOR EVERYBODY THAT IS HERE IN THIS
- 25 ROOM... (OFF-MIKE).



- 2 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE ONE MORE PRESENTATION
- 3 AND THAT'S THE L.A. COUNTY STARS. IT'S A PLEASURE FOR ME TO
- 4 INTRODUCE THE RECIPIENTS OF THE MAY 2007 L.A. COUNTY STARS IN
- 5 THE CATEGORY OF SERVICE EXCELLENCE. FIRST, THE RESCUE YOUTH
- 6 PROGRAM COORDINATORS AND THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- 7 AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THE RESCUE YOUTH PROGRAM IS A
- 8 MENTORING PROGRAM JOINTLY DEVELOPED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 9 OFFICE AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THE D.A. RESCUE COORDINATORS
- 10 MATCH MENTOR FIREFIGHTERS WITH YOUNG PEOPLE AGES 12 TO 14 WHO
- 11 ARE NOT YET INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES BUT ARE EXHIBITING
- 12 AT-RISK BEHAVIORS. STUDENTS PARTICIPATE IN LEARNING-BASED
- 13 ACTIVITIES, COMMUNITY PROJECTS AND RECREATIONAL OUTINGS
- 14 SCHEDULED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. THESE ACTIVITIES BUILD
- 15 CONFIDENCE, DISCIPLINE AND TEAMWORK. THE PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN
- 16 THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS A
- 17 TREMENDOUS SUCCESS. ARE THEY COMING UP? COME ON UP. SINCE THE
- 18 PROGRAM'S INCEPTION, NEARLY 600 YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN HAVE
- 19 BENEFITED FROM A RELATIONSHIP WITH THEIR MENTOR FIREFIGHTER,
- 20 INCLUDING A 2004 RESCUE PARTICIPANT THAT BECAME THE FIRST
- 21 L.A.P.D. CADET IN HISTORY TO GRADUATE FIRST IN ACADEMICS AND
- 22 ALSO PHYSICAL AGILITY. ONCE ENROLLED IN THE RESCUE PROGRAM,
- 23 MANY PARTICIPANTS EXHIBIT DRAMATIC IMPROVEMENT IN SCHOOL
- 24 ATTENDANCE AND PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT. I WANT TO CONGRATULATE
- 25 ALL OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THIS PROGRAM. I WANT TO-- OH,



- 1 YOU'RE HERE. THEY SAID YOU WERE IN THE AUDIENCE. WE HAVE WITH
- 2 US OUR GREAT DISTRICT ATTORNEY, STEVE COOLEY, CHIEF DEPUTY
- 3 MICHAEL DYER-- DEPUTY CHIEF MICHAEL DYER, AND SANDRA HARRIS
- 4 FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND ACTING RESCUE PROGRAM
- 5 HEAD. WE WANT TO CONGRATULATE ALL OF THEM. AND, STEVE, DO YOU
- 6 WANT TO SAY A WORD?

7

- 8 STEVE COOLEY: THANK YOU, ZEV, AND THANK YOU TO THE BOARD FOR
- 9 THIS HONOR. IT'S THE SECOND TIME THE L.A. COUNTY D.A.'S OFFICE
- 10 HAS BEEN A RECIPIENT OF A STAR FOR ONE OF OUR PROGRAMS. WE'RE
- 11 VERY PROUD OF THIS ONE, WE'RE MOST PROUD OF OUR PARTNERS WITH
- 12 L.A. COUNTY FIRE AND SOME OTHER FIRE AGENCIES WHO REALLY GIVE
- 13 OF THEMSELVES TO MANY, MANY POTENTIALLY AT-RISK YOUTH AND THE
- 14 PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING. THE YOUNG PEOPLE THAT GET THE PROGRAM
- 15 ARE VERY PRODUCTIVE AND SUCCESSFUL. ZEV CITED ONE EXAMPLE. WE
- 16 ARE PROUD TO ONCE AGAIN TO RECEIVE THIS KIND OF RECOGNITION
- 17 FOR INNOVATIVE, RESOURCEFUL AND NOT VERY EXPENSIVE BUT VERY
- 18 PRODUCTIVE PROGRAMS. I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU, CHIEF DYER, FOR
- 19 L.A. COUNTY FIRE'S GREAT ROLE IN THIS PROGRAM.

- 21 CHIEF DYER: THANK YOU. ON BEHALF OF CHIEF FREEMAN AND THE MEN
- 22 AND WOMEN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT, I'D LIKE
- 23 TO THANK THE BOARD AND THE L.A. COUNTY STARS PROGRAM AND THE
- 24 DISTRICT ATTORNEY AS GREAT PARTNERS FOR RECOGNIZING OUR
- 25 FIREFIGHTERS AND I, TOO, RECOGNIZE THEIR CONTINUING



- 1 INVOLVEMENT IN THESE KIDS' LIVES. ON MANY OCCASIONS, THESE
- 2 KIDS HAVE COME BACK TO THE FIRE STATIONS EVEN YEARS LATER TO
- 3 LET OUR FIREFIGHTERS KNOW HOW THEY'RE DOING, SO I KNOW THEY
- 4 MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE SO I WANT TO THANK YOU AND RECOGNIZE
- 5 THESE FIREFIGHTERS FOR THEIR CONTRIBUTION. THANK YOU. [
- 6 APPLAUSE]

7

- 8 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. WE SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE
- 9 THAT STEVE COOLEY, AS OF TODAY, ENTERED HIS SEVENTH DECADE OF
- 10 HIS LIFE. HE'S TURNING 60 YEARS OLD TODAY. STILL A YOUNG MAN.
- 11 HAPPY BIRTHDAY. [APPLAUSE]

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DON'T LOOK A DAY OVER 58!
- 14 OUR SECOND RECIPIENT IN SERVICE EXCELLENCE IS CUONG LUONG.
- 15 YEAH. LET'S HEAR IT FOR HIM. [APPLAUSE]

- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. LUONG IS A SENIOR
- 18 APPLICATIONS DEVELOPER WITH THE INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
- 19 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DIVISION. HE HAS BEEN WITH THE COUNTY
- 20 FOR SEVEN YEARS AND SERVES AS THE TECHNICAL LEAD FOR THE
- 21 COUNTY'S FINANCIAL REPORTING NEEDS. IN THIS ROLE, HE HAS
- 22 DEPLOYED INNOVATIVE REPORTING TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES THAT HAVE
- 23 LED TO A DRAMATIC IMPROVEMENT IN THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL
- 24 REPORTING CAPABILITIES. MR. LUONG HAS PLAYED A CRITICAL ROLE
- 25 IN DEVELOPING THE COUNTYWIDE WEBSITE FOR INFORMATION ON THE



- 1 COUNTY'S NEW FINANCIAL SYSTEM, ECAPS AND HAS DEDICATED A
- 2 NOTEWORTHY AMOUNT OF TIME AND EFFORT TOWARDS THE SUCCESS OF
- 3 THE ECAPS PROJECT. HE HAS TAKEN ON LEADERSHIP ROLES IN HIGH
- 4 PROFILE PROJECTS AND HAS RECEIVED WORDS OF PRAISE AND
- 5 APPRECIATION ON HIS JOB PERFORMANCE FROM COUNTY DEPARTMENTS HE
- 6 SERVES. MR. LUONG CONTINUALLY IMPRESSES ALL OF HIS CUSTOMER
- 7 DEPARTMENTS AND IS RECOGNIZED AS A COLLEAGUE TO EMULATE,
- 8 ADMIRE AND RESPECT. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LAST BUT NOT LEAST, NEXT
- 11 CATEGORY IS WORKFORCE EXCELLENCE. LET ME CALL ON JENNIFER
- 12 SPIELVOGEL, A CHILD SUPPORT OFFICER III WITH THE CHILD SUPPORT
- 13 SERVICES DEPARTMENT. MS. SPIELVOGEL OVERSEES THE DATA
- 14 RELIABILITY REVIEWS FOR CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES AND, IN FISCAL
- 15 YEAR '05/'06, SHE SINGLEHANDEDLY REVIEWED, CORRECTED AND
- 16 VALIDATED OVER 1,500 CASES. ADDITIONALLY, SHE CONDUCTS
- 17 OUARTERLY STATE MANDATED AUDITS AND RECOMMENDS IMPROVEMENTS TO
- 18 THE DEPARTMENT'S DATA ENTRY PROCEDURES. MISS SPIELVOGEL'S
- 19 TIRELESS EFFORTS AND HARD WORK HELPED THE DEPARTMENT TO PASS
- 20 THE RIGOROUS FEDERAL DATA RELIABILITY AUDIT WITH 100%
- 21 RELIABILITY IN FISCAL YEAR '06/'07. AS A RESULT, THE
- 22 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT WILL BE ALLOWED TO
- 23 COMPETE FOR \$450 MILLION IN INCENTIVE FUNDS FOR ALLOCATING TO
- 24 THE STATE AND COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT PROGRAMS. CONGRATULATIONS
- 25 TO JENNIFER SPIELVOGEL. [APPLAUSE]



1

- 2 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. KNABE? YOU HAVE NO
- 3 PRESENTATIONS? MR. ANTONOVICH.

- 5 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: FIRST, WE WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME ONCE
- 6 AGAIN TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THE NEW MEMBERS FOR THIS
- 7 2007 YEAR OF THE CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,
- 8 LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WITH MICHAEL CHUNG, WHO IS THE PRESIDENT,
- 9 PETER CHEN, OUR VICE PRESIDENT, HINGE LEE IS THE CHAIRMAN
- 10 ELECT AND OUOK HO LAU IS THE VICE CHAIRMAN. THE CHINESE-
- 11 AMERICAN EXPERIENCE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY STARTED MANY YEARS
- 12 AGO WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE FIRST COMMUNITY AT TODAY'S
- 13 EL PUEBLA HISTORICAL MONUMENT. IN 1870, A POPULATION OF
- 14 APPROXIMATELY 200 HAD SETTLED IN THE AREA KNOWN AS THE COLLA
- 15 DE LOS NIGROS, PARALLEL TO TODAY'S LOS ANGELES STREET ACROSS
- 16 FROM THE GARDENER BUILDING. THEY WERE FARM LABORERS, SERVANTS,
- 17 ROAD BUILDERS AND SMALL SHOP KEEPERS. DESPITE THEIR
- 18 CONTRIBUTIONS TO OUR COMMUNITY, TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA'S
- 19 ECONOMY, CHINESE- AMERICAN FAMILIES AND THEIR COMMUNITY LIFE
- 20 WERE GREATLY AFFECTED BY THE SOCIAL DISCRIMINATION AND ANTI-
- 21 CHINESE LEGISLATION SUCH AS THE CHINESE EXCLUSION ACT. LACKING
- 22 POLICE PROTECTION AND POLITICAL REPRESENTATION IN MATTERS THAT
- 23 AFFECTED THEIR COMMUNITY, THEY FORMED COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS
- 24 FOR MUTUAL AID AND SERVICES. THIS WAS THE BEGINNINGS OF THE
- 25 CHINESE CONSOLIDATED BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION, EMERGED AS THE



- 1 UMBRELLA ORGANIZATION TO BOTH SERVE AND PROTECT THE INTERESTS
- 2 OF THE CHINESE-AMERICANS IN OUR COMMUNITY. TODAY, THE
- 3 ASSOCIATION CONTINUES TO SERVE THE NEEDS OF NEARLY 400,000
- 4 STRONG CHINESE-AMERICANS WITHIN OUR COUNTY. THE ASSOCIATION
- 5 PERFORMS VERY VITAL FUNCTIONS, INCLUDING SOCIAL SERVICES,
- 6 SERVING AS MEDIATOR TO SOLVE PERSONAL AND COMMERCIAL DISPUTES,
- 7 PRESERVING CHINESE TRADITIONS AND CULTURAL HERITAGE, SERVING
- 8 AS A BRIDGE BETWEEN THE CHINESE AND AMERICAN COMMUNITIES AND
- 9 PROMOTING CHINESE-AMERICAN INTERESTS AND ENGAGING IN
- 10 CHARITABLE ACTIVITIES, SPONSORING EDUCATIONAL, RECREATIONAL
- 11 AND SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, WE WANT
- 12 TO MAKE THESE PRESENTATIONS AT THIS TIME, FIRST, FIRST TO
- 13 MICHAEL CHUNG, OUR PRESIDENT. MICHAEL? YOU'RE ON MY RIGHT.
- 14 MICHAEL AND HIS GREAT SISTER IS THE DIRECTOR FOR THE UNITED
- 15 STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR FOR PRESIDENT BUSH SERVING THE
- 16 WESTERN UNITED STATES. WE'RE PROUD OF MARINA'S ACCOMPLISHMENTS
- 17 AS WELL. AND HEN LEE? QUOK OH LOU. AND PETER CHEN. MICHAEL, DO
- 18 YOU WANT TO SAY A FEW WORDS? MR. PRESIDENT?

19

- 20 MICHAEL CHUNG: THANK YOU. ON BEHALF OF I.C.C.B.A., I WOULD
- 21 LIKE TO THANK MIKE ANTONOVICH FOR HIS LONG-TIME SUPPORT AND
- 22 CONTINUED SUPPORT OF HIS COMMUNITY. LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING
- 23 WITH COUNTY SUPERVISOR MIKE ANTONOVICH. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: OKAY. GET ANOTHER PICTURE? OKAY. TODAY,
- 2 WE'RE GOING TO DECLARE MAY 1ST AS PAINT THE TOWN PURPLE DAY
- 3 THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES TO RAISE AWARENESS AND SHOW SUPPORT FOR
- 4 THOSE INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH CANCER, SO WE'D LIKE TO WELCOME
- 5 NINA CIRCUN, WHO IS THE COMMISSIONER COMMISSION FOR CHILDREN
- 6 AND FAMILY SERVICES AND EVENT CHAIR FOR THE RELAY FOR LIFE IN
- 7 PASADENA, PETRAS DIETRICH, NATHAN MCKUSKER, NORMA JEAN SCOTT,
- 8 LORENA WILLARD WITH US THIS MORNING. AS WE ALL KNOW AND WE'VE
- 9 ALL HAD SERIOUS TRAGEDIES IN EACH OF OUR FAMILIES, CANCER IS A
- 10 DISEASE CHARACTERIZED BY UNCONTROLLED GROWTH AND SPREAD OF
- 11 ABNORMAL CELLS WHICH, IF NOT CONTROLLED, RESULT IN PREMATURE
- 12 DEATH. CANCER IS PREDICTED TO STRIKE ONE OUT OF EVERY THREE
- 13 AMERICANS SOMETIME IN OUR LIFETIME WITH AN ESTIMATED 133,225
- 14 NEW CASES OF CANCER DIAGNOSED IN CALIFORNIA THIS PAST 2007.
- 15 APPROXIMATELY 34,565 NEW CASES OF CANCER ARE ESTIMATED TO
- 16 OCCUR IN OUR COUNTY IN 2007 AND 13,635 COUNTY CITIZENS ARE
- 17 EXPECTED TO LOSE THEIR BATTLE WITH CANCER THIS YEAR. THE
- 18 AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY, THE NATION'S LARGEST AND MOST
- 19 RESPECTED VOLUNTARY HEALTH ORGANIZATION SINCE 1913, HAS FUNDED
- 20 RESEARCH WHICH HAS CONTRIBUTED TO EVERY KNOWN METHOD FOR
- 21 DETECTING CANCER AND TECHNIQUES FOR TREATING CANCER,
- 22 INCREASING THE CANCER SURVIVAL RATES FROM 10% TO OVER 65%
- 23 TODAY. THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY IS A VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY-
- 24 BASED COALITION OF LOCAL CITIZENS WHO ARE DEDICATED TO
- 25 ELIMINATING CANCER AS A MAJOR HEALTH PROBLEM THROUGHOUT



- 1 FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND EDUCATIONAL AWARENESS. NOW, THE COLOR
- 2 PURPLE IS THE SIGNATURE COLOR OF THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY'S
- 3 RELAY FOR LIFE EVENTS, WHICH SIGNIFY THE PASSION THAT CANCER
- 4 SURVIVORS AND THEIR FAMILIES AND LOVED ONES FEEL FOR THE
- 5 ERADICATION OF THIS DREADED DISEASE. THE RELAY FOR LIFE EVENT
- 6 FINANCIALLY BENEFITS THE SOCIETY'S RESEARCH AND PATIENT
- 7 SUPPORT PROGRAMS AND EDUCATIONALLY BENEFITS OUR LOCAL
- 8 CITIZENS. THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY'S RELAY FOR LIFE IN
- 9 PASADENA IS A COMMUNITY EVENT THAT ALLOWS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 10 NETWORK WITH BUSINESSES, ASSOCIATES, FAMILY AND FRIENDS, THE
- 11 SAME GOAL OF MAKING A DIFFERENCE IN THE BATTLE AGAINST CANCER.
- 12 SO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS NOW PROCLAIMED MAY 1ST AS
- 13 PAINT YOUR TOWN PURPLE DAY AND JUNE 2ND AND 3RD OF THIS YEAR
- 14 AS RELAY FOR LIFE DAY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY TO SHOW OUR UNITED
- 15 SUPPORT TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS LIVING WITH CANCER AND HONOR
- 16 THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT HAVE LOST THEIR LIVES TO THE DREADED
- 17 DISEASE. LET ME GIVE A PROCLAMATION, THEN SAY A FEW WORDS. [
- 18 APPLAUSE]

- 20 SPEAKER: I WANT TO THANK SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH FOR THIS HONOR
- 21 AND THIS RECOGNITION OF RELAY FOR LIFE THAT WILL TAKE PLACE IN
- 22 PASADENA ON JUNE THE 2ND AND 3RD. 11 YEARS AGO, I WAS
- 23 DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER AND IT WAS BECAUSE OF THE GRACE OF GOD,
- 24 A LOVING FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND A DRUG DEVELOPED BY THE
- 25 AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY RESEARCH THAT I AM A SURVIVOR. LORNA,



- 1 WHO IS ALSO ON THE COMMITTEE, IS ALSO A SURVIVOR. WE ARE
- 2 COMING TOGETHER BECAUSE IT'S IMPORTANT TO BRING COMMUNITY
- 3 AWARENESS AND TALK AND RAISE MONEY TO BE ABLE TO CONTINUE
- 4 ADVOCACY, EDUCATION, COMMUNITY-- CLIENT SERVICES AND ALSO THE
- 5 RESEARCH THAT WE DO. THANK YOU AGAIN. [APPLAUSE]

6

- 7 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY AND THEIR MANY
- 8 LOCAL AFFILIATES DOES WONDERFUL WORK AND, DUE TO THEIR WORK
- 9 AND THE GREAT WORK OF ALL THE VOLUNTEERS, WHICH REALLY IS THE
- 10 BACKBONE OF THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY, THE CANCER RATES ARE
- 11 STARTING TO COME DOWN SLOWLY BUT THEY'RE STARTING TO COME
- 12 DOWN. IT'S THESE KIND OF INDIVIDUALS WHO SHOW US THE FRUITS OF
- 13 THOSE WONDERFUL SCIENTIFIC LABORS AND ALSO THE COMMITMENT OF
- 14 EVERYBODY TO TRY AND DEAL WITH THIS VERY DREADED BUT IN MANY
- 15 CASES CURABLE OR TREATABLE DISEASE. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND
- 16 THANKS TO THE BOARD FOR DECLARING THIS DAY AS SUCH A SPECIAL
- 17 DAY.

- 19 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: NOW WE DISCUSS AN ISSUE OF SAFETY,
- 20 PUBLIC SAFETY, AND TODAY WE HAVE MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH
- 21 AND WE'D LIKE TO WELCOME REPRESENTATIVES FROM A.B.A.T.E.,
- 22 WHICH IS AMERICAN BIKERS AIMED TOWARD EDUCATION IN CALIFORNIA.
- 23 LARRY POTLEY, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF A.B.A.T.E. SAFETY AND
- 24 AWARENESS PROGRAM AND A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF
- 25 A.B.A.T.E. OF CALIFORNIA, LEE MILLIGAN, WHO'S A MEMBER OF THE



- 1 BOARD. PETER DANIELS, PRESIDENT OF LOCAL CHAPTER 1 AND JOHN
- 2 MCDONALD, A MEMBER. MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH IS INTENDED TO
- 3 HEIGHTEN THE AWARENESS OF MOTORISTS ON OUR HIGHWAYS BY
- 4 RECOGNIZING NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL SAFETY EVENTS FOR
- 5 MOTORCYCLE RIDERS. MOTORCYCLES, WHICH PROVIDE AN ECONOMICALLY,
- 6 ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY ALTERNATIVE TO AUTOMOBILE TRAVEL, ARE
- 7 ALSO HOBBIES FOR MANY OTHERS AND CHARITABLE AND SOCIAL
- 8 OUTINGS. 80% OF THE ACCIDENTS BETWEEN MOTORCYCLES AND
- 9 AUTOMOBILES STEM FROM AUTOMOBILES BEING AT FAULT. SO, TO
- 10 EDUCATE OUR PUBLIC, WE PROCLAIM THIS MONTH MOTORCYCLE
- 11 AWARENESS MONTH AND WELCOME YOU ONCE AGAIN TO OUR BOARD.

- 13 LARRY PATHLY: I'D LIKE TO THANK SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND THE
- 14 REST OF THE ORANGE COUNTY-- OR L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS FOR PROCLAIMING MAY AS MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH
- 16 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. MY NAME IS LARRY PATHLY AND, LIKE THE
- 17 SUPERVISOR SAID, I'M HERE REPRESENTING A.B.A.T.E. AND
- 18 A.B.A.T.E. SAFETY AND AWARENESS PROGRAM. BOTH THESE
- 19 ORGANIZATIONS ARE VERY MUCH INVOLVED WITH TRYING TO MAKE
- 20 CALIFORNIA A SAFE PLACE TO RIDE A MOTORCYCLE. ON THE 12TH OF
- 21 MAY, WE WILL MEET AT LAKE ELSNOR, CALIFORNIA AND WE WILL BRING
- 22 IN PEOPLE FROM ALL OVER THIS STATE WHO HAVE COLLECTED MAY AS
- 23 MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH PROCLAMATIONS FROM AROUND THE
- 24 STATE. I SPEAK EVERY YEAR OF THE LARGE NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS AND
- 25 DEATHS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND, IN 2000-- BETWEEN 2004



- 1 AND 2005, THE NUMBER OF MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENTS INCREASED BY OVER
- 2 6%. HOWEVER, THE NUMBER OF REGISTRATIONS ONLY WENT UP BY 3.9%.
- 3 THAT MEANS WE ARE DOING SOMETHING WRONG AND WHY IS IT
- 4 IMPORTANT FOR L.A. COUNTY TO PROCLAIM MAY AS MOTORCYCLE
- 5 AWARENESS MONTH? IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
- 6 COUNTY REPRESENTS OVER 21% OF THE TOTAL MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENTS.
- 7 AND WHY DO WE CALL MAY MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH? BETWEEN--
- 8 THAT'S WHEN MOTORCYCLISTS START TO RIDE. BETWEEN APRIL AND MAY
- 9 OF EACH YEAR, THE ACCIDENT RATE GOES UP BY OVER 160%. THERE
- 10 ARE 81,000 MOTORCYCLISTS IN L.A. COUNTY AND THEY REPRESENT ALL
- 11 OF US. THEIR MOTHERS, THEIR FATHERS, THEIR GRANDPARENTS,
- 12 SISTERS, BROTHERS AND EVEN SOME OF OUR CHILDREN, WE'RE FINALLY
- 13 GETTING GRANDCHILDREN. WE'RE GETTING OLD ENOUGH NOW. THEY
- 14 REPRESENT THE COUNTY AND PLEASE HELP US KEEP MOTORCYCLISTS
- 15 HEALTHY AND ALIVE BY TRYING TO BE AWARE OF THE MOTORCYCLISTS
- 16 WITH WHOM WE SHARE THE ROAD. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN L.A.
- 17 COUNTY HAS PROCLAIMED MAY AS MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH AND I
- 18 WOULD LIKE TO THANK THEM FOR DOING THAT, IT IS A STEP TOWARDS
- 19 INCREASING THE SAFETY FOR MOTORCYCLISTS. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE
- 20]
- 21
- 22 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO DECLARE MAY 2ND AS
- 23 CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL TREASURER'S DAY AND THE WEEK OF APRIL 30
- 24 THROUGH MAY 4TH AS THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL TREASURERS WEEK.
- 25 NOW WE WELCOME OUR OWN COUNTY'S TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR,



- 1 MARK CALADINO. CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL TREASURERS ARE RESPONSIBLE
- 2 FOR THE RECEIPT AND CUSTODY OF PUBLIC FUNDS AND THE INVESTMENT
- 3 OF PUBLIC FUNDS WITH A FOCUS ON THE SAFETY OF PRINCIPAL, THE
- 4 LIQUIDITY TO MEET PUBLIC AGENCY CASH FLOW NEEDS, TO MAXIMIZE
- 5 YIELD, WHICH ARE THE CENTRAL DUTIES OF MUNICIPAL TREASURERS.
- 6 THEY PROVIDE PUBLIC ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH A PUBLIC
- 7 AGENCY INVESTMENT POLICY, THE GOVERNMENT CODE AND A PUBLIC
- 8 AGENCIES LIQUIDITY NEEDS. THE TREASURER HAS TO BE AWARE OF ALL
- 9 OF THOSE LAWS GOVERNING ELIGIBLE TYPES OF INVESTMENTS FOR
- 10 PUBLIC AGENCIES, ALONG WITH FREQUENT CHANGES TO THOSE LAWS,
- 11 THE NEEDS OF L.A. COUNTY TO DEVELOP AN INVESTMENT POLICY THAT
- 12 WILL SATISFY AND MEET ALL OF THOSE NEEDS. LASTLY, OUR
- 13 TREASURER OVERSEES THE DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS TO VERIFY THE
- 14 ONLY CLAIMS AUTHORIZED BY THE BOARD ARE PAID AND PLAYS AN
- 15 INTEGRAL ROLE IN THE DETERMINATION OF RESPONSIBLE AND BEST
- 16 PRACTICES FINANCING METHODS FOR OUR COUNTY. SO, AS I SAID, THE
- 17 BOARD HAS NOW PROCLAIMED MAY 2ND AS CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL
- 18 TREASURER'S DAY AND THE WEEK OF APRIL 30TH THROUGH MAY 6TH AS
- 19 CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL TREASURER'S WEEK AND WE'RE VERY PLEASED
- 20 THAT WE HAVE-- TAXPAYERS SHOULD BE VERY PLEASED AS WELL THAT
- 21 MARK SALADINO HAS DONE AN INCREDIBLE JOB AND CONTINUES TO DO A
- 22 GOOD JOB AND IS AN INTEGRAL PART IN THE INTEGRITY OF THE
- 23 FISCAL INTEGRITY OF OUR COUNTY. SO, MARK, WE THANK YOU FOR
- 24 YOUR LEADERSHIP AND YOUR STAFF THAT ARE STANDING BEHIND YOU

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



BECAUSE EVERY GOOD LEADER HAS TO HAVE A GOOD STAFF. [APPLAUSE 2] 3 MARK CALADINO: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND I'D LIKE 4 5 TO THANK THE ENTIRE BOARD FOR THIS RECOGNITION TODAY BUT MOST PARTICULARLY I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD FOR ALL OF YOUR 6 SUPPORT OF OUR DEPARTMENT DURING THE NINE YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN 7 8 HONORED TO SERVE AS COUNTY TREASURER. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BEHIND ME IS THE EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT TEAM OF THE DEPARTMENT 9 OF TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, CHIEF DEPUTY, FIVE ASSISTANT 10 11 T.T.C.S AND THE HEAD OF OUR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT AND I THINK I CAN SAY WITH GREAT ASSURANCE THAT THEY ARE THE BEST EXECUTIVE 12 MANAGEMENT TEAM IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THANK YOU. 13 14 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WRAPPING IT UP, WE HAVE LITTLE 15 16 PETTY, WHO IS A CHIHUAHUA MIX, ONLY EIGHT WEEKS OLD, THIS LITTLE BOY WHO IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. HE'S FULL OF 17 18 INQUISITIVENESS AND HE LIKES NURSES, HE LIKES TREASURER AND 19 TAX COLLECTORS, LOVES CHINESE FOOD AND WE HAD THE HOME SCHOOL STUDENTS FROM LA CRESCENTA HERE EARLIER. I'M SURE HE LOVES THE 20 21 LITTLE CHILDREN FROM HOME SCHOOL AS WELL. SO, ANYWAY, ANYBODY 22 WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT LITTLE TEDDY, YOU CAN CALL THE NUMBER, 23 (562) 728-4644 AND I SEE A WILLING LITTLE MAMA OUT THERE. WHY DON'T YOU COME UP TO THE SIDE AND TALK TO THE DEPARTMENT OF 24

CHILDREN-- DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL CONTROL. HE'S A LITTLE BABY,



- 1 TOO. ALL RIGHT. HE'S THE BIG ONE FROM THE LITTER, SO THERE ARE
- 2 SOME LITTLE PAQUITAS OUT THERE AND PAQUITOS. OKAY. THAT'S ALL,
- 3 MR. CHAIRMAN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO OVER THERE. YOU CAN WALK
- 4 OVER THERE. JUST...

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S IT? OKAY. MS. MOLINA,
- 7 YOU'RE UP FIRST.

8

- 9 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I SAW ALL THESE NURSES OUT
- 10 IN OUR AUDIENCE AND I THOUGHT I'D FIND OUT WHAT WAS GOING ON,
- 11 SO I'M GOING TO ASK THEM TO COME UP FOR A SECOND.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THEY'RE HAVING A
- 14 CONSTRUCTIVE CONVERSATION WITH SOMEBODY OUT THERE.

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT BUT I THINK THAT
- 17 THEY'VE CONCLUDED WHAT THEY NEED TO CONCLUDE, SO THEY ARE
- 18 GOING TO LIMIT THEMSELVES TO FOUR SPEAKERS. THEY'RE ANNETTE
- 19 HURD, ALFRED THOMPSON AND SHIRLEY SINGLETON, AS WELL AS NANCY
- 20 GOCHNAUR. IF THEY WANT TO COME UP AND JOIN US AND SOME OF THE
- 21 OTHER NURSES, IF YOU WANT TO STAND BEHIND THEM, THAT'S FINE.
- 22 YOU ALL DON'T HAVE TO COME UP. YOU CAN ALL STAY IN THE BACK,
- 23 BACK THERE. WE ALL KNOW YOU'RE REPRESENTING, BUT LET'S HAVE
- 24 THE FOUR KEY SPEAKERS, I THINK THAT WILL BE HELPFUL.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO
- 2 GIVE EACH OF YOU THREE MINUTES, EACH OF THE FOUR OF YOU, SO
- 3 HOWEVER YOU WANT TO ORGANIZE. WHO WANTS TO GO FIRST?

4

5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ANNETTE, YOU WANT TO BEGIN?

6

- 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WILL YOU IDENTIFY
- 8 YOURSELF?

- 10 ANNETTE HURD: YES. MY NAME IS ANNETTE HURD. I WORK FOR THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AS A HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATOR
- 12 WITH REGISTERED NURSE'S LICENSE. I HAVE WORKED FOR THE COUNTY
- 13 OF LOS ANGELES FOR APPROXIMATELY 22 YEARS. I'M HERE TODAY TO
- 14 TRY AND URGE THE BOARD TO INSIST THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 15 SEPARATE US FROM OUR COUNTER PARTNERS, WHICH ARE HEALTH
- 16 FACILITY, EVALUATOR, REGISTER ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALIST,
- 17 WHICH ARE NON-NURSING. ON APRIL 1ST, THE COUNTY RECLASSIFIED
- 18 OVER 5,000 NURSES AND WE WERE NOT INCLUDED. WE WERE LEFT
- 19 BEHIND. UP UNTIL APRIL THE 19TH, THE COUNTY-- WE HAD
- 20 COMPLAINED TO THE COUNTY THAT WE WANTED TO BE SEPARATED AND
- 21 HAVE A RECLASS FROM THE REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTALISTS, WHO THE
- 22 COUNTY SAYS DOES THE SAME JOB AS WE DO. WELL, WE'RE HERE TODAY
- 23 TO GIVE YOU THE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NURSES AND
- 24 REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALISTS. ONE OF THE THINGS
- 25 IS, I WORK FOR A LICENSING CERTIFICATION AND I DO INSPECTIONS



- 1 OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES. ONE OF THE MAIN DIFFERENCES IN
- 2 MY JOB IS THAT I DO ALL THE ASSESSMENTS OF THE PATIENT-- THE
- 3 RESIDENTS MEDICALLY. EVERY RESIDENT HAS TO BE ASSESSED
- 4 MEDICALLY DURING THE SURVEY. NOT EVERY PATIENT BUT EVERY
- 5 RESIDENT HAS TO BE MEDICALLY ASSESSED AS A SAMPLE, SO I HAVE
- 6 TO DO THE ASSESSMENT. ANOTHER THING THAT I DO SIGNIFICANTLY
- 7 DIFFERENT IS THAT I DO THE MEDICATION PASS AND I OBSERVE THE
- 8 MEDICATION PASS. I RECONCILIATE THE MEDICATION AND LET THE
- 9 FACILITY TO SEE IF THEY HAVE ANY DEFICIENCIES. I ALSO DO ALL
- 10 OF QUALITY CARE ISSUES ON THE RESIDENTS THAT A NON-NURSING
- 11 ITEM CANNOT DO, THE HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATOR REGISTERED
- 12 ENVIRONMENTALISTS, AND WE'RE JUST HERE TO URGE THE BOARD TO
- 13 APPROVE US OR INSIST THAT THE COUNTY SEPARATE US FROM OUR
- 14 COUNTER PARTNERS AND TO RECOGNIZE US AS REGISTERED NURSES.
- 15 THANK YOU.

16

17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

- 19 NANCY GOCHNAUR: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS NANCY GOCHNAUR. I'VE
- 20 BEEN AN R.N. FOR 20 YEARS, 15 YEARS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 21 HEALTH SERVICES AND 5 YEARS AT RANCHO LOS AMIGOS. I'M
- 22 CURRENTLY AN ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR IN THE HOME HEALTH
- 23 FACILITIES DIVISION. AS YOU ALREADY KNOW, THE DEPARTMENT PLAYS
- 24 A CRITICAL ROLE IN OVERSEEING SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES IN
- 25 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY. SPECIFICALLY, THE DEPARTMENT IS



- 1 RESPONSIBLE FOR LICENSING, HEALTHCARE FACILITIES IN THE STATE
- 2 AND THIS INCLUDES SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES, HOME HEALTH
- 3 AGENCIES, HOSPICES, CONGREGATE LIVING FACILITIES, INTERMEDIATE
- 4 CARE FACILITIES. MOST OF US, AS EVALUATORS HERE TODAY, ARE
- 5 NURSES. WE ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE AT LEAST TWO YEARS' EXPERIENCE
- 6 AS A REGISTERED NURSE, ONE YEAR MUST BE IN A HOSPITAL. EACH
- 7 YEAR, WE MUST ANNUALLY RENEW OUR LICENSE AS R.N.S. AS NURSE
- 8 EVALUATORS, WE EVALUATE THE CARE AT EVERY FACILITY. WE RELY
- 9 NOT ONLY ON WHAT WE LEARNED IN OUR NURSING BOOKS BUT ALSO OUR
- 10 OWN CLINICAL EXPERIENCES AS NURSES. THIS IS WHY THE DEPARTMENT
- 11 REQUIRES AS A REGISTERED NURSE -- REQUIRES, I'M SORRY, A
- 12 REGISTERED NURSE MUST BE ON EACH SURVEY. PAGE 48 OF APPENDIX P
- 13 OF THE STATE OPERATIONS MANUAL STATES: "RESIDENT CARE
- 14 OBSERVATIONS SHOULD BE MADE BY THOSE PERSONS WHO HAVE CLINICAL
- 15 KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS TO EVALUATE THE COMPLIANCE." THE MANUAL
- 16 FURTHER STATES, "ONLY A SURVEYOR WHO IS A LICENSED NURSE, A
- 17 PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT OR A PHYSICIAN MAY MAKE AN OBSERVATION OF
- 18 THE RESIDENT'S GENITALS; FOR FEMALES, THE BREAST AREA. THE
- 19 REGISTERED NURSE, ON A SURVEY TEAM WITH A NON-NURSING MEMBER,
- 20 MUST ASSIST THE NON-NURSE TO EVALUATE QUALITY CARE ISSUES
- 21 REGARDING PRESSURE SORES, WEIGHT LOSS, DEHYDRATION, TUBE
- 22 FEEDINGS, INFECTION CONTROL, SUCTIONING TECHNIQUES AND I.V.
- 23 THERAPIES. TWO REGISTERED NURSES CAN PERFORM AND COMPLETE A
- 24 SKILLED NURSING SURVEY PROCESS BUT ONLY-- BUT TWO NON-NURSING
- 25 EVALUATORS CANNOT PERFORM THE SURVEY WITHOUT THE ASSISTANCE OF



- 1 A REGISTERED NURSE. ONLY REGISTERED NURSE EVALUATORS CAN
- 2 SURVEY HOME HEALTH AGENCIES, HOSPICE AGENCIES, CONGREGATE
- 3 LIVING FACILITIES. IN THE INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES, A
- 4 REGISTERED NURSE IS NOT ONLY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONDUCTING HIS OR
- 5 HER SURVEY BUT MUST OFTENTIMES LEAVE THEIR SURVEY TO CONDUCT A
- 6 MEDICATION PASS OF A NON-NURSE EVALUATOR." BECAUSE OF THE
- 7 DEMANDS OF THE REGISTERED NURSE EVALUATOR TO PERFORM
- 8 ADDITIONAL TASKS, THE DEPARTMENT IS CURRENTLY CROSS-TRAINING
- 9 REGISTERED NURSES TO PROVIDE DIFFERENT PROVIDER TYPES TO
- 10 ACCOMMODATE THE REQUIREMENTS TO MEET THE ANNUAL WORKLOAD
- 11 DEMANDS. WE URGE THE SUPERVISORS TO APPROVE THE
- 12 RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THE PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND THE
- 13 C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND RECLASSIFY THE REGISTERED EVALUATORS AS
- 14 REGISTERED NURSES AND TO BE ACCRETED INTO THE LOCAL 721 UNION
- 15 OF THE REGISTERED NURSE BARGAINING UNIT. THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WHO'S NEXT? YOU'RE
- 18 NEXT?

- 20 SHIRLEY SINGLETON: YES, I'M NEXT. MY NAME IS SHIRLEY
- 21 SINGLETON. I'M A REGISTERED NURSE WITH A MASTER'S DEGREE IN
- 22 NURSING. I HAVE WORKED IN THE FIELD OF NURSING FOR THE COUNTY
- 23 OF LOS ANGELES FOR 37 YEARS. I TRANSFERRED TO HEALTH
- 24 FACILITIES DIVISION IN 1992 WHERE THERE WAS A GREAT NEED FOR
- 25 REGISTERED NURSES TO CONDUCT SURVEYS AND COMPLAINT



- 1 INVESTIGATIONS IN HOSPITALS AND OTHER HEALTHCARE FACILITIES TO
- 2 ASSESS THE QUALITY OF CARE THAT WAS BEING PROVIDED TO THE
- 3 PATIENTS IN THESE FACILITIES. AT THE PRESENT TIME, I WORK IN
- 4 THE ACUTE AND ANCILLARY UNIT WHERE I CONDUCT SURVEYS AND
- 5 COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS AND THE GENERAL ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS,
- 6 WHICH WE HAVE 100 HOSPITALS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I ALSO
- 7 SURVEY, ALONG WITH JOINT COMMISSION, TO ACCREDITATE THESE
- 8 PARTICULAR HOSPITALS FOR LICENSURE. I SURVEY THE END STAGE
- 9 RENAL DISEASE, WHICH WE HAVE 133 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I
- 10 SURVEY THE AMBULATORY SURGERY CENTER, WHICH THERE'S 284
- 11 FACILITIES. AND I JUST WANTED TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THERE IS A
- 12 CLEAR DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE HEALTH FACILITY EVALUATOR
- 13 ENVIRONMENT HEALTH SPECIALIST AND THE NURSE. WHEREAS THE
- 14 NURSE, WHEN WE GO INTO THE HOSPITAL TO SURVEY, WE REVIEW AND
- 15 ASSESS PATIENT CARE BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER THE NURSES WE
- 16 OBSERVE THE REGISTERED NURSES IN PROVIDING THE CARE. WE ALSO
- 17 REVIEW AND INTERVIEW EMPLOYEES AND PATIENTS. WE REVIEW THE
- 18 EMPLOYEES' FILE TO ENSURE THAT THERE HAVE BEEN COMPETENT OR
- 19 THERE WAS SOME KIND OF COMPETENCY VALIDATIONS CONDUCTED ON
- 20 THESE EMPLOYEES. WE ALSO OBSERVE ALL PATIENT CARE ACTIVITIES.
- 21 WITH THAT, WE ARE REQUESTING YOUR HELP AS THE BOARD OF
- 22 SUPERVISORS TO ASSIST US IN THIS AND YOU HAVE A POWER TO
- 23 ASSIST US.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.



- 2 ALFRED THOMPSON: GOOD MORNING. I'M ALFRED THOMPSON. I'M A
- 3 REGISTERED NURSE OF 26 YEARS. MY EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN IN ADULT
- 4 AND PEDIATRIC MEDICAL/SURGICAL PEDIATRICS AND NEONATAL
- 5 INTENSIVE CARE. I WAS A COUNTY NURSE RECRUITER. I HAVE BEEN
- 6 THE NURSE ALLIANCE CHAIR FOR 40,000 NURSES IN CALIFORNIA.
- 7 THERE ARE PRESENTLY 80 NURSES THAT WORK AS HEALTH FACILITY
- 8 EVALUATORS IN OUR DEPARTMENT. WE WOULD LIKE TO WORK THERE AND
- 9 WE ARE URGING YOU TO HELP RETAIN US. WITHOUT THE RECLASS, WE
- 10 WILL, MANY OF US, GO TO OTHER NURSING ITEMS. AND, AS MY
- 11 COLLEAGUES HAVE EXPRESSED, WITHOUT THE NURSES, WE WILL NOT BE
- 12 ABLE TO MEET THE FEDERAL MANDATE OF SURVEYING THESE
- 13 FACILITIES. WE SURVEY, LICENSE, INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS FOR
- 14 OVER 2,600 FACILITIES. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE OF WHAT WE DO AND
- 15 WHAT OUR COLLEAGUES, THE R.E.H.S.'S DO. ONE THING IS THE STATE
- 16 OF CALIFORNIA IS ONLY HIRING REGISTERED NURSES TO BE HEALTH
- 17 FACILITY EVALUATORS. CALIFORNIA STATE EVALUATORS ARE HEALTH
- 18 FACILITY EVALUATOR NURSES. WE CAN DO EVERYTHING ON A SURVEY
- 19 THAT A REGISTERED ENVIRONMENTALIST CAN DO BUT THEY CAN'T DO
- 20 EVERYTHING THAT WE DO. THE STATE PROCESS AND THE NURSE
- 21 PRACTICE ACT IS WHAT ALLOWS US TO DO NURSING ASSESSMENTS. ONLY
- 22 R.N.S CAN DO THOSE ASSESSMENTS. ONLY R.N.S CAN DO QUALITY OF
- 23 CARE ISSUES. ONLY R.N.S CAN ASSESS AND LOOK AT PRESSURE SORES
- 24 IF THEY'RE ON THE GENITALS, THE BUTTOCKS OR A WOMAN'S BREAST.
- 25 ONLY R.N.S CAN SURVEY INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY FOR THE



- 1 DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED NURSING FACILITIES AND THE SAME FOR
- 2 THE DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED SUPER NURSING FACILITIES. ONLY
- 3 R.N.S CAN AUDIT MEDICATION PASS FOR 2,600 FACILITIES. AGAIN,
- 4 WE HAVE 133 END STAGE RENAL FACILITIES, 119 CHRONIC DIALYSIS
- 5 CLINICS, 103 SURGICAL CENTERS. ONLY R.N.S. IN THE CLINICS, WE
- 6 HAVE 213 CLINICS, ONLY R.N.S ARE DOING THOSE, ESPECIALLY THE
- 7 BIRTH CENTERS AND THE ABORTION CLINICS. THANK YOU.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

10

- 11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: MR. JANSSEN, DO YOU WANT TO GIVE US AN
- 12 UPDATE OF WHERE WE ARE ON THIS?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR. MY STAFF WAS
- 15 TALKING TO THEM A LITTLE EARLIER. TO GIVE THEM MORE
- 16 INFORMATION THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE ABOUT WHERE WE ARE ON THIS
- 17 PROCESS, WE WILL BE BACK BY THE END OF THIS MONTH WITH A
- 18 PROPOSED RECLASSIFICATION. NURSES WILL BE NURSES. THEY'LL BE
- 19 IN THE APPROPRIATE BARGAINING UNIT AND THE SALARY WILL BE
- 20 EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO APRIL THE FIRST SO THIS... (LOUD
- 21 CHEERS AND APPLAUSE)

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS WHERE WE WERE GOING. IT'S JUST TAKEN
- 24 LONGER THAN IT SHOULD HAVE. SO I THINK WE'LL GET WHERE THEY
- 25 NEED TO BE.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SPEAKER: WELL THANK YOU. 2 3 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU SO MUCH. (APPLAUSE) 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU ALL VERY 6 7 MUCH. 8 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WE APPRECIATE YOU PARTICIPATING AND JOINING US. THANK YOU. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU ALL VERY 12 13 MUCH. 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THAT'S GOING TO BE 15 16 RESOLVED IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS. 17 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT THE ONLY ONE YOU 19 HAD? 20 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT WAS ALL I HAD ON THAT ITEM. WHAT I'D 21 22 LIKE TO DO IS, BEFORE I CALL UP THE NEXT ITEM, I'D LIKE TO DO 23 MY ADJOURNMENTS.

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SHHH!! YOU CAN CELEBRATE OUTSIDE.
- 2 (LAUGHTER)

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: I WAS READING PAPER, I THINK IT WAS
- 5 YESTERDAY OR THE DAY BEFORE, AND I WAS VERY SAD TO READ THAT A
- 6 GOOD FRIEND OF MINE, LEWIS J. PAPAN, I SERVED WITH HIM IN THE
- 7 CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE, HAD PASSED ON. HE WAS A FEISTY
- 8 GUY WHEN I WAS UP THERE. WE DIDN'T ALWAYS GET ALONG ON ISSUES
- 9 BUT HE WAS A DEAR, SWEET MAN WHO I DID ENJOY HIS COMPANY AND
- 10 HIS LEADERSHIP. HE REPRESENTED SAN MATEO COUNTY FROM 1972 TO
- 11 1996 AND AGAIN FROM 1996 TO 2002 AND HE WAS ELECTED AS SPEAKER
- 12 PRO TEM IN 1974. HE, LIKE I SAID, HE WAS AN INTERESTING MAN. I
- 13 ENJOYED WORKING WITH HIM. I THINK HE CAME OUT OF LOCAL
- 14 GOVERNMENT, SO HE HAD TREMENDOUS RESPECT FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT,
- 15 AS WELL. BUT HE WAS A DEAR FRIEND AND I'M SORRY TO SEE HIS
- 16 LOSS. SO WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES TO HIS
- 17 FAMILY AND FRIENDS.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL MEMBERS.

20

- 21 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: HE WAS HE A MEMBER OF MY CLASS WHEN WE
- 22 WERE ELECTED TO THE STATE LEGISLATURE IN 1972.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND, IF I COULD, MR. CHAIR, ON THIS ONE, I
- 25 ALSO HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH SOME-- PAPAN RULES COMMITTEE



- 1 CHAIR BECAUSE I WAS DIRECTOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF
- 2 GENERAL SERVICES AND WE CONTROLLED THE FIRST FLOOR OF THE
- 3 CAPITOL. SOMEONE PAPAN CONTROLLED THE REST OF THE CAPITOL WITH
- 4 AN IRON FIST. BUT I PARTICULARLY REMEMBER HIM BECAUSE HE WAS
- 5 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PLAQUE THAT WAS PUT ON THE RESTORED
- 6 CAPITOL. HE DECIDED WHO WENT ON THE PLAQUE. HE CONTROLLED IT.
- 7 HE LET NO ONE KNOW. NO ONE IN THE STATE KNEW UNTIL THE PLAQUE
- 8 WAS REVEALED WHO WAS GOING TO BE ON IT BECAUSE LOU CONTROLLED
- 9 IT AND I AM HAPPY TO SAY THAT MY NAME IS ON THAT PLAQUE SO I
- 10 AM FOREVER GRATEFUL TO HIM FOR THAT BUT HE WAS QUITE A MAN.

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: HE WAS OUITE AN INTERESTING GUY BUT HE WAS
- 13 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RESTORATION. HE LED THE ASSEMBLY THROUGH A
- 14 LOT OF THE RESTORATION OF THE STATE CAPITOL THAT IS REALLY
- 15 OUITE BEAUTIFUL. I KNOW THAT I HAD ONLY BEEN THERE A COUPLE OF
- 16 WEEKS AND ONE OF MY VERY FIRST BATTLES WAS WITH LOU PAPAN. I
- 17 DIDN'T KNOW. PEOPLE CAME UP TO ME AND SAID, "GLORIA, SHUT UP."
- 18 AND I DIDN'T. AND SO, WHEN I WENT BACK, MY STAFF WAS TRYING TO
- 19 HIDE LAMPS AND HIDE STUFF THAT WE HAD BECAUSE HE WAS IN
- 20 CONTROL OF WHAT YOU GOT OR WHAT OFFICE YOU GOT. THEY WERE
- 21 PREPARED TO BE KILLED OFF. BUT HE ACTUALLY WAS A VERY, VERY
- 22 SWEET, SWEET MAN AND A VERY PASSIONATE MAN AND I ENJOYED
- 23 WORKING WITH HIM. SO I'M SORRY TO SEE HIM...

24

25 SUP. KNABE: ALL MEMBERS.



- 2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL MEMBERS. I'D ALSO LIKE TO ASK THAT WE
- 3 ADJOURN THIS MORNING IN THE MEMORY OF RICHARD TAFOYA. HE
- 4 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 82. HE WAS A GRADUATE OF ROOSEVELT
- 5 HIGH SCHOOL. HE WAS VERY PROUD OF THAT AND EAST L.A. COLLEGE
- 6 AS WELL AS U.S.C. HE SERVED AS MY APPOINTEE TO THE COUNTY
- 7 BOARD OF INVESTMENTS IN THE MID-1990S BUT HE WAS ALSO THE CITY
- 8 OF MONTEBELLO'S FIRST LATINO MAYOR. HE SERVED ON THE
- 9 MONTEBELLO CITY COUNCIL FROM 1970 TO 1982 AND THEN AGAIN
- 10 SERVED AS MAYOR IN '73, '77, '81. I WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST
- 11 CONDOLENCES TO RICHARD'S TWIN SONS, RICHARD AND ROGER, HIS
- 12 GRANDCHILDREN, AS WELL AS HIS EXTENDED FAMILY AND ALL THE
- 13 LEADERS IN MONTEBELLO. WE ALSO LOST ANOTHER MAYOR IN PICO
- 14 RIVERA. I ASK THAT WE ASK IN THE MEMORY OF FORMER PICO RIVERA
- 15 MAYOR, GIL DE LA ROSA, ONE OF THE FOUNDERS OF THE CITY WHICH
- 16 WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1958. HE WAS BORN IN EL PASO, TEXAS. HIS
- 17 FAMILY MOVED TO THE EAST SIDE. HE ALSO ATTENDED ROOSEVELT HIGH
- 18 SCHOOL, SERVED IN THE U.S. ARMY AND HE MOVED TO PICO RIVERA
- 19 AND RESIDED THERE THEREAFTER. HIS CONTRIBUTIONS INCLUDED HE
- 20 WAS A STATE PROBATE REFEREE. HE SERVED ON THE PICO BOARDS AND
- 21 RECREATION COMMISSION. HE ALSO SERVED ON THE MEXICAN AMERICAN
- 22 BOARD ASSOCIATION, WAS A MEMBER OF THE BEVERLY HOSPITAL
- 23 ASSOCIATION AND THE PICO RIVERA LIONS CLUB. IN 1980, HE WAS
- 24 ELECTED TO PICO RIVERA CITY COUNCIL AND SERVED AS THE CITY'S
- 25 MAYOR IN '86. SO WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST CONDOLENCES.



1

- 2 SUPERVISOR KNABE: YEAH, I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT. HE AND I
- 3 SERVED AT THE SAME TIME WHEN I WAS ON THE CITY COUNCIL IN
- 4 CERRITOS.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: IS THAT RIGHT, WITH GIL? ALSO, I HAVE TWO
- 7 OTHER ADJOURNMENTS, UNFORTUNATELY, THAT WE STILL HAVE. I'D
- 8 LIKE TO ASK ALL MEMBERS TO JOIN IN THE MEMORY OF MARTIN JOSE
- 9 CERVANTES. HE IS A BELOVED FATHER OF SAUL CERVANTES, A LONG-
- 10 TIME EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY'S EXECUTIVE OFFICE. WE WANT TO
- 11 EXTEND OUR HEARTFELT CONDOLENCES TO SAL AND HIS FAMILY AND WE
- 12 OFFER OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS. ALSO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 13 THE MEMORY OF THREE YEAR OLD MAX TORRES, JR. WHO PASSED AWAY
- 14 IN A TRAGIC ACCIDENT IN SOUTH WHITTIER. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR
- 15 THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS TO THE ENTIRE TORRES FAMILY WHO IS
- 16 SUFFERING TREMENDOUSLY AT THIS TIME.

17

18 SUPERVISOR KNABE: I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT AS WELL.

19

20 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: SO I HAVE THOSE ADJOURNMENTS.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 24 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. WHAT I'D LIKE TO CALL UP NOW IS
- 25 ITEM 80, WHICH IS THE MARINA HOUSING POLICY.



1

- 2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THESE ARE THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS. FOR
- 3 ANYONE WHO PLANS TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE BOARD ON EITHER ITEM 79
- 4 OR 80, COULD YOU PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE
- 5 SWORN IN? IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS BOARD, DO
- 6 YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND
- 7 NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? THANK YOU, YOU MAY BE
- 8 SEATED.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE
- 11 WHO WISH TO BE HEARD.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, BEFORE THAT, I WANT TO GET-- I WANT
- 14 TO HEAR...

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU WANT TO GET A REPORT?

17

- 18 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: YEAH, I WANT TO HEAR FROM THE DEPARTMENT
- 19 BECAUSE I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY'RE RECOMMENDING, HOW
- 20 THEY'RE RECOMMENDING IT AND HOW IT'S APPLIED BEFORE.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, COULD I
- 25 JUST READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD?



1 2 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: SURE, ABSOLUTELY. 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 80, THIS IS THE HEARING ON 4 5 AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY OPTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE MELLO ACT IN MARINA DEL REY. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WHO IS GOING TO PRESENT FOR THE STAFF? 10 11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ARE THEY COMING? THE DEPARTMENT? WHO'S GOING TO DO IT? YOU'RE GOING TO DO IT, I'M SORRY. 12 13 14 JOHN EDMISTEN: I'M GOING TO FRAME THE PUBLIC HEARING. 15 16 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OH, OKAY. 17 18 JOHN EDMISTEN: JOHN EDMUNDSTON WITH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE 19 OFFICE. IN APRIL 2006, YOUR BOARD DIRECTED THE C.A.O. TO FORM 20 AND LEAD A TASKFORCE COMPRISED OF REPRESENTATIVES... 21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: JOHN, IF YOU COULD MOVE THE MIC
- 23 MORE DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF YOUR MOUTH BECAUSE IT'S HARD TO HEAR
- 24 YOU.



1 JOHN EDMISTEN: WE WERE DIRECTED TO LEAD...

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THERE YOU GO.

- 5 JOHN EDMISTEN: THANK YOU. A TASKFORCE COMPRISED OF
- 6 REPRESENTATIVES FROM BEACHES AND HARBORS, REGIONAL PLANNING,
- 7 C.D.C. AND COUNTY COUNSEL TO REVIEW AND SUBMIT REVISIONS TO
- 8 THE CURRENT MARINA AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY TO ENSURE THAT
- 9 THE COUNTY IS IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE MELLO ACT. FOLLOWING
- 10 A SERIES OF MEETINGS WITH MEMBERS OF THE TASKFORCE AND WITH
- 11 INPUT FROM YOUR STAFF, A REVISED POLICY WAS DEVELOPED AND
- 12 TRANSMITTED TO THE BOARD IN JUNE OF 2006. PURSUANT TO A MOTION
- 13 BY SUPERVISOR KNABE, THE REVISED POLICY WAS CONSIDERED BY YOUR
- 14 BOARD IN JULY OF 2006, AT WHICH TIME SPECIFIC ISSUES REGARDING
- 15 THE POLICY WERE RAISED BY VARIOUS HOUSING ADVOCATES. THE
- 16 ISSUES RAISED FOCUSED ON A FEW KEY AREAS, INCLUDING THE
- 17 PERCENTAGE GOAL OF 5 PERCENT, VERY LOW, OR 10 PERCENT, LOW,
- 18 FOR INCLUSIONARY HOUSING UNITS, THE METHODOLOGY USED TO
- 19 CALCULATE THE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING OBLIGATION, THE INCOME
- 20 SURVEY GUIDELINES USED TO DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT
- 21 HOUSING UNITS REQUIRED AS PART OF ANY DEVELOPMENT AND, FOUR,
- 22 THE TERM OF THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING COVENANT AND, FIVE, THE
- 23 METHODOLOGY TO DETERMINE A PROJECT'S FEASIBILITY. AS A RESULT
- 24 OF THESE CONCERNS, YOUR BOARD CONTINUED THE ITEM TO ITS AUGUST
- 25 1ST, 2006 MEETING, AT WHICH TIME COUNTY COUNSEL PREPARED A



- 1 REPORT FOR YOUR BOARD WHICH CONCLUDED THAT THE ISSUES RAISED
- 2 BY THE HOUSING ADVOCATES ARE NOT DIRECTLY ADDRESSED IN THE
- 3 MELLO ACT OR CASE LAW INTERPRETING THE MELLO ACT BUT RATHER
- 4 ARE MATTERS OF POLICY FOR YOUR BOARD TO CONSIDER.
- 5 CONSEQUENTLY, YOUR BOARD INSTRUCTED THE TASKFORCE TO CONVENE A
- 6 COMMUNITY FORUM TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC INPUT ON THE
- 7 POLICY AND PREPARE AN AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICIES OPTIONS
- 8 TABLE FOR YOUR BOARD'S REVIEW PRIOR TO VOTING ON THE FINAL
- 9 PARAMETERS OF THE POLICY. ACCORDINGLY, IN SEPTEMBER OF 2006,
- 10 THE TASKFORCE CONVENED A PUBLIC MEETING IN MARINA DEL REY
- 11 WHEREIN 60 RESIDENTS, HOUSING ADVOCATES, DEVELOPERS AND OTHER
- 12 INTERESTED PARTIES ATTENDED THE MEETING. 24 SPEAKERS COMMENTED
- 13 ON REVISED POLICY AND PROVIDED ALTERNATIVE POLICY PROVISIONS,
- 14 WHICH ARE REFLECTED IN THE MELLO ACT POLICY OPTIONS TABLE,
- 15 WHICH IS PART OF OUR PACKAGE. THE OPTIONS TABLE, WHICH IS
- 16 INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT 2 OF YOUR BOARD PACKAGE, IDENTIFIES A
- 17 RANGE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPTIONS FOR YOUR BOARD TO
- 18 CONSIDER. COUNTY COUNSEL HAS CONCLUDED THAT THE REVISED
- 19 POLICY, AS CURRENTLY PROPOSED, MEETS THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS OF
- 20 THE MELLO ACT. APPROVAL OF THE FINAL POLICY PARAMETERS BY YOUR
- 21 BOARD IS EXPECTED TO REDUCE COUNTY REVENUES GENERATED FROM
- 22 MARINA LEASES DUE TO LOWER GROUND RENTS COLLECTED FROM
- 23 AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS AND POSSIBLE RENT CREDITS OFFERED TO
- 24 LESSEES TO COMPENSATE THEM FOR THE LOSS IN VALUE ASSOCIATED
- 25 WITH PROVIDING THE AFFORDABLE UNITS ON SITE. THE RENT



- 1 REDUCTION WILL FLUCTUATE DEPENDING UPON THE POLICY PARAMETERS
- 2 EVENTUALLY APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD AND COUNTY RENT CONCESSIONS
- 3 ULTIMATELY NEGOTIATED WITH THE VARIOUS DEVELOPERS ON A
- 4 PROJECT-BY-PROJECT BASIS. ONCE THE FINAL POLICY PARAMETERS ARE
- 5 DETERMINED BY YOUR BOARD, THE TASKFORCE WILL PROCEED WITH THE
- 6 PREPARATION OF THE APPROPRIATE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION FOR
- 7 CONSIDERATION PRIOR TO THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION AND ADOPTION
- 8 OF A REVISED MARINA AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY. MEMBERS OF THE
- 9 TASKFORCE ARE PRESENT TODAY TO ANSWER QUESTIONS REGARDING THE
- 10 PROPOSED POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS.

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: CAN I ASK SOME QUESTIONS? BECAUSE I KNOW
- 13 YOU GAVE ME THAT AND YOU GAVE ME A LOT OF PAPER TO READ.
- 14 EXPLAIN IT IN SIMPLE LANGUAGE. WHAT IS THE POLICY THAT WE'RE
- 15 RECOMMENDING NOW? WHAT DOES IT MEAN FOR AVAILABLE UNITS?

16

- 17 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** THE PROPOSED POLICY PROVIDES FOR A PERCENTAGE
- 18 OF...

19

20 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHAT PERCENTAGE?

21

- 22 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** THAT COULD EITHER BE 5 PERCENT, VERY LOW, OR 10
- 23 PERCENT, LOW INCOME.

24

25 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: 5 OR 10, SO, WHAT? THEY CAN MAKE A CHOICE?



1
2 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT.

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: CORRECT? AND SO LET ME UNDERSTAND. IF, IN
- 5 FACT, YOU'RE CREATING A PROJECT THAT HAS 100 UNITS, RIGHT? AND
- 6 THERE WERE 30 UNITS THERE BEFORE, NOW YOU HAVE 100 UNITS, SO
- 7 IF THEY CAN CHOOSE TO HAVE 5 PERCENT OF THOSE UNITS BE VERY,
- 8 VERY LOW, IS THAT CORRECT?

9

- 10 JOHN EDMISTEN: IT WOULD BE-- THE WAY THE CALCULATION IS IN THE
- 11 PROPOSED POLICY, IT'S ON THE NET NEW UNITS GOING IN.

12

13 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: SO IT WOULD BE OF THE 70 UNITS?

14

15 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** LESS ANY REPLACEMENT...

16

17 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: UNDER YOUR RECOMMENDATION.

18

- 19 JOHN EDMISTEN: IT WOULD BE 70 LESS ANY REPLACEMENT UNITS WHICH
- 20 WERE NEEDED TO BE REPLACED. SO THE ADDITIONAL, THE
- 21 INCLUSIONARY, NOT THE REPLACEMENT.

- 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: IF, IN THE 30 UNITS, ALL OF THEM ARE LOW OR
- 24 MODERATE INCOME OR LOW INCOME, ALL 30 OF THEM WOULD BE
- 25 REPLACED. AND, IN ADDITION, THERE WOULD BE THE ADDITIONAL 70



- 1 UNITS, THERE WOULD BE A CHOICE BETWEEN A 5 PERCENT, VERY LOW,
- 2 OR A 10 PERCENT, VERY LOW, APPLICATION TO THOSE 70 UNITS, IS
- 3 THAT CORRECT?

4

- 5 JOHN EDMISTEN: OUT OF THE 70 UNITS, THE 30 THAT WE REPLACED AS
- 6 LOW INCOME, THE 30 WOULD COME OUT OF THE NET ADDITIONAL. SO IT
- 7 WOULD BE 5 OR 10 PERCENT ON THE 40. THERE'S GOING TO BE, IN
- 8 YOUR EXAMPLE, 30 REPLACEMENT LOW INCOME UNITS AND THEN THE
- 9 INCLUSIONARY IS BASED ON THE NETTED NEW LESS THE REPLACEMENT.
- 10 SO IT WOULD BE 40.

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHY? LET'S START AGAIN. SOMEBODY'S GOING TO
- 13 CORRECT YOUR MATH NOW. IT'S ALMOST LIKE WE NEED A CHALK BOARD.
- 14 OKAY. LET'S START AGAIN. 100 UNITS. WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. THAT'S
- 15 RIGHT. 30 UNITS, LET'S SAY, ARE LOW OR VERY LOW. SO THEY'RE
- 16 ALREADY...

17

18 JOHN EDMISTEN: THEY'RE REPLACEMENT HOUSING.

19

- 20 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THEY'RE GOING TO BE REPLACED WITHIN THE
- 21 100, CORRECT?

22

23 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** CORRECT.



- 1 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO, OF THE REMAINING, IS MY MATH
- 2 CORRECT, 70 UNITS?

3

4 JOHN EDMISTEN: THERE'S 70 NET NEW.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NET NEW UNITS. THEY WOULD HAVE THE CHOICE,
- 7 THE DEVELOPER WOULD HAVE THE CHOICE OF MAKING 5 PERCENT VERY
- 8 LOW OR 10 PERCENT LOW, IS THAT CORRECT?

9

- 10 JOHN EDMISTEN: THE CALCULATION PROVIDES FOR THE REPLACEMENT
- 11 UNITS TO COME OUT OF THE 70.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHY CAN'T I GET AN ANSWER TO YES OR NO? YES
- 14 OR NO? AM I CORRECT OR AM I WRONG?

15

16 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR, YOU ARE CORRECT.

17

18 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THANK YOU. STOP. STOP. THAT IS CORRECT?

19

20 **SPEAKER:** YES.

21

22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND.

- 24 SUPERVISOR KNABE: NO, BUT I WANT THE MAKE SURE YOU'RE CORRECT
- 25 BECAUSE YOU ARE TAKING THE 70 NEW UNITS. WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS



- 1 YOU TAKE THE 30 THAT YOU'VE REPLACED OUT OF THE 70. SO IT'S 5
- 2 PERCENT OR 10 PERCENT OF THE 40? OR IS IT 5 OR 10 PERCENT OF
- 3 THE 70?

4

5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OUT OF 70.

6

- 7 JOHN EDMISTEN: THE WAY THE CALCULATION WORKS, IN SUPERVISOR
- 8 MOLINA'S EXAMPLE, IT WOULD BE 100 UNITS THAT WERE GOING TO BE
- 9 BUILT.

10

11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: RIGHT.

12

- 13 JOHN EDMISTEN: THERE ARE 30 UNITS THAT WOULD BE DEMOLISHED AND
- 14 THERE WOULD BE A INCOME SURVEY BASED ON THOSE 30 UNITS...

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: BUT WAIT A MINUTE. OKAY, BUT LET'S SAY IT
- 17 CONCLUDES THAT IT'S LOW INCOME.

18

- 19 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** OKAY. ALL 30 OF THE UNITS IN THIS EXAMPLE WOULD
- 20 BE LOW INCOME UNITS.

21

22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THANK YOU.



- 1 JOHN EDMISTEN: AND THEN THE BALANCE, WHICH WOULD BE 70 UNITS,
- 2 YOU WOULD APPLY THE 10 OR 5 PERCENT TO THE 70. THAT'S THE WAY
- 3 THE POLICY'S WRITTEN.

4

5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT IS CORRECT, RIGHT?

6

7 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** YES.

8

9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO 5 PERCENT OF 70 IS 3-1/2.

10

11 JOHN EDMUNDSTON: CORRECT, SO WE WOULD ROUND UP.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, SO IT'D BE 4 UNITS
- 14 PLUS THE 30?

15

16 **JOHN EDMUNDSTON:** THAT'S CORRECT. 34 UNITS TOTAL.

17

18 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT IS CORRECT, RIGHT?

19

20 **JOHN EDMUNDSTON:** YES.

- 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO DO A NEW SCENARIO
- 23 FOR YOU SO KEEP UP WITH ME HERE. OF THE 100 UNITS THAT ARE
- 24 GOING TO BE PLACED ON THERE, IF NONE OF THE ORIGINAL 30 UNITS
- 25 ARE "AFFORDABLE" LOW, VERY LOW, AFFORDABLE, WHATEVER, THEN, OF



- 1 THE 100 UNITS, LET ME UNDERSTAND THIS CORRECTLY, DO YOU DO THE
- 2 5 PERCENT AND THE 10 PERCENT ON THE 100 UNITS? OR ON THE 70
- 3 UNITS?

4

- 5 JOHN EDMISTEN: NO. THE WAY IT WORKS IS THERE'S-- IT DEPENDS ON
- 6 WHETHER OR NOT THERE ARE EXISTING UNITS ON SITE.

7

- 8 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, THERE ARE EXISTING UNITS. THAT'S WHY
- 9 I SAID FOLLOW ALONG. THERE ARE EXISTING UNITS. THERE ARE 30
- 10 EXISTING UNITS BUT THEY ARE NOT AFFORDABLE OR LOW INCOME OR
- 11 ANYTHING. THEY'RE ALL MARKET RATE.

12

13 SPEAKER: 5 OR 10 PERCENT WOULD BE ON THE 100.

14

15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW.

16

17 JOHN EDMISTEN: NO.

18

19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: 5 AND 10...

20

21 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** NO.

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: SEE? OKAY. YOU GUYS GOT TO KNOW YOUR NUMBERS IF
- 24 YOU'RE TRYING TO PASS A POLICY.



- 1 JOHN EDMISTEN: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SUPERVISOR, THE POLICY, AS
- 2 WRITTEN, IS YOU TAKE THE NUMBER OF TOTAL NEW UNITS THAT ARE
- 3 GOING TO BE BUILT. IN YOUR EXAMPLE, THAT WOULD BE 100.

4

5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT.

6

- 7 JOHN EDMISTEN: AND, IN YOUR EXAMPLE, THERE WOULD BE 30
- 8 EXISTING UNITS THAT WOULD BE DEMOLISHED.

9

10 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT.

11

- 12 JOHN EDMISTEN: SO YOU WOULD TAKE THE 100 UNITS, SUBTRACT THE
- 13 30 THAT ARE GOING TO BE DEMOLISHED.

14

15 **SUPERVISOR MOLINA:** WHY?

16

17 JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S THE WAY THE POLICY IS WRITTEN.

18

- 19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, THAT'S WHY I WANT TO UNDERSTAND.
- 20 OKAY. 30 WHICH ARE NOW MARKET RATE.

21

22 JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 24 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: AND YOU'RE GOING TO ADD NOW AN ADDITIONAL
- 25 70 UNITS TO THAT THING. THEY NEVER WERE MARKET RATE. SO WHY



- 1 WOULD WE NOT HAVE A POLICY THAT APPLIES THE 5 OR THE 10
- 2 PERCENT TO ALL OF THE UNITS?

3

- 4 JOHN EDMISTEN: WELL, I BELIEVE WHEN THE TASKFORCE MET AND WE
- 5 DISCUSSED THAT ISSUE, WE BELIEVED THAT IT WAS PRUDENT THAT THE
- 6 30 EXISTING UNITS WOULD GO THROUGH-- BE INCOME SURVEYED PER
- 7 THE MELLO ACT...

8

- 9 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: BUT I SAID A DIFFERENT SCENARIO. I'M NOT
- 10 DOING THE INCOME SURVEY. I'M SAYING THEY ALL DID AN INCOME
- 11 SURVEY AND IT IS CONCLUDED THAT THEY'RE ALL MARKET RATE.

12

13 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: SO WHY WOULD YOU NOT APPLY THE 5 AND THE 10
- 16 PERCENT TO ALL EXISTING UNITS? THAT IS, ALL OF THE UNITS THAT
- 17 ARE THERE, THE FULL 100.

18

- 19 JOHN EDMISTEN: WELL, THAT WAS A SUBTLETY THE TASKFORCE DID NOT
- 20 REVIEW.

- 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THAT IS THE POINT THAT I WANT TO
- 23 MAKE, ALL RIGHT? WE ARE TALKING ABOUT AFFORDABILITY. NOW, THE
- 24 ISSUE ABOUT WHETHER IT'S 5 PERCENT OR 10 PERCENT, I DON'T LIKE



- 1 THOSE NUMBERS ALREADY. THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IS DOING A MUCH
- 2 STRONGER NUMBER THERE. (APPLAUSE)

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: NOW, THE ISSUE THAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT IS, WHEN
- 5 WE LOOK AT AFFORDABILITY, AND WE ALL HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WE ALL
- 6 HAVE A DUTY TO AFFORDABILITY, WHETHER WE LIKE IT OR NOT. NOW,
- 7 IN THIS INSTANCE, THE DEVELOPERS ARE JUST WAITING IN THE WINGS
- 8 BECAUSE THEY JUST SAY "WILL THE COUNTY MAKE UP ITS MIND? WE'RE
- 9 WILLING TO DO WHATEVER YOU GUYS TELL US TO DO." SO WE NEED TO
- 10 DECIDE WHAT WE NEED TO DO. AND I KNOW YOU'VE GONE THROUGH A
- 11 LOT OF STUDY BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE RATIONALE BECAUSE, IN
- 12 AFFORDABLE HOUSING OR IN THE EFFORTS OF THE GOVERNMENT GETTING
- 13 INVOLVED IN AFFORDABLE HOUSING, THE ISSUE IS CREATING
- 14 AFFORDABILITY. SO WE SHOULD LOOK AT THE ISSUES OF
- 15 AFFORDABILITY OF INTEGRATED HOUSING. ONE OF THE BIGGEST
- 16 MISTAKES WE HAVE MADE IN THE ISSUE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING, AND
- 17 THIS HAS HAPPENED NATIONWIDE, YOU SAW IT IN CHICAGO WHEN THEY
- 18 DESTROYED CABRINI GARDENS AND ALL OF THAT, IS WE CONCENTRATE
- 19 LOW AND VERY LOW AND WE SEGREGATE PEOPLE BY CLASS. AND SO,
- 20 CONSEQUENTLY, HOW WE LOOK AT HOUSING AND HOW GOVERNMENT SHOULD
- 21 INTERVENE IN THE ISSUE OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS TO INCORPORATE
- 22 AND INTEGRATE AFFORDABILITY IN ALL OF OUR FACILITIES. ONE OF
- 23 THE FEATURES WHICH WAS, I THOUGHT, WOULD BE A VERY DIFFICULT
- 24 THING TO GET HERE ON THE GRAND AVENUE PROJECT WAS TO ASK FOR
- 25 AFFORDABLE ON SITE. NOW, MOST DEVELOPERS, WHEN YOU SAY



- 1 AFFORDABLE WITH LUXURY CONDOS, THEY SAY, NO WAY, WE'RE NOT
- 2 GOING TO DO IT. IT WAS INTERESTING IN DEALING WITH THE RELATED
- 3 COMPANIES THAT THEY'VE DEALT WITH BUILDINGS IN NEW YORK,
- 4 CHICAGO AND OTHERS, WHERE THIS IS NOT A STRANGE PHENOMENON FOR
- 5 THEM. TO THEM, IT'S VERY, VERY COMMON TO HAVE INTEGRATED
- 6 AFFORDABILITY. IT'S DONE ALL OVER NEW YORK AND IT BECAUSE OF
- 7 THE REMNANTS OF RENT CONTROL AND OTHER KINDS OF ISSUES. WE, AS
- 8 POLICYMAKERS, SHOULD MAKE AN EFFORT TO INTEGRATE AFFORDABILITY
- 9 AND THAT COULD BE AT MODERATE, IT COULD BE AT LOW AND IT COULD
- 10 BE AT VERY LOW BECAUSE THE REALITY IS, IS THAT GOVERNMENT DOES
- 11 HAVE A DUTY TO PLAY INTO THIS AFFORDABLE HOUSING GAME AND THIS
- 12 IS BASICALLY ON US TO DO SO. SO HERE'S WHAT I'D LIKE TO SEE
- 13 AND YOU TELL ME WHY IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE, OTHER THAN YOU ALL
- 14 VOTED DIFFERENTLY, ALL RIGHT? WHY COULD WE NOT TAKE ALL
- 15 DEVELOPMENT THAT IS GOING ON AND DO THE MARKET SURVEY SO THAT
- 16 YOU CAN REPLACE LIKE FOR LIKE. IF THEY'RE VERY LOW INCOME,
- 17 THEY SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE AND IF THEY ARE MODERATE INCOME OR
- 18 WHATEVER. BUT WE SHOULD DO IT ON THE WHOLE AMOUNT. ON THE
- 19 ENTIRE AMOUNT. ONE WAY OR ANOTHER BECAUSE I JUST THINK THAT WE
- 20 ARE LOSING OUT ON AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRING INTEGRATED
- 21 AFFORDABILITY AND THAT SHOULD BE THE POLICY. I KNOW THAT THE
- 22 MELLO ACT CAN BE INTERPRETED HOW WE WANT TO INTERPRET IT BUT
- 23 I'M TALKING ABOUT A RESPONSIBLE INTERPRETATION. AND WE'RE NOT
- 24 TALKING ABOUT-- AND I KNOW WHEN WE SAY AFFORDABLE, EVERYBODY
- 25 FREAKS OUT AND THINKS IT'S, I DON'T KNOW, SUBSIDIZED HOUSING



- 1 AND WHO'S COMING INTO THIS HOUSING. IT'S JUST AMAZING. YOUR
- 2 OWN CHILDREN NEED AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MY CHILDREN NEED
- 3 AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MIDDLE CLASS AMERICA NEEDS AFFORDABLE
- 4 HOUSING. HOUSING IS SO HIGH EVERYWHERE. AND IF WE DON'T DO OUR
- 5 DUTY TO INTEGRATE IT, WE'RE MAKING HUGE MISTAKES. I MEAN, YOU
- 6 CAN GO SO FAR INTO THE DESERT TO FIND AFFORDABILITY BUT IT
- 7 JUST IS NOT GOOD POLICY. SO WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY HERE, AS I
- 8 UNDERSTAND, UNDER THE MELLO ACT, TO CREATE A POLICY THAT IS
- 9 GOING TO GO DEEP INTO HOW WE LOOK AT THE ISSUES OF
- 10 AFFORDABILITY AND I THINK WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY. AND I DON'T
- 11 THINK YOU HAVE DEVELOPERS GOING ABSOLUTELY NOT, WE WON'T DO. I
- 12 THINK YOU'RE GOING TO FIND MORE DEVELOPERS THAT ARE WILLING TO
- 13 RESPECT AND UNDERSTAND THE INTEGRATION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
- 14 YOU SEE IT ALL OVER OTHER MAJOR CITIES, SAN FRANCISCO HAS IT,
- 15 CHICAGO HAS IT, NEW YORK HAS IT. WE NEED TO STOP THE
- 16 SEGREGATION OF CLASSES AND WE NEED TO BEGIN THE PROCESS OF
- 17 BRINGING AFFORDABILITY. SO WHAT WOULD MY POLICY LOOK LIKE?
- 18 ANSWER ME. WHAT WOULD MY POLICY LOOK LIKE IF WE WOULD TAKE,
- 19 AFTER THE SURVEY, WE WOULD DO THE LIKE FOR LIKE REPLACEMENT,
- 20 RIGHT? AND WE WOULD LOOK AT THE TOTAL OF WHAT WE'RE BUILDING,
- 21 WHETHER 30 WERE THERE OR NOT, WE WOULD LOOK AT THE TOTAL AND
- 22 MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE PUTTING IN-- I'D LIKE HIGHER NUMBERS
- 23 THAN THE 5 AND THE 10 BUT I'M NOT SO SURE THAT'S HERE. BUT I
- 24 DO THINK WE REALLY NEED TO LOOK AT LOOKING AT ALL OF THE UNITS
- 25 AND NOT JUST THE RESIDUAL AMOUNT.



1 JOHN EDMISTEN: SUPERVISOR, ONE OF THE OPTIONS THAT IS BEFORE 2 3 YOU IS WHAT WE REFERRED TO AS THE ADJUSTED UNITS, ADJUSTED INCLUSIONARY UNITS. 4 5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHAT PAGE IS THAT IN IN YOUR DOCUMENTS? 6 7 8 JOHN EDMISTEN: IT WOULD BE UNDER ITEM C, IT WOULD BE OPTION NUMBER 2, I BELIEVE. 10 11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OPTION B? 12 13 JOHN EDMISTEN: C LIKE CAT. NUMBER 2. 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NUMBER 2? 15 16 JOHN EDMISTEN: YES. SO THE ADJUSTED METHODOLOGY WOULD GO--17 THAT YOU WOULD HAVE IN YOUR EXAMPLE, 100 UNITS. THEY WOULD DO 18 19 AN INCOME SURVEY. IF THE INCOME SURVEY COMES BACK THAT THERE 20 ARE NO AFFORDABLE UNITS, THEN THE 10 OR 5 PERCENT WOULD BE APPLIED TO THE WHOLE AMOUNT. 21 22

SUPERVISOR MOLINA: TO THE WHOLE AMOUNT.

24



- 1 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT. IF THE-- IN YOUR EXAMPLE THERE WERE
- 2 100 UNITS, THEY DID AN INCOME SURVEY AND THERE WERE 10
- 3 AFFORDABLE UNITS, THEN THE POLICY WOULD REQUIRE THE 10 AND 5
- 4 PERCENT TO BE APPLIED TO THE 90. SO IT WOULD SUBTRACT OUT THE
- 5 REPLACEMENT UNITS.

6

7 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: RIGHT.

8

9 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT.

10

11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OKAY. BUT THAT WOULD BE THE REPLACEMENT.

12

13 JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S, I BELIEVE, ONE OF THE OPTIONS.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: I DON'T KNOW. I MEAN, I THINK THAT THAT IS
- 16 THE BEST POLICY AND I'D LIKE TO SEE THIS BOARD ADOPT THAT.
- 17 NOW, I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE LOOKED AT THIS BUT TO
- 18 ME IT SEEMS LIKE AN EQUITABLE, FAIR POLICY. THE DEVELOPERS
- 19 DON'T HAVE A TREMENDOUS PROBLEM FOR US. IT'S GOING TO COST US,
- 20 THE COUNTY, A LITTLE BIT MORE BUT THE REALITY IS...

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HOW MUCH IS THAT, ON THAT ONE
- 23 ISSUE?



- 1 JOHN EDMISTEN: THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE POLICY AND THE LIKE-
- 2 FOR-LIKE AND THE ADJUSTED CALCULATION WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY
- 3 \$9 MILLION.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M TALKING ABOUT WHAT SUPERVISOR
- 6 MOLINA WAS TALKING ABOUT A MOMENT AGO WHICH IS TO DO IT ON THE
- 7 GROSS RATHER THAN THE NET?

8

9 JOHN EDMISTEN: THE GROSS WOULD BE 38.9 MILLION.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND IF YOU DID THE LIKE-FOR-LIKE
- 12 AND THE GROSS, IT WOULD BE 38 PLUS 9?

13

14 JOHN EDMISTEN: NO. THAT'S INCLUDED.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S INCLUDED IN THE 38? OVER
- 17 WHAT PERIOD OF TIME?

18

19 JOHN EDMISTEN: 30-YEAR PERIOD.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 30-YEAR PERIOD.

22

23 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** YES.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT'S ALMOST A COUPLE
- 2 MILLION A YEAR.

3

- 4 JOHN EDMISTEN: RIGHT. IF YOU GO TO THE TERM OF THE LEASE, IT
- 5 WOULD BE CLOSER TO \$41.1 MILLION.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. AND I WOULD EXPECT--
- 8 WELL, I THINK THAT'S ANOTHER ISSUE WE NEED TO ADDRESS WHICH IS
- 9 HOW LONG THIS GOES INTO EFFECT AND I THINK THE TERM OF THE
- 10 LEASE IS THE WAY TO GO BUT I'LL GET TO THAT ONE WHEN
- 11 SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS DONE. I JUST WANTED TO GET THE NUMBERS ON
- 12 IT.

13

- 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, ON THAT SAME ISSUE, THOUGH, HOW MANY
- 15 UNITS, SINCE YOU KNOW WHAT THE NUMBER IS. HOW MANY AFFORDABLE
- OR IN THAT CATEGORY WOULD WE BE CREATING DURING THAT PERIOD OF
- 17 TIME? UNDER MY...

18

19 JOHN EDMISTEN: UNDER WHICH SCENARIO? THE LIKE-FOR-LIKE?

20

21 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: YEAH.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS IT THE LIKE-FOR-LIKE OR ARE YOU
- 24 ALSO TALKING ABOUT THE GROSS?



JOHN EDMISTEN: AND THE ADJUSTED, THE ADJUSTED... 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE WANT THE GROSS. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH. 6 7 JOHN EDMISTEN: LIKE THE ADJUSTED AND IT WOULD BE A TOTAL OF 102 UNITS. IT WOULD BE 39 REPLACEMENT UNITS AND 63 INCLUSIONARY UNITS. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT NOW? 12 HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE? 13 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OF THE ONES WE KNOW. 15 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS OF THE PROJECTS IN 16 THE PIPELINE THAT YOU KNOW OF? 17 18 19 JOHN EDMISTEN: OPTION C. 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT ARE THESE NUMBERS ABOUT REAL 21 22 PROJECTS THAT ARE IN THE PIPELINE? 23 24 JOHN EDMISTEN: YES, SUPERVISOR. 25



- SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. AND HOW MANY PROJECTS DOES 1 2 THAT REPRESENT? 3 JOHN EDMISTEN: THERE ARE THREE PROJECTS CURRENTLY BEING 4 5 NEGOTIATED. 6 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THE DIFFERENCE IS 8 BETWEEN-- SO YOU'RE SAYING UNDER HER SCENARIO IT'S 102 UNITS? 9 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT. 10 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF AFFORDABLE UNITS. AND, IF 12 YOU GO UNDER YOUR SCENARIO, THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION, HOW MANY 13 14 WOULD IT BE? 15 16 JOHN EDMISTEN: IT WOULD BE 87 UNITS. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 87. THERE'S A DIFFERENCE OF 15 19 UNITS BETWEEN THE TWO? 20 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT. 21
- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU TELLING ME THAT WE'RE
- 24 GOING TO PAY \$39 MILLION OVER 30 YEARS FOR 15 UNITS? IS THAT
- 25 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

21

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 JOHN EDMISTEN: I WOULD DEFER TO OUR ECONOMIC CONSULTANT. 2 3 SUP. KNABE: YEP. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DOESN'T SOUND AFFORDABLE TO ME. 6 7 8 SPEAKER: NOW, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO POLICIES IS \$10 MILLION. SO THE 15 UNITS ARE \$10 MILLION. IT'S \$29 MILLION--29.9, \$30 MILLION FOR THE PROPOSED POLICY. IT'S \$10 MILLION 10 11 INCREASE GOING BETWEEN THE PROPOSED POLICY AND OPTION C. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THEN WHY DID YOU SAY 39 MILLION? WHY DID HE SAY 39 MILLION? 14 15 16 SPEAKER: THE TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT IS 39. THE INCREASE IS 29 TO 39. 17 18 19 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: COULD YOU ALSO TELL ME WHAT THE INCREASE IN 20 THE REVENUE WOULD BE?

22 SPEAKER: WELL THAT'S THE LOSS IN COUNTY REVENUES BETWEEN...

- 24 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NO, I KNOW, BUT I WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS THE
- 25 INCREASE IN REVENUE? YOU'VE GOT ALL THESE NEW UNITS THAT WILL



- 1 GO UP TO MARKET RATE, SO WE HAVE REVENUE COMING IN. DON'T HAVE
- 2 THAT NUMBER, HUH?

3

4 SPEAKER: I HAVE IT BUT NOT AT THIS TABLE.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: COULD YOU FIND IT FOR ME, PLEASE? BECAUSE I
- 7 THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT NUMBER TO LOOK AT, AS WELL. YOU CAN
- 8 DO IT IN ISOLATION AND IT'S A VERY BIG NUMBER. BUT LOOK AT THE
- 9 INCREASED REVENUE THAT WE HAVE, AS WELL.

10

11 SPEAKER: THAT'S A FAIR QUESTION.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO I WANT TO BE SURE I
- 14 UNDERSTAND. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 87 AND 102 UNITS, THOSE 15
- 15 UNITS, WOULD COST THE COUNTY IN LOST REVENUE \$10 MILLION OVER
- 16 30 YEARS?

17

18 JOHN EDMISTEN: ABOUT \$9 MILLION, YES.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DOES THAT INCLUDE THE LIKE-FOR-
- 21 LIKE?

22

23 **JOHN EDMISTEN:** YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, WHY IS-- TELL ME WHY IT'S
- 2 INCLUSIVE OF THE LIKE-FOR-LIKE? YOU COULD HAVE A SITUATION
- 3 WHERE YOU DID THE GROSS BUT NOT DO LIKE-FOR-LIKE? SO I WOULD
- 4 IMAGINE IT WOULD BE AN ADD-ON, WOULDN'T IT?

5

6 JOHN EDMISTEN: CAN YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION? I DON'T FOLLOW.

7

- 8 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I MAY BE WRONG. THIS IS A
- 9 QUESTION, NOT A STATEMENT. IS IT POSSIBLE THAT YOU COULD HAVE
- 10 A PROJECT IN WHICH YOU CALCULATED THE NUMBER OF AFFORDABLE
- 11 UNITS BASED ON THE GROSS WHERE, IF YOU DIDN'T INSIST ON LIKE-
- 12 FOR-LIKE, THAT YOU COULD HAVE THE GROSS WITHOUT THE LIKE-FOR-
- 13 LIKE? IS THAT POSSIBLE?

14

- 15 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR, OF THE \$10 MILLION DIFFERENCE, THE
- 16 DIFFERENCE FROM GOING FROM MODERATE TO LIKE-FOR-LIKE IS \$2
- 17 MILLION. SO OF THE 10, 2 IS MODERATE LIKE FOR LIKE AND 8 IS
- 18 THE...

19

- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THE GROSS. THANK YOU. ALL
- 21 RIGHT, MR. KNABE?

- 23 SUPERVISOR KNABE: I GUESS THE ISSUE HERE, I MEAN, I THINK THAT
- 24 NUMBER IS IMPORTANT. WE DO NEED TO GET THAT NUMBER OF
- 25 ADDITIONAL REVENUE BASE BECAUSE THIS ESTIMATES THE LOST



- 1 REVENUE, BUT THERE ARE-- BECAUSE EVEN IF YOUR EXAMPLE, YOU'VE
- 2 GOT ALMOST 1,000 NEW UNITS, IS THAT CORRECT? UNDER EXAMPLE C?

3

4 JOHN EDMISTEN: APPROXIMATELY 1,356 UNITS TOTAL.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR KNABE: TOTAL BASED ON-- I WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE
- 7 WORKING OFF OPTION C, IS THAT CORRECT?

8

9 JOHN EDMISTEN: YES, SUPERVISOR.

10

11 SUPERVISOR KNABE: AND IF YOU DID GROSS...

12

- 13 JOHN EDMISTEN: JUST TO CLARIFY THAT. THOSE NUMBERS WE'RE
- 14 TALKING ABOUT REQUIRES 5 PERCENT VERY LOW FOR THE INCLUSIONARY
- 15 COMPONENT OF THE PROJECT.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR KNABE: BUT THERE ARE VERY FEW PROJECTS OUT THERE
- 18 THAT YOU DON'T HAVE SOME EXISTING, LOW, RIGHT? OR LIKE-FOR-
- 19 LIKE? I MEAN, UNLESS YOU BUILT-- I MEAN, IF YOU BUILD ON A
- 20 BRAND NEW, LIKE, SAY, A PARKING LOT AND YOU BUILT NEW UNITS,
- 21 YOU WOULD HAVE YOUR CHOICE BETWEEN 5 OR 10 PERCENT, WHATEVER
- 22 IT MAY BE?

23

24 JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S CORRECT.



- 1 SUPERVISOR KNABE: ON A REPLACEMENT-- PARDON ME? ON A
- 2 REPLACEMENT PROJECTS, ARE THERE ANY OUT THERE THAT WOULD NOT
- 3 BE LIKE-FOR-LIKE?

4

- 5 JOHN EDMISTEN: THERE ARE TWO PROJECTS NOW THAT HAVE EXISTING
- 6 UNITS THAT WOULD BE DEMOLISHED. SO THOSE WOULD REQUIRE LIKE-
- 7 FOR-LIKE REPLACEMENT IF WE DO OPTION C.

8

SUPERVISOR KNABE: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I JUST ASK YOU WHY YOU DON'T
- 12 KNOW WHAT THE GROSS FIGURE IS FOR THESE 1,300 UNITS? THAT
- 13 SHOULD BE THE NUMBER THAT IS ETCHED IN YOUR MIND MORE THAN ANY
- 14 OTHER. WHY IS IT-- I MEAN I HAD THE SAME QUESTION. WHAT IS THE
- 15 GROSS REVENUE THAT WE EXPECT OVER THE TERM OF THIS LEASE FOR
- 16 THESE 1,300 UNITS? I KNOW YOU DON'T HAVE THEM BUT SOMEBODY UP
- 17 THERE, STAN, DO YOU KNOW?

18

- 19 STAN WISNIEWSKI: I'VE ASKED OUR OTHER CONSULTANT TO LOOK AT
- 20 HIS PAPERS. I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND CALL BACK TO MY OFFICE.
- 21 I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER WITH ME, EITHER.

- 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ON AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE, DO
- 24 YOU HAVE AN IDEA WHAT THIS WOULD BE? FOR 1,300 UNITS, WHAT WE

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



WOULD EXPECT TO GET BASED UPON THE LEASES WE HAVE BEEN 2 NEGOTIATING? 3 STAN WISNIEWSKI: I THINK IT'S IN THE ORDER OF TENS OF MILLIONS 4 5 OF DOLLARS. THERE'S NOT DOUBT OF THAT. 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, OBVIOUSLY, IT'S-- BUT IS IT 7 10 OR IS IT 99 OR IS IT 150? 9 STAN WISNIEWSKI: SUPERVISOR, I WOULDN'T WANT TO ESTIMATE... 10 11 SUP. KNABE: WELL, IT'S GOT TO BE MORE THAN... 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, IF YOU DON'T KNOW, YOU DON'T 14 KNOW. I'M JUST SURPRISED. 15 16 SUPERVISOR KNABE: IT'S GOT TO BE MORE THAN 40. 17 18 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK IT'S A BIG NUMBER 20 AND I THINK WE NEED TO KNOW THAT. 21 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE: BUT I THINK ONE OF THE IMPORTANT...



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE
- 2 WHO WANT TO BE HEARD. SO WE CAN GO THROUGH THE HEARING PROCESS
- 3 WHILE YOU GO GET THAT INFORMATION.

4

- 5 SUPERVISOR BURKE: THE CALCULATION THAT YOU WOULD TAKE ALL THE
- 6 1,300 UNITS, BREAK THEM DOWN BY WHAT THE PROPOSED RENT IS AND
- 7 HOW MANY BEDROOMS IN EACH ONE?

8

9 SPEAKER: THAT'S HOW YOU WOULD GET TO IT.

10

- 11 SUPERVISOR BURKE: YOU'D HAVE TO GET TO IT. IT DOESN'T SOUND
- 12 LIKE A REAL EASY NUMBER TO ME. SOMEONE HAS IT. I ASSUME THE
- 13 DEVELOPER OF EACH PROJECT HAS IT.

14

- 15 STAN: WE'VE DONE THE ESTIMATES. I THINK THE NUMBER IS ON THE
- 16 ORDER OF 400 TO \$500 MILLION. BUT IT'S JUST ON A DIFFERENT
- 17 SUMMARY TABLE THAT I HAVE BACK IN MY OFFICE. I DIDN'T BRING IT
- 18 WITH ME.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, WELL, THAT'S GOOD
- 21 ENOUGH. I MEAN, IF IT'S 400 TO \$500 MILLION AND THEN YOU'RE
- 22 TELLING US THAT, GOING ON THE GROSS FIGURE, WHICH IS WHAT THE
- 23 CITY OF LOS ANGELES IS DOING, WILL COST US \$10 MILLION-- NOW
- 24 THE 400 TO 500 MILLION IS WHAT WE'RE GOING TO GET?



```
SUPERVISOR KNABE: IS THAT 30 YEARS OR LIFE?
1
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT DOES 400 TO 400 MILLION
    REPRESENT?
4
5
    JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S GROSS.
6
7
8
    STAN: THAT'S GROSS...
9
    SUP. BURKE: WHAT PEOPLE ARE PAYING, RIGHT?
10
11
12
    STAN: I'LL WAIT UNTIL ALLAN AND I...
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, WHY DON'T WE JUST DO
14
15
    THAT.
16
    SUP. KNABE: BUT I MEAN, IS THAT NUMBER THAT YOU'RE THROWING
17
18
    OFF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD, IS THAT 30 YEARS OR OVER THE LIFE?
19
20
    STAN: THAT'S LIFE OF LEASE.
21
22
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT IS A GROSS FIGURE?
23
    STAN: LET ME GET BACK TO YOU. I BELIEVE THAT'S A GROSS
24
25
    NUMBER...
```

25

SPEAKER: THAT'S RIGHT.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT WHAT DID YOU THINK IT WAS WHEN 3 YOU SAID IT? 4 5 SUPERVISOR BURKE: IF YOU TAKE 1,356 UNITS... 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HANG ON A SECOND, LET HIM ANSWER 8 ONE QUESTION AT A TIME, YVONNE. 9 SUP. BURKE: ...AND YOU ESTIMATE WHAT THE ANNUAL AVERAGE RENT 10 11 IS ON ALL OF THE PARCELS, YOU CAN GET AN ESTIMATE. 12 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IT WAS YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE SAYING. 14 15 16 STAN: I WAS TAKING A QUICK LOOK AT THE RENT LOSS. I THINK THAT IS THE GROSS DOLLARS AND NOT THE PRESENT VALUE DOLLARS. 17 18 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GROSS TO WHOM? 20 JOHN EDMISTEN: ALL RECEIPTS. 21 22 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF ALL RECEIPTS? 24



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OUR RECEIPTS? 3 JOHN EDMISTEN: RECEIPTS COLLECTED FROM... 4 5 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BY THE DEVELOPER. 6 7 8 JOHN EDMISTEN: CORRECT. 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE RENTS THEY COLLECTED. 10 11 SUP. BURKE: THE RENTS THAT WOULD BE PAID. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT. THAT'S NOT WHAT... 14 15 16 SUPERVISOR BURKE: THE RENTS THAT ARE GOING TO BE PAID. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S NOT WHAT-- CAN I FINISH? 19 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. I'M JUST-- BUT IT'S GETTING SO CONFUSING 20 21 WHEN IT COULD BE SO SIMPLE. 22 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, OF COURSE IT'S 24 CONFUSING BUT IT DIDN'T START WITH-- YOU KNOW, IN THE LAST TWO 25 MINUTES. IT'S MY TURN TO BE CONFUSED. I JUST WANT TO



- 1 UNDERSTAND. SO THE 400 TO 500 MILLION IS ONE THING. WHEN YOU
- 2 SAY \$10 MILLION IS THE COST OF GOING TO A GROSS CALCULATION ON
- 3 THE NUMBER OF AFFORDABLE UNITS, THAT FIGURE IS OUT OF OUR
- 4 HIDE, CORRECT?

5

6 JOHN EDMISTEN: THAT'S CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT IS OUT OF THE COUNTY'S HIDE.
- 9 SO WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR, MR. COATEN, YOU SHOULD DO IS LISTEN,
- 10 WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS AN APPLES-TO-APPLES COMPARISON. WHAT
- 11 ARE WE EXPECTING TO GET IN REVENUES NET TO THE COUNTY, THE SO-
- 12 CALLED PROFIT OR WHATEVER YOU CALL IT HERE, FROM THESE
- 13 PROJECTS, AGAINST WHICH WE WOULD DEDUCT THE \$10 MILLION?
- 14 THAT'S THE FIGURE I WANT. NOT THE GROSS.

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR KNABE: YOU WOULD GET 29. I MEAN, YOU'VE GOT 39
- 17 TOTAL BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT THE ORIGINAL 29 ON THE 30 OR-- IN THE
- 18 LIKE-TO-LIKE SO IT WOULD BE 39 TOTAL.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO FIND OUT.
- 21 OKAY. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION OF THE BOARD? DO YOU WANT TO GO TO
- 22 THE PUBLIC HEARING WHILE THEY WORK THIS OUT? WHY DON'T I ASK
- 23 YOU GENTLEMEN TO TAKE YOUR LAPTOP TO THE SIDE...

7

9

13

15

19

22

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. BURKE: AND COULD WE BE VERY CLEAR OF THE NUMBER WE'RE
- 2 GETTING? SEE, THE FIRST NUMBER I THOUGHT WE WERE GETTING WAS
- 3 THE INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT THAT WE WERE RECEIVING AS A RESULT
- 4 OF THESE 1,356 UNITS. THAT WAS THE FIRST NUMBER THAT WAS
- 5 REQUESTED I THOUGHT BY MS. MOLINA. AM I CORRECT? GLORIA, WAS
- 6 THAT THE NUMBER YOU REQUESTED?
- 8 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT IS THAT?
- 10 SUPERVISOR BURKE: WAS THE NUMBER YOU REQUESTED THE INCREASE IN
- 11 THE AMOUNT OF REVENUE WE WERE RECEIVING AS A RESULT OF THE
- 12 CONSTRUCTION OF THESE 1,356 UNITS?
- 14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ABSOLUTELY.
- 16 SUPERVISOR BURKE: THAT WAS YOUR REQUEST. THE NEXT REQUEST IS
- 17 THE TOTAL AMOUNT BASED UPON THE PROPOSED RENT. THAT'S THE
- 18 SECOND REQUEST.
- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THOSE ARE THE SAME
- 21 NUMBERS.
- 23 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: I CAN'T IMAGINE THEY WOULD BE DIFFERENT.
- 25 SUPERVISOR BURKE: ONE IS THE DIFFERENCE AND ONE IS THE TOTAL.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. BUT WHAT GLORIA WAS LOOKING
- 3 FOR AND WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS THE SAME THING WHICH IS, IF
- 4 YOU TELL US WE'RE LOSING 10 MILLION, FROM WHAT ARE LOSING--
- 5 WHAT'S THE POT FROM WHICH WE'RE LOSING IT? AND THE 10 MILLION
- 6 IS BASED ON THE FIGURES GOING OUT, 30 OR 55 YEARS, WHATEVER IT
- 7 IS. WE WANT TO KNOW THE POT OUT OF WHICH WE'RE LOSING IT IS
- 8 BASED ON THAT SAME INFLATIONARY FACTOR.

9

10 SUP. KNABE: BUT IT'S 30. IT'S NOT 10.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS. 39,
- 13 YEAH. YOU'RE RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. BUT YOU GET MY DRIFT. ALL
- 14 RIGHT. LET'S GO TO THE PUBLIC HEARING. LET'S HEAR FROM THOSE
- 15 WHO ARE OPPOSED TO THE POLICY FIRST. I'M GOING TO ASK THAT WE
- 16 LIMIT THE TESTIMONY TO TWO MINUTES EACH. I WILL CALL ON FOUR
- 17 OF YOU TO COME DOWN AT THE SAME TIME. FAITH KIRKPATRICK,
- 18 YASMIN TONG, BEN BEACH AND SUSANNE BROWNE.

- 20 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: AND, AS THE SPEAKERS SPEAK, MAYBE THEY
- 21 COULD ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, NOW I'M ASKING FOR A VARIATION OF
- 22 WHAT WAS RECOMMENDED BY WHATEVER THE COMMITTEE WAS. I'M SURE
- 23 SOME OF THE ADVOCATES WANT MUCH MORE BUT IT WOULD BE
- 24 INTERESTING TO FIND OUT WHERE THEY STAND ON A POTENTIAL
- 25 PROPOSAL AS THIS.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, MISS KIRKPATRICK?
- 3 YOU'RE MISS KIRKPATRICK?

4

- 5 FAITH KIRKPATRICK: YES. FAITH KIRKPATRICK. I WORK WITH PEOPLE
- 6 ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL. WE WORK ON THE WEST SIDE WITH
- 7 PEOPLE WHO WILL BE AFFECTED BY THIS. I'M GOING TO GIVE MY TIME
- 8 TO BEN BECAUSE THEY SPEAK BETTER THAN I DO.

- 10 BEN BEACH: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. BEN BEACH FROM LEGAL AID
- 11 FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES HERE ON BEHALF OF OUR CLIENTS,
- 12 PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL AND WITH OUR
- 13 COLLEAGUES, WESTERN CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY. WE APPRECIATE
- 14 THE DISCUSSION THUS FAR THIS MORNING AND NOT TO TRY TO
- 15 SIMPLIFY FURTHER WHAT IS ALREADY A COMPLEX ISSUE, BUT BY WAY
- 16 OF HELPING YOU UNDERSTAND THE ORGANIZATION OF OUR TESTIMONY
- 17 TODAY, I WANT TO SUGGEST THAT WHAT'S AT ISSUE IS TWO THINGS.
- 18 ONE, WHAT IS THE COUNTY AND THE PUBLIC GETTING IN TERMS OF
- 19 AFFORDABLE UNITS? AND, TWO, WHAT'S THE COST OF THAT? I'M GOING
- 20 TO FOCUS MY TESTIMONY ON WHAT THE COUNTY IS CURRENTLY GETTING
- 21 UNDER THE PROPOSED POLICY AND WHAT WE BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE
- 22 GETTING. MY COLLEAGUES WILL FOCUS ON WHAT THAT COSTS. WE ARE
- 23 SUBMITTING THIS MORNING WRITTEN COMMENTS TO SUPPORT OUR
- 24 TESTIMONY. HAPPILY, THOSE COMMENTS MADE IT TODAY BUT
- 25 UNFORTUNATELY OUR ECONOMIST DID NOT MAKE IT THROUGH THE



- 1 TRAFFIC THIS MORNING AND THUS IS NOT HERE BUT WE WILL
- 2 NEVERTHELESS HAVE SOME ECONOMIC TESTIMONY THIS MORNING. SO,
- 3 FIRST, WHAT IS THE COUNTY GETTING AND WHAT SHOULD IT BE
- 4 GETTING? AS WE HAVE TESTIFIED TO BEFORE, THE CURRENT PROPOSAL
- 5 IS A STEP BACKWARDS FROM THE COUNTY'S EXISTING POLICY. AS THE
- 6 DISCUSSION TODAY HAS ALREADY ALLUDED TO, WHAT THE COUNTY IS
- 7 LIKELY TO GET UNDER THE PROPOSED POLICY, BASED ON DEVELOPERS'
- 8 CHOICE, IS THE 5 PERCENT VERY LOW. AND THAT'S 5 PERCENT OF
- 9 UNITS IN THE INCLUSIONARY SENSE AT 50 PERCENT OF A.M.I. THAT'S
- 10 A SIGNIFICANT STEP BACKWARDS FROM WHAT WAS ALREADY IN PLACE,
- 11 WHICH WAS 10 PERCENT AT 60 PERCENT OF A.M.I. SO WE'RE ALREADY
- 12 TAKING A STEP BACKWARD FROM WHAT WE HAVE IN PLACE IN THE
- 13 COUNTY LEVEL. IN ADDITION, THERE'S THE ISSUE THAT YOU'VE JUST
- 14 BEEN DISCUSSING, WHICH IS HOW IS THAT 10 PERCENT FIGURE -- WHAT
- 15 IS THE NUMBER TO WHICH THAT 10 PERCENT OR 5 PERCENT FIGURE IS
- 16 APPLIED? I'M GOING TO GET TO THAT IN A SECOND. AND THEN
- 17 FURTHER WE WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THIS IS ALSO, AS YOU'VE
- 18 NOTED, A PRETTY SUBSTANTIAL DEPARTURE FROM WHAT'S HAPPENING AT
- 19 THE CITY. WHAT'S HAPPENING AT THE CITY AND WHAT THE CITY'S
- 20 PLANNING COMMISSION HAS JUST ADOPTED IN ITS PURSUIT OF THE
- 21 MELLO ORDINANCE IS, ONE, 10 PERCENT OF PROJECT UNITS RESERVED
- 22 AT VERY LOW INCOME ON SITE. TWO, THAT THE 10 PERCENT
- 23 INCLUSIONARY CALCULATION BE BASED ON TOTAL PROJECT SIZE LESS
- 24 REPLACEMENT UNITS AND I'LL GIVE YOU A HYPOTHETICAL THAT
- 25 ILLUSTRATES THE DIFFERENCE. THREE, THAT THE REPLACEMENT UNITS



- 1 BE REPLACED ONE-FOR-ONE WITH WHOLE AFFORDABLE UNITS, NOT
- 2 SIMPLY BEDROOMS, WHICH IS DIFFERENT FROM THE COUNTY. FOUR,
- 3 THAT REPLACEMENT UNITS BE REPLACED ON SITE LIKE-FOR-LIKE IN
- 4 TERMS OF AFFORDABILITY LEVELS. THAT DISPLACED TENANTS HAVE A
- 5 RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL, WHICH THEY DO NOT DO UNDER THE
- 6 PROPOSED POLICY OF THE COUNTY. THAT THE PROJECT RECORD A 55-
- 7 YEAR AFFORDABILITY COVENANT AS THE CHAIR HAS ALLUDED TO AND
- 8 THAT AFFORDABLE UNITS BE STRICTLY COMPARABLE WITH MARKET RATE
- 9 UNITS. THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE DOING IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES.
- 10 LET ME DRAW YOU A QUICK NUMERICAL COMPARISON. LET'S TAKE A
- 11 HYPOTHETICAL. YOU'VE GOT 200 UNITS ON SITE. A 200-UNIT PROJECT
- 12 THAT WILL BE DEMOLISHED. 10 OF THE UNITS IN THAT PROJECT ARE
- 13 AT VERY LOW INCOME. WHAT'S PROPOSED BY THE DEVELOPER IS A 500-
- 14 UNIT NEW PROJECT. SO, UNDER THE CITY'S REGIME, THE RESULT
- 15 WOULD BE 10 VERY LOW INCOME REPLACEMENT UNITS AND THEN THE 10
- 16 PERCENT APPLIED TO 500, THE NUMBER OF TOTAL NEW UNITS, MINUS
- 17 10 REPLACEMENT UNITS. THE RESULT ON THE CITY SIDE IN THIS
- 18 PROJECT WOULD BE 59 VERY LOW INCOME UNITS. ON THE COUNTY SIDE,
- 19 THIS VERY SAME PROJECT, THE RESULT WOULD BE 15 VERY LOW INCOME
- 20 UNITS, 10 MODERATE UNITS. SO YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE'S A REALLY
- 21 SUBSTANTIAL DIFFERENCE IN WHAT WE'RE GETTING BETWEEN WHAT'S
- 22 PROPOSED AT THE CITY AND WHAT'S PROPOSED AT THE COUNTY IN
- 23 TERMS OF THIS PROJECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE THE-- THE 59 IN THE CITY
- 2 WOULD BE WHAT?

3

4 BEN BEACH: THE WAY WE GET 59--

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, ANSWER MY QUESTION FIRST. IS
- 7 IT VERY LOW?

8

- 9 BEN BEACH: VERY LOW. ALL 59 UNITS WOULD BE VERY LOW. IF THE 10
- 10 UNITS THAT WERE BEING REPLACED WERE VERY LOW BECAUSE THE CITY
- 11 DOES LIKE-FOR-LIKE, ALL 59 OF THOSE UNITS WOULD BE VERY LOW.
- 12 WHEREAS, AGAIN, IN THE COUNTY CASE, IT WOULD BE 15 VERY LOW
- 13 INCLUSIONARY UNITS, 10 PERCENT MODERATE REPLACEMENT UNITS.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. EXPLAIN HOW YOU GOT TO THE
- 16 59.

17

- 18 BEN BEACH: SO THE WAY WE GET TO THE 59 ON THE CITY SIDE IS WE
- 19 TAKE 500, WHICH IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS PROPOSED. WE
- 20 SUBTRACT 10, WHICH IS THE NUMBER OF REQUIRED REPLACEMENT UNITS
- 21 BASED ON THE NUMBER OF AFFORDABLE UNITS THAT ARE ALREADY THERE
- 22 THAT ARE GOING TO BE DEMOLISHED. WE SUBTRACT 10 FROM 500. AND
- 23 WE AN-- WHICH IS 590[SIC] AND THEN WE APPLY 10 PERCENT TO THAT
- 24 FIGURE.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, IT'S 490 AND THEN YOU GET 10
- 2 PERCENT OF THAT. SO THAT'S 49 PLUS THE 10 REPLACEMENT IS 59.

3

4 BEN BEACH: THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, NEXT.

- 8 DEANNA KITAMUIA: GOOD MORNING, DEANNA KITAMUIA WITH WESTERN
- 9 CENTER ON LAW AND POVERTY. AS MY COLLEAGUE, BEN BEACH, JUST
- 10 DISCUSSED, THE CITY REQUIRES DEVELOPERS TO RESERVE 10 PERCENT
- 11 OF A DEVELOPMENT FOR VERY LOW INCOME RESIDENTS. WE KNOW FROM
- 12 OUR EXPERIENCE THAT DEVELOPERS ARE COMPLYING WITH THIS
- 13 OBLIGATION IN VENICE. AND WE ALSO KNOW THAT DEVELOPERS IN THE
- 14 COUNTY ARE IN THE SAME IF NOT BETTER POSITION THAN THE
- 15 DEVELOPERS IN THE CITY TO ABSORB THE COST OF AFFORDABLE UNITS.
- 16 UNLIKE THE COUNTY, DEVELOPERS IN THE CITY DEVELOP THEIR OWN
- 17 LAND BUT DEVELOPING ON LEASED LAND CAN BE MORE PROFITABLE. ONE
- 18 CLEAR ADVANTAGE IN DEVELOPING LEASED LAND IS THAT THE
- 19 DEVELOPER NEED NOT COME UP WITH CASH TO PURCHASE THE LAND AT
- 20 THE START OF THE PROJECT. THIS PRESERVES CAPITAL LIQUIDITY
- 21 WHICH IS A FREQUENTLY A DEVELOPER'S SCARCEST RESOURCE, REDUCES
- 22 THE NEED FOR EARLY FINANCING WITH ASSOCIATED INTEREST COSTS
- 23 AND MEANS THAT EQUITY STAYS IN THE PROJECT A SHORTER TIME AND
- 24 THEREFORE EARNS A BETTER RATE OF RETURN FOR ANY GIVEN
- 25 PROJECT'S FINANCIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. USING THE DEL REY SHORES



- 1 PROJECT AS AN EXAMPLE, OUR BAY AREA ECONOMISTS FOUND THAT THE
- 2 LONG TIME COST OF THE LEASE AT THE COUNTY'S FULL 10.5 PERCENT
- 3 LEASE RATE IS ABOUT 1/3 LESS THAN THE COST OF PURCHASING A
- 4 SIMILAR PIECE OF LAND. THE NATURE OF THE DEVELOPMENTS IN THE
- 5 MARINA MAKE AFFORDABLE UNITS MORE FEASIBLE THAN IN THE CITY AS
- 6 WELL. AS THE TASKFORCE REPORT NOTED, THE CITY IS MADE OF LOWER
- 7 DENSITY NEIGHBORHOODS WHILE THE MARINA IS HIGHER DENSITY.
- 8 PROJECTS WITH HIGHER DENSITY LEVELS CAN MORE EASILY ABSORB THE
- 9 COSTS OF INCLUDING AFFORDABLE UNITS THAN LOWER DENSITY
- 10 PROJECTS. THAT'S BECAUSE INCREASED DENSITY LOWERS THE PER UNIT
- 11 LAND COST RESULTING IN HIGHER PROFITABILITY. MY TIME HAS RUN
- 12 OUT SO I'LL JUMP DOWN HERE. WELL, THE POINT IS...

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, YOU HAVE HALF A MINUTE.

16 DEANNA KITAMUIA: THE POINT IS THAT WE BELIEVE THAT THE

- 17 DEVELOPERS ARE IN A BETTER SITUATION HERE IN THE COUNTY THAN
- 18 IN THE CITY AND THE CITY IS REQUIRING 10 PERCENT AND THOSE
- 19 DEVELOPERS ARE COMPLYING WITH 10 PERCENT. SO THE COUNTY SHOULD
- 20 BE REQUIRING THE DEVELOPERS HERE TO DO 10 PERCENT AS WELL.

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS THE CITY REQUIRING IN

23 PLAYA VISTA?

24

21

13



- 1 DEANNA KITAMUIA: PLAYA VISTA WAS BEFORE OUR MELLO SETTLEMENT.
- 2 SO IT WAS BEFORE OUR...

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE THEY REQUIRING THERE?

5

- 6 SPEAKER: I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHAT THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING
- 7 REOUIREMENT WAS BUT IT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS GRANDFATHERED
- 8 IN. IT WAS PRIOR TO OUR LITIGATION WITH THE CITY. I DON'T KNOW
- 9 THE EXACT NUMBERS.

10

11 SUPERVISOR KNABE: NOTHING BEING BUILT IN THE LAST...

12

13 SPEAKER: WE COULD FIND OUT. ALL RIGHT.

14

15 **SUSANNE BROWNE:** ARE YOU DONE?

16

17 **DEANNA KITAMUIA:** YES.

18

- 19 SUSANNE BROWNE: MY NAME SUSANNE BROWNE, I'M AN ATTORNEY WITH
- 20 THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION OF LOS ANGELES. IN ADDITION TO MY
- 21 TIME, I WILL BE USING THAT OF YASMINE TONG SINCE SHE WAS
- 22 UNABLE TO MAKE IT THROUGH THE TRAFFIC TODAY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ACTUALLY, THAT DOESN'T COMPORT
- 25 WITH OUR RULES. WHY DON'T YOU TAKE THE TWO MINUTES AND THEN,



- 1 IF YOU NEED A LITTLE EXTRA TIME, WE'LL GIVE IT TO YOU BUT
- 2 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET FOUR.

- 4 SUSANNE BROWNE: I'LL BE AS BRIEF AS POSSIBLE. MY TESTIMONY
- 5 TODAY WILL INCLUDE A DISCUSSION OF TWO ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDED
- 6 PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE POLICY AND THEN I WANT TO QUICKLY
- 7 HIGHLIGHT FOR YOU WHAT WE SEE AS THE KEY ELEMENTS TO A LEGALLY
- 8 SOUND MELLO POLICY FOR THE COUNTY. FIRST, CONCURRENT WITH WHAT
- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS RECOMMENDED, WE THINK THAT
- 10 AFFORDABLE UNITS IN THE MARINA SHOULD BE MAINTAINED AS
- 11 AFFORDABLE FOR THE DURATION OF THE GROUND LEASE. THIS IS
- 12 NEEDED FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS. WITHOUT SUCH A REQUIREMENT,
- 13 WE'RE GOING TO SEE A MASSIVE LOSS OF AFFORDABLE UNITS ALL AT
- 14 THE SAME TIME IN THE MARINA BECAUSE GROUND LEASES ARE
- 15 NEGOTIATED WITHIN A RELATIVELY SHORT TIME PERIOD. SECOND OF
- 16 ALL, FOR THE COUNTY TO REQUIRE ONLY A 30-YEAR AFFORDABILITY
- 17 COVENANT WOULD BE OUT OF STEP WITH OTHER JURISDICTIONS AND
- 18 WITH AFFORDABLE HOUSING FINANCING PROGRAMS. THE CITY OF L.A.'S
- 19 HOUSING TRUST FUND REQUIRES A 55-YEAR COVENANT. CALIFORNIA'S
- 20 LOW INCOME HOUSING TASK CREDIT PROGRAM, WHICH IS THE MOST
- 21 COMMON RENTAL HOUSING FINANCING PROGRAM IN THE STATE, REQUIRES
- 22 55 YEARS, AS DOES H.C.D.'S MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM.
- 23 FINALLY, AS HAS BEEN MENTIONED, THE CITY OF L.A.'S PROPOSED
- 24 MELLO ORDINANCE IS LOOKING AT 55-YEAR AFFORDABILITY COVENANTS
- 25 RIGHT NOW. WE THINK THAT AFFORDABILITY COVENANTS FOR THE TERM



- 1 OF THE GROUND LEASE FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT IS GOOD POLICY
- 2 BECAUSE IT ALSO HAS VERY LITTLE FINANCIAL IMPACT ON A
- 3 DEVELOPER. THERE'S NOT A MATERIAL FINANCIAL DIFFERENCE TO
- 4 DEVELOPER BETWEEN A 30-YEAR AFFORDABILITY COVENANT AND A
- 5 COVENANT FOR A LONGER TIME PERIOD. THIS IS BECAUSE ANYTHING OF
- 6 30 YEARS OR LONGER IS REFLECTED IN AN UNDERWRITING DECISION AS
- 7 AN ESSENTIALLY PERMANENT LIMITATION ON PROJECT CASH FLOW. AND
- 8 THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF AN AFFORDABILITY COVENANT IS FELT
- 9 ALMOST ENTIRELY WITHIN THE FIRST 30 YEARS. TO EXTEND THE
- 10 COVENANT LONGER DOES NOT HAVE A FINANCIAL IMPACT ON THE
- 11 DEVELOPER. FOR THESE REASONS, WE WOULD RECOMMEND A COVENANT
- 12 FOR THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT OR THE TERM OF THE LEASE. SECOND,
- 13 WE'D LIKE TO RECOMMEND THAT THE POLICY REQUIRE THAT ALL
- 14 BUILDING COSTS, INCLUDING PARKING AND TRASH, BE INCLUDED UP
- 15 FRONT IN THE AFFORDABLE MONTHLY HOUSING COST FOR AFFORDABLE
- 16 UNITS. WE HAVE EXPERIENCES IN SOME DEVELOPMENTS RIGHT NOW
- 17 WHERE OUR CLIENTS ARE BEING PRICED OUT OF LOW INCOME UNITS IN
- 18 THE MARINA BECAUSE THEY'RE BEING ADDED ON EXTRA CHARGES BY THE
- 19 DEVELOPERS FOR PARKING AND TRASH THAT WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE
- 20 RENT. THAT MEANS THAT INTENDED BENEFICIARIES OF AFFORDABLE
- 21 UNITS ARE NOT ABLE TO RESIDE IN THEM AND WE WOULD LIKE THE
- 22 PROPOSED POLICY TO ADDRESS THIS.

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, FINALLY, GO

25 AHEAD.



1

- 2 SUSANNE BROWNE: FINALLY, I'D LIKE TO QUICKLY HIGHLIGHT WHAT WE
- 3 SEE AS THE KEY ELEMENTS FOR A LEGALLY SOUND MELLO ORDINANCE
- 4 FOR THIS COUNTY. A 10 PERCENT VERY LOW INCOME INCLUSIONARY
- 5 HOUSING REQUIREMENT. WE THINK THAT 10 PERCENT IS HAPPENING IN
- 6 THE CITY AND ELSEWHERE IN THE STATE. IT'S WHAT THE COUNTY'S
- 7 PRIOR POLICY HAD. WE'D LIKE TO SEE THAT STICK. WE THINK IT'S
- 8 IMPORTANT THAT THERE IS A 10 PERCENT VERY LOW INCOME
- 9 REOUIREMENT WITHOUT AN OPTION OF DOING 5 PERCENT BECAUSE
- 10 OTHERWISE WE'RE NEVER GOING TO GET THE 10 PERCENT. WE THINK
- 11 THAT THE CALCULATION OF THE REPLACEMENT INCLUSIONARY
- 12 OBLIGATIONS SHOULD BE CALCULATED INDEPENDENT OF ONE ANOTHER
- 13 AND BASED ON TOTAL PROJECT SIZE AS SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS
- 14 RECOMMENDED. I WANT TO CAUTION THAT IT'S A LITTLE BIT UNCLEAR
- 15 TO ME THAT OPTION C, AS THE STAFF HAS REFERRED TO, ACCURATELY
- 16 REPRESENTS WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS ASKED FOR AND SO I THINK
- 17 IT'S VERY IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO BE VERY CLEAR IN WHAT IT
- 18 IS THEY WANT FROM STAFF BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE THAT THOSE TWO
- 19 THINGS MATCH UP IDENTICALLY.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. HOW MUCH MORE DO
- 22 YOU HAVE?

23

24 SUSANNE BROWNE: 10, 15 SECONDS.



1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD.

2

- 3 SUSANNE BROWNE: THE POLICY SHOULD INCLUDE ONE-FOR-ONE
- 4 REPLACEMENT OF AFFORDABLE UNITS, NOT ONE FOR-ONE REPLACEMENT
- 5 OF BEDROOMS. LIKE FOR LIKE INCOME TARGETING. LOCATION OF
- 6 AFFORDABLE UNITS ON SITE. A RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL FOR TENANTS
- 7 WHO ARE DISPLACED FROM THE AFFORDABLE UNITS AND STRICT
- 8 COMPARABILITY OF MARKET RATES IN AFFORDABLE UNITS IN THE
- 9 DEVELOPMENTS.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER AFFORDABLE?
- 12 IS THIS MODERATE AFFORDABLE IN YOUR DEFINITION?

13

- 14 SUSANNE BROWNE: WELL, I MEAN, AFFORDABLE HOUSING IS A VERY--
- 15 IT'S AN OVERUSED TERM. IT MEANS A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS TO A
- 16 LOT OF DIFFERENT PEOPLE.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I'M ASKING WHAT IT MEANS TO
- 19 YOU.

20

- 21 SUSANNE BROWNE: TO THE COMMUNITY THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH, IT
- 22 MEANS VERY LOW INCOME. MODERATE INCOME IS NOT THE CLIENT BASE
- 23 IN THE COMMUNITY THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, IT'S NOT THE CLIENT BASE
- 2 THAT YOU'RE WORKING WITH BUT IT MIGHT BE PART OF THE CLIENT
- 3 BASE THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH BECAUSE WE REPRESENT EVERYBODY.

4

- 5 SUSANNE BROWNE: PART OF THE PROBLEM RIGHT NOW IS THAT THE
- 6 HOUSING MARKET...

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ...NOT JUST ONE CLIENT.

9

- 10 SUSANNE BROWNE: ...IS SO BROKEN AND, WHILE THE PRIVATE MARKET,
- 11 TO SOME EXTENT, IS GOING TO ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF MODERATE
- 12 INCOME HOUSEHOLDS, IT'S COMPLETELY FAILING LOW AND VERY LOW SO
- 13 IT'S...

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT'S ALSO FAILING MODERATE. THAT'S
- 16 WHY WE HAVE THE MESS THAT WE HAVE ON THE TRAFFIC SIDE AS YOU
- 17 KNOW BECAUSE THE PEOPLE WHO WORK AND HAVE GOOD PAYING JOBS AND
- 18 WHO WORK ON THE FAR WEST SIDE, SANTA MONICA AND VENICE AND THE
- 19 AIRPORT AREA AND THE LIKE CAN'T AFFORD TO LIVE ANYWHERE NEAR
- 20 THERE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT'S ALSO AN ISSUE? SO THERE'S A
- 21 PUBLIC POLICY VALUE IN TRYING TO PROMOTE MODERATE, NOT JUST
- 22 THE RICH, WHO WILL BE ABLE TO AFFORD THE MARKET RATE HOUSING
- 23 THERE. I MEAN THE PEOPLE-- I DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOUR OVERALL
- 24 ASSESSMENT AS FAR AS THE NONSUBSIDIZED PORTION OF THE--
- 25 NONAFFORDABLE PORTION OF THIS PIE. UNDER OUR POLICY, THE



- 1 LION'S SHARE, THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO WILL BE ABLE TO
- 2 AFFORD TO LIVE THERE ARE GOING TO BE THE PEOPLE WHO OWN THE
- 3 COMPANIES THAT EMPLOY ALL THE PEOPLE WHO TRAIPSE ALL THE WAY
- 4 ACROSS TOWN TO GET TO WORK. WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS, IN
- 5 ADDITION TO ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF VERY LOW AND LOW, I'M
- 6 ALSO LOOKING AT WORKFORCE HOUSING. THAT'S ALSO SOMETHING THE
- 7 CITY OF LOS ANGELES IS WORKING ON, AS YOU KNOW. YOU'VE HEARD
- 8 THE COUNCIL PRESIDENT TALK ABOUT IT MORE AND MORE. YOU'VE
- 9 HEARD THE MAYOR TALK ABOUT IT. WORKFORCE HOUSING. NOT JUST
- 10 VERY LOW BUT WORKFORCE. THAT'S A VALUE THAT WE NEED TO
- 11 EMBRACE. SO I KNOW THAT THE MODERATE AREN'T YOUR CLIENT BUT
- 12 THEY'RE MY CLIENT.

13

- 14 SUSANNE BROWNE: BUT JUST IF WE HAVE A LIKE FOR LIKE
- 15 REPLACEMENT POLICY, THEN MODERATE INCOME HOUSEHOLDS THAT ARE
- 16 DISPLACED WOULD THEN BE PRESERVED IN THE MARINA. SO THAT DOES
- 17 ADDRESS THAT CONCERN.

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, UNDERSTOOD BUT I DON'T THINK
- 20 IT'S FAIR. IT DEPENDS ON YOUR POINT OF VIEW BUT I DON'T THINK
- 21 IT'S FAIR TO TOTALLY TRASH A POLICY THAT HAS AS A COMPONENT
- 22 PART A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE AFFORDABILITY PIECE AS
- 23 MODERATE. I MEAN, TO HEAR YOU SPEAK, ALL OF YOU, IT'S 100
- 24 PERCENT VERY LOW OR IT'S NOT A DECENT POLICY. I BELIEVE THAT
- 25 THERE'S ANOTHER POINT OF VIEW ON AFFORDABILITY THAT'S NOT JUST



- 1 ABOUT VERY LOW. I HAVE TO TELL YOU THAT IT IS NOT CREDIBLE
- 2 THAT-- WELL, I'M NOT GOING TO GO THERE. I THINK YOU NEED TO
- 3 BE-- WE NEED TO BE, YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE BUT WE NEED TO BE A
- 4 LITTLE BROADER IN OUR OUTLOOK ABOUT WHERE WE CAN PLACE PEOPLE,
- 5 HOUSE PEOPLE IN THIS PART OF THE COUNTY, IN THIS PART OF LOS
- 6 ANGELES COUNTY WHO NEED HOUSING AND WHO CAN'T AFFORD TO LIVE
- 7 IN THE AREAS WHERE THEY WORK. SO-CALLED JOBS HOUSING BALANCE.
- 8 SO I'M NOT AS EMPATHETIC AS I AM ON THE VERY LOW, I THINK LOW
- 9 AND MODERATE IS ALSO A PIECE THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO ME. AND
- 10 I THINK TO THE REGION. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO THE REGION. AND
- 11 WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO SOLVE THE VERY LOW INCOME
- 12 HOUSING SHORTAGE AT MARINA DEL REY.

14 SUP. KNABE: ABSOLUTELY.

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE ANY

- 17 PROBLEM AT MARINA DEL REY. WE'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE THE
- 18 MODERATE EITHER BUT WE NEED TO BE BROADER. THAT'S MY VIEW. BUT
- 19 I APPRECIATE THE COMMENTS THAT YOU MADE. ALL RIGHT.

21 SUPERVISOR BURKE: MAY I ASK A QUESTION?

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES, MISS BURKE.

24

22

20

13



- 1 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I JUST WANT TO GET A CLARIFICATION. IF A
- 2 TENANT WHO IS PART OF THE REPLACEMENT HOUSING AT THE TIME THE
- 3 UNIT IS REPLACED, IF THAT PERSON IS PAYING A RENT THAT WOULD
- 4 BE CATEGORIZED AS LOW, WHEN THE UNIT IS REPLACED AND THERE'S
- 5 NEW CONSTRUCTION, DO YOU LOOK AT THEIR INCOME THERE TO
- 6 DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THEY QUALIFY FOR A LOW OR MODERATE?
- 7 OR DO YOU ASSUME THAT, BECAUSE THEY'RE PAYING A LOW RENT AT
- 8 THE TIME IT WAS REHABBED OR RECONSTRUCTED, THAT THEY HAVE AN
- 9 IMMEDIATE RIGHT TO GO IN AND TAKE THAT UNIT?

10

- 11 SUSANNE BROWNE: NO. WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS THAT YOU WOULD
- 12 LOOK AT THE TENANT'S INCOME AT THE TIME THAT THE NEW UNIT IS
- 13 AVAILABLE AND, SHOULD THEY STILL QUALIFY, THAT THEY WOULD HAVE
- 14 A RIGHT TO RETURN. RIGHT NOW, THERE'S NO RIGHT TO RETURN AT
- 15 ALL IN THE PROPOSED POLICY.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR BURKE: AS LONG AS THEY WOULD MEET THE INCOME
- 18 CRITERIA.

19

- 20 SUSANNE BROWNE: WE THINK INCOME ELIGIBILITY IS VERY IMPORTANT,
- 21 YES.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. DID JUN YANG? IS
- 24 JUN YANG HERE? HELEN GARRETT? CARLA ANDRAS?



- 1 JUN YANG: GOOD MORNING. WE'LL BE GOING IN THE ORDER OF HELEN
- 2 GARRETT, CARLA ANDRAS AND THEN MYSELF AT THE END.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MISS GARRETT.

5

- 6 HELEN GARRETT: I HAVE A TWO-MINUTE SPEECH BUT I'D LIKE TO BE
- 7 GIVEN AN EXTRA 30 SECONDS SO I CAN ADDRESS YOUR ISSUES
- 8 PARTICULARLY ABOUT MODERATE INCOME?

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WELL, WHY DON'T YOU
- 11 START WITH YOUR SPEECH AND WE'LL WORK WITH YOU.

- 13 HELEN GARRETT: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS HELEN GARRETT AND I'M A
- 14 PROUD MEMBER OF P.O.W.E.R., PEOPLE ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE
- 15 RENEWAL. THE MELLO ACT PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE COUNTY
- 16 TO ECONOMICALLY PROVIDE DESPERATELY NEEDED LOW INCOME HOUSING
- 17 IN A NEGLECTED AREA. THE WEST SIDE NEEDS THIS HOUSING. THERE'S
- 18 NO SHORTAGE OF LOW INCOME SENIORS AND FAMILIES WHO MUST HAVE
- 19 THIS LOW INCOME HOUSING. HOWEVER, IT IS SHOWN THAT IT'S
- 20 FEASIBLE TO BUILD THE HOUSING. THERE IS EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE
- 21 THAT IT IS FEASIBLE BECAUSE IT'S BEING BUILT JUST A FEW BLOCKS
- 22 OUTSIDE OF THE MARINA IN THE COASTAL ZONE ON SITE AT 10
- 23 PERCENT VERY LOW INCOME AND 20 PERCENT LOW INCOME. WE HAVE
- 24 PROVEN THAT LOW INCOME TENANTS ARE GOOD TENANTS BECAUSE YOU'VE
- 25 ALREADY ALLOWED LOW INCOME HOUSING AT 10 PERCENT IN THE MARINA



- 1 WHEN YOU APPROVED THE CAPRIS APARTMENTS IN WHICH I LIVE,
- 2 HAPPILY. THIS PROPOSED POLICY IS REGRESSIVE. IT TRIES TO
- 3 SATISFY THE MELLO ACT WITH LOOPHOLES. OUR ATTORNEYS HAVE
- 4 OUTLINED REPEATEDLY WHY IT'S REGRESSIVE. THE POLICY DOES NOT
- 5 PROVIDE LIKE FOR LIKE REPLACEMENT UNITS AS IT STANDS. IT
- 6 SUBSTITUTES BEDROOMS FOR UNITS. DEVELOPERS CAN SUBTRACT UNITS
- 7 ALREADY ON SITE AND DENSITY BONUS UNITS. IT ALLOWS OFFSITE
- 8 REPLACEMENT UNITS. YOU'VE HEARD ALL THIS BEFORE. YOU'RE
- 9 HEARING IT AGAIN. YOU CAN'T SAY YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND AND YOUR
- 10 VOTES WILL DECLARE THE STATE OF YOUR CONSCIENCE. THE ONLY
- 11 DECENT THING YOU CAN DO IS REJECT THIS DRAFT AND BRING THE
- 12 STANDARDS UP TO THAT WHICH IS BEING USED OUTSIDE THE MARINA.
- 13 WE'VE SHOWN THAT YOU CAN BUILD ON LEASED LAND AS ECONOMICALLY
- 14 AS ON OWNED LAND SO DON'T USE THAT FALSE ARGUMENT. AND IF YOU
- 15 ACCEPT THIS DRAFT POLICY AS IT STANDS, YOU'RE ADVERTISING THAT
- 16 DEVELOPERS OWN THE MARINA AND OWN YOU. THE MARINA WAS GIVEN TO
- 17 THE PEOPLE FOR THEIR USE AND RECREATION, NOT FOR EXCESSIVE
- 18 PROFITEERING BY GREEDY DEVELOPERS WITH THE HELP OF OUR TRUSTED
- 19 ELECTED OFFICIALS.

20

22

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

- 23 HELEN GARRETT: NOW, ABOUT THIS MODERATE INCOME ISSUE. IF YOU
- 24 LOOK AT THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY, IF YOU LOOK
- 25 AT THE DEMOGRAPHICS ALL AROUND US, YOU'LL SEE THAT THOSE



- 1 PEOPLE EARNING LESS THAN 38,000 ARE A HUGELY LARGER GROUP THAN
- 2 THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE MODERATE INCOME AND CAN'T FIND A PLACE TO
- 3 LIVE. IT'S THE PEOPLE WHO ARE EARNING UNDER 38,000 WHO DO YOUR
- 4 LAUNDRY, WHO CLEAN YOUR HOUSE, WHO TAKE CARE OF YOUR YARD AND
- 5 YOUR CHILDREN. IT'S THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SECRETARIES. IT'S THE
- 6 PEOPLE WHO DO THE WORK OF THIS COUNTY WHO CAN'T FIND A PLACE
- 7 TO LIVE. I SPENT MY WHOLE LIFE AS A WORKING PERSON, NEVER
- 8 TAKING A THING FROM THE COUNTY, FROM THE CITY, FROM ANYBODY,
- 9 RAISING MY KIDS.

10

12

14

17

20

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, YOU'VE MADE YOUR POINT.

13 HELEN GARRETT: THERE'S MORE OF ME THAN THERE ARE THEM.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR THE COUNTY
- 16 ARE NOT VERY LOW INCOME.
- 18 HELEN GARRETT: BUT THAT'S A SMALL NUMBER OF PEOPLE COMPARED TO
- 19 THE PEOPLE WHO WORK.
- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, AND THE SECRETARIES WHO WORK
- 22 AND ARE EMPLOYED IN THE WEST SIDE ARE NOT VERY LOW INCOME.
- 23 THERE ARE VERY LOW INCOME PERSONS. BUT THE PEOPLE-- SOME OF
- 24 THE PEOPLE YOU DESCRIBE ARE PRECISELY THE PEOPLE WHO WE ARE



- 1 IGNORING AS A SOCIETY, AS WELL. AND MAYBE EVEN MORE SO BECAUSE
- 2 WE HAVE PUT SOME EMPHASIS...

3

- 4 HELEN GARRETT: CHECK YOUR DEMOGRAPHICS. I THINK YOU'LL FIND
- 5 REALLY THE WORKING PEOPLE ARE A LARGER BASE OF PEOPLE. AND THE
- 6 MIDDLE CLASS IS IMPORTANT AND THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN MODERATE
- 7 INCOME HOUSING NEED THAT HOUSING. BUT THE GREATEST NEED...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I KNOW MY DEMOGRAPHICS. I'M NOT
- 10 SURE I'D CALL THEM MIDDLE CLASS. I CALL THEM MODERATE INCOME
- 11 PEOPLE.

12

- 13 HELEN GARRETT: WELL, WHATEVER THEY ARE. THOSE MODERATE INCOME
- 14 PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE THE EXTREME NEED THAT WE WORKING CLASS
- 15 PEOPLE HAVE.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANKS, MISS GARRETT, I APPRECIATE
- 18 IT. YOU MADE A GOOD POINT. JUN YANG.

19

20 JUN YANG: ACTUALLY, CARLA ANDRAS IS...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M SORRY, CARLA.

- 24 CARLA ANDRAS: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, AFTERNOON.
- 25 MY NAME IS CARLA ANDRAS, I'M A MEMBER OF P.O.W.E.R., PEOPLE



- 1 ORGANIZED FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL. WE WORK ON ISSUES THAT PEOPLE
- 2 CARE ABOUT. I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF MARINA DEL REY FOR OVER 20
- 3 YEARS AND HAVE SEEN GOOD PEOPLE FORCED OUT OF THEIR HOMES
- 4 BECAUSE THEY CANNOT AFFORD THE RENTAL INCREASES ON DEGRADED
- 5 PROPERTIES. THE COUNTY HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ALL OF ITS
- 6 RESIDENTS. ALL OF THEM, MODERATE, AS WELL. THE DEVELOPERS DO
- 7 NOT HAVE OUR INTERESTS IN MIND. WE LIVE IN THE MARINA AND ARE
- 8 DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY WHAT YOU DO TODAY. PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT
- 9 THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO DO THE
- 10 RIGHT THING. WE ARE ASKING FOR RESPONSIBLE MANAGEMENT OF OUR
- 11 COUNTY LAND. OVER THE NEXT 5 TO 10 YEARS, HUNDREDS OF
- 12 AFFORDABLE UNITS ARE AT RISK. HUNDREDS OF FAMILIES WILL NOT BE
- 13 ABLE TO LIVE ON THE COAST, WHICH SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THEM.
- 14 WE'RE ALL ENTITLED TO SWEET AIR. I WANT TO REITERATE YOUR ROLE
- 15 IN THIS MATTER. 10 PERCENT VERY LOW. 20 PERCENT LOW INCOME IS
- 16 WHAT WE ARE ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER. THE DEVELOPERS WILL STILL
- 17 MAKE THEIR MONEY. THE COUNTY WILL STILL MAKE REVENUES. THE
- 18 RESIDENTS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WILL SUPPORT YOU IN
- 19 THIS. THANK YOU.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MR. YANG.

- 23 JUN YANG: GOOD AFTERNOON, SUPERVISORS. I'M ENCOURAGED BY THE
- 24 DISCUSSION THAT'S GOING ON HERE BUT WE CAN DO MORE. MY NAME IS
- 25 JUN YANG, I'M AN ORGANIZER WITH P.O.W.E.R., PEOPLE ORGANIZED



- 1 FOR WEST SIDE RENEWAL. WE WORK ON ISSUES THAT PEOPLE CARE
- 2 ABOUT AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE MARINA IS ONE OF OUR TOP
- 3 ISSUES. AS REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES
- 4 COUNTY, THE BOARD HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ALL OF ITS
- 5 RESIDENTS. AS MANY OF YOU MAY KNOW, HUNDREDS OF CITIZENS OF
- 6 LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND CITY JOINED IN A MARCH TO CITY HALL
- 7 LAST WEDNESDAY TO HIGHLIGHT THE NEED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING.
- 8 THIS IS A HOUSING CRISIS THAT YOU CANNOT IGNORE. THIS NEED IS
- 9 NOT LOST IN MARINA DEL REY AND IN THE COUNTY LANDS. THIS BOARD
- 10 HAS HEARD THE OPINIONS OF THE DEVELOPERS FOR SO LONG. PLEASE
- 11 NOW HEAR THE VOICES OF THE RESIDENTS WHERE THIS POLICY
- 12 DIRECTLY AFFECTS. RESPONSIBLE AND CONSIDERATE DEVELOPMENT IS
- 13 ACCEPTABLE. WHAT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE IS THE GENTRIFICATION OF AN
- 14 AREA THAT HAS BEEN ACCESSIBLE TO ALL INCOME PEOPLES. THE
- 15 LIMITS THAT YOU ARE PROPOSING IS TOO LITTLE. 5 PERCENT IS NOT
- 16 ENOUGH. WE ARE ASKING FOR 10 PERCENT VERY LOW AND 20 PERCENT
- 17 LOW. RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY ARE NOT JUST NICE
- 18 WORDS. WE HOPE THAT YOU WILL TAKE THEM TO HEART. THE PEOPLE
- 19 ARE LOOKING TO YOU FOR LEADERSHIP. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, WE HAVE

- 22 THOSE WHO ARE IN FAVOR NOW. DAVID O. LEVINE. CINDY STARRETT,
- 23 MARK KELLY AND DALE GOLDSMITH.

24



- 1 SUPERVISOR KNABE: CAN I ASK COUNSEL SOMETHING? THE L.A. CITY
- 2 POLICY THEY REFERRED TO, I UNDERSTAND THAT'S STILL IN DRAFT
- 3 FORM, IS THAT CORRECT? THAT'S NOT A FIRM POLICY, IS THAT
- 4 CORRECT?

5

- 6 SPEAKER: THE HAS AN INTERIM MELLO POLICY THAT THEY AGREED TO
- 7 AS A SETTLEMENT MATTER. THEY ARE STILL FINALIZING A PERMANENT
- 8 MELLO ACT ORDINANCE WHICH HAS NOT BEEN ADOPTED BY THE CITY
- 9 YET.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT THEY DO HAVE AN INTERIM THAT'S
- 12 BASED ON THIS 10%. IT'S A SETTLEMENT THEY...

13

- 14 SUPERVISOR BURKE: WAS THAT ADOPTED BY THE CITY, BY THE CITY
- 15 COUNCIL?

16

- 17 SPEAKER: I BELIEVE THE INTERIM POLICY WAS ADOPTED OR WAS
- 18 APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL. I BELIEVE THE INTERIM POLICY
- 19 PROVIDES FOR 10 PERCENT VERY LOW OR 20 PERCENT LOW.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANKS.

22

23 SPEAKER: AND STAFF CAN CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND IT'S BASED ON THE SETTLEMENT

2 AGREEMENT THEY REACHED WITH THE LEGAL AID FOUNDATION?

3

4 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT'S NOT LIKELY TO CHANGE WHEN
- 7 IT BECOMES PERMANENT UNLESS IT'S WITH THE CONSENT OF THE LEGAL
- 8 AID FOUNDATION AND THEIR CLIENT, CORRECT?

9

- 10 SPEAKER: OUR REVIEW OF THE DRAFT ORDINANCE, THERE ARE SOME
- 11 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THEIR INTERIM POLICY AND THE PROPOSED
- 12 FINAL ORDINANCE. THE SPECIFICS I CAN'T ANSWER BUT STAFF IS
- 13 HERE THAT CAN POINT OUT SOME MORE OF THE SPECIFICS OF THAT
- 14 PROPOSED CITY PERMANENT ORDINANCE.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT IS THIS PERMANENT ORDINANCE
- 17 ALSO PROMULGATED BY THE SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND LEGAL
- 18 AID?

- 20 SPEAKER: I BELIEVE THE SETTLEMENT REQUIREMENT THAT THE CITY
- 21 DEVELOP A PERMANENT ORDINANCE. AND I KNOW THAT LEGAL AID HAD
- 22 INPUT AND PARTICIPATED IN THE PROCEEDINGS. I DO NOT BELIEVE
- 23 IT'S IDENTICAL. AND I DO NOT KNOW WHETHER OR NOT LEGAL AID
- 24 AGREES WITH EVERY ASPECT OF THE CITY'S PROPOSED PERMANENT
- 25 ORDINANCE.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MR. LEVINE?

- 4 DAVID LEVINE: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS DAVID
- 5 LEVINE. I'M SPEAKING TO YOU THIS MORNING ON BEHALF OF THE
- 6 MARINA DEL REY LESSEES ASSOCIATION, OF WHICH I AM PRESIDENT.
- 7 THERE IS A HOUSING CRISIS THROUGHOUT ALL OF THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 8 ANGELES AT ALL LEVELS OF AFFORDABILITY. AND, AS YOU'RE
- 9 DEVELOPING THIS POLICY, I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT YOU
- 10 CAN'T SUPPLY MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING WITHOUT ALSO PROVIDING
- 11 MORE MARKET RATE HOUSING IN MARINA DEL REY. AND YOU CAN'T
- 12 PROVIDE EITHER MARKET RATE HOUSING OR AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN
- 13 MARINA DEL REY UNLESS PROJECTS CAN BE FINANCED. SO ONE OF THE
- 14 QUESTIONS THAT I HOPE THAT THIS BOARD WILL ASK, THERE'S BEEN A
- 15 GREAT DEAL OF CONVERSATION ABOUT THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, BOTH
- 16 THEIR INTERIM AS WELL AS THEIR PROPOSED PERMANENT, AND IT
- 17 MIGHT BE INTERESTING FOR YOU FOLKS TO UNDERSTAND JUST HOW FEW
- 18 AFFORDABLE UNITS HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN BUILT UNDER THE POLICIES
- 19 WHICH HAVE BEEN IN PLACE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES SINCE THE
- 20 INTERIM AGREEMENT WAS REACHED SEVERAL YEARS AGO. THAT'S VERY
- 21 IMPORTANT HERE BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT MARINA DEL REY
- 22 WHICH, AS YOU KNOW, IS OWNED BY THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SO
- 23 IF YOU CREATE A POLICY WHICH IS TOO RESTRICTIVE, WHICH DOES
- 24 NOT PERMIT MARKET RATE HOUSING TO BE BUILT, THEN THE
- 25 AFFORDABLE UNITS WILL NOT BE BUILT. IN THE POLICY THAT'S



- 1 BEFORE YOU TODAY REFLECTS THE FLEXIBILITY THAT WAS PROVIDED TO
- 2 JURISDICTIONS UNDER THE TERMS OF THE MELLO ACT. THE KEY IS
- 3 THAT EACH JURISDICTION WAS GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY AND THE
- 4 LATITUDE TO DETERMINE WHAT THE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC POLICY
- 5 BALANCE IS. AND I WANT TO REMIND YOU OF ONE STATISTIC WHICH
- 6 HASN'T REALLY BEEN DISCUSSED THIS MORNING, WHICH IS THAT OVER
- 7 50 PERCENT OF THE GROUND RENT THAT IS GENERATED IN MARINA DEL
- 8 REY IS ACTUALLY TRANSFERRED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BEACHES AND
- 9 HARBORS TO THE GENERAL FUND AND IS RESPONSIBLE FOR HELPING TO
- 10 UNDERWRITE SOCIAL SERVICES THAT ARE PROVIDED TO CITIZENS
- 11 THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AND I DON'T ENVY YOUR POSITION
- 12 TODAY BECAUSE WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO IS A VERY DELICATE PUBLIC
- 13 POLICY BALANCING ACT, WHICH IS TO SAY, HOW MANY DOLLARS FROM
- 14 MARINA DEL REY IS THE COUNTY WILLING TO GIVE UP, WHICH IS USED
- 15 TO PROVIDE OTHER SOCIAL SERVICES TO SUBSIDIZED UNITS IN THE
- 16 MARINA? THIS IS A DECISION WHICH THE MELLO ACT BASICALLY GIVES
- 17 YOU THE FLEXIBILITY OF. SO I ALSO JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT THE
- 18 LESSEES ARE LOOKING FOR FLEXIBILITY, THAT THERE WILL BE
- 19 DIFFERENT DEALS, DIFFERENT PROJECTS GOING FORWARD, DIFFERENT
- 20 PARAMETERS AND THAT WE HOPE THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 21 WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE MELLO ACT AND AT THE SAME TIME BE
- 22 FLEXIBLE TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECT IN ORDER
- 23 TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECT GOES FORWARD. AND, TO
- 24 THAT END, ONE ELEMENT THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, IS MISSING IN THE
- 25 PROPOSED POLICY IS THAT OF AN IN LIEU FEE. AND THERE ARE SOME



- 1 LESSEES THAT WOULD LIKE TO NEGOTIATE AN IN LIEU FEE WITH THE
- 2 COUNTY BECAUSE THE PROVISION OF AN IN LIEU FEE, WHICH IS
- 3 COMMON IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
- 4 CALIFORNIA, THAT IN LIEU FEE WOULD PROVIDE ADDITIONAL LEVERAGE
- 5 THAT ACTUALLY COULD LEAD TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF MORE
- 6 AFFORDABLE UNITS OUTSIDE OF MARINA DEL REY THAN THOSE UNITS
- 7 THAT COULD BE PROVIDED ON SITE. WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR A POLICY
- 8 WHICH IS ONLY LIMITED...

9

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK WE'VE BEEN DOWN THAT
- 11 ROAD, HAVEN'T WE? DIDN'T WE DECIDE NOT TO GO WITH AN IN LIEU
- 12 FEE AT THE MARINA? YEAH. WHY BRING IT UP AGAIN?

13

- 14 DAVID LEVINE: WELL, THERE'S SOME LESSEES THAT BELIEVE THE
- 15 OPTION IS AVAILABLE.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, I KNOW, AND SOME LESSEES WHO
- 18 DON'T WANT VERY LOW INCOME PEOPLE IN THEIR BUILDINGS. AND
- 19 THERE'S SOME LESSEES WHO WANT 100 PERCENT. AND IF THEY COULD,
- 20 THEY'D GET 110 PERCENT MARKET RATE UNITS. WE UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 21 ANYWAY...

- 23 DAVID LEVINE: ON THE OTHER HAND, I ALSO JUST WANT TO NOTE
- 24 THAT, ON THE DEL REY SHORES AGREEMENT, WHICH THIS BOARD HAS
- 25 APPROVED, THAT THE DEL REY SHORES AGREEMENT WAS TREATED



- 1 SEPARATELY AND THAT THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, WHICH HAS
- 2 BEEN ISSUED FOR THE DEL REY SHORES AGREEMENT, DEMONSTRATES THE
- 3 MERITS OF THE APPROACH WHICH IS THE BASIS OF THE POLICY BEFORE
- 4 YOU. AND THAT, EVEN IF THE POLICY IS DIFFERENT FROM DEL REY
- 5 SHORES, IF BOTH ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE MELLO ACT, THEN THE
- 6 COUNTY HAS FULFILLED ITS LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY. AND I JUST WANT
- 7 TO MAKE THAT CLEAR THAT THERE COULD BE FLEXIBILITY AND
- 8 VARIABILITY HERE AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR.

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANKS. I JUST WOULD OFFER AN
- 11 ALTERNATIVE POINT OF VIEW. I MAY NOT EVEN BE IN THE MAJORITY
- 12 OF THE MEMBERSHIP HERE, MAYBE NOT, BECAUSE WE'VE NEVER REALLY
- 13 DISCUSSED IT. BUT I THINK FLEXIBILITY IS A PROBLEM. I THINK
- 14 FLEXIBILITY CONTRIBUTES TO THE IMPRESSION THAT IS CREATED THAT
- 15 THERE'S NO RHYME OR REASON FOR WHY WE DO CERTAIN THINGS ON
- 16 GIVEN PROJECTS. I THINK THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'D BE
- 17 LOOKING FOR IS CONSISTENCY. A POLICY THAT IS CONSISTENT AND IS
- 18 APPLIED ACROSS THE BOARD TO EVERYBODY, WHETHER IT'S YOU OR
- 19 YOUR NEIGHBOR OR YOUR NEIGHBOR ON THE OTHER SIDE BECAUSE IF
- 20 WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING, AND IT IS WHAT YOU WERE SUGGESTING, IS
- 21 THAT EVERY DEVELOPER TAKE HIS BEST SHOT AT NEGOTIATING
- 22 SOMETHING UNIQUE WITH THE COUNTY, HOW DO YOU AVOID THE
- 23 IMPRESSION WHEN SOMETHING COMES-- WHEN YOU HAVE ONE PROJECT
- 24 THAT HAS A DEAL THAT PRODUCES X IN THE FORM OF PUBLIC GOOD AND
- 25 ANOTHER PROJECT THAT PRODUCES .7 X FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD BECAUSE



- 1 YOU'VE HAD ALL THIS SO-CALLED FLEXIBILITY, THEN YOU CREATE THE
- 2 IMPRESSION THAT THE GUY WHO GOT THE BETTER DEAL HAD A FIX IN
- 3 AND THE OTHER GUY DIDN'T. I DON'T THINK THAT'S A GOOD
- 4 PERCEPTION. I DON'T THINK IT'S GOOD FOR YOUR INDUSTRY, TO BE
- 5 HONEST WITH YOU. I THINK YOU WANT TO KNOW WHAT'S EXPECTED OF
- 6 YOU. IF WE CREATE THIS FLEXIBILITY THAT YOU'RE CALLING FOR THE
- 7 WAY YOU DESCRIBED IT, IF YOU CREATE THAT FLEXIBILITY, THEN
- 8 YOU'RE ALMOST OBLIGATED, OUT OF YOUR FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY,
- 9 TO TRY TO NEGOTIATE THE BEST DEAL YOU CAN WITH US AND THE NEXT
- 10 GUY IS GOING TO DO THE SAME. WHEREAS, IF YOU KNEW WHAT THE
- 11 RULES WERE AFTER WE SET THE RULE, WHATEVER THE RULES ARE,
- 12 EVERYBODY WOULD BE IN THE STARTING GATE AT THE SAME TIME,
- 13 EVERYBODY WOULD KNOW WHAT THE RULES ARE AND YOU SINK OR SWIM
- 14 BASED UPON THOSE RULES. DOES THE CITY OR ANY CITY THAT YOU ARE
- 15 AWARE OF HAVE THIS KIND OF FLEXIBILITY WRITTEN INTO THEIR
- 16 MELLO ACT?

17

- 18 DAVID LEVINE: I'LL LET THE LAWYERS DEAL WITH THAT. BUT,
- 19 GENERALLY SPEAKING, THE ANSWER IS YES BECAUSE THERE ARE
- 20 DIFFERENT ALTERNATIVES ON PROJECT ECONOMICS THAT LEAD TO
- 21 DIFFERENT CONCLUSIONS ABOUT WHAT'S APPROPRIATE IN DIFFERENT
- 22 CIRCUMSTANCES. SO WHILE I UNDERSTAND...

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, BUT THIS IS ALL IN ONE
- 25 CIRCUMSTANCE, ESSENTIALLY. THIS IS ALL IN ONE ZIP CODE.



1

2 DAVID LEVINE: BUT THERE ARE DIFFERENCES DEPENDING ON WHETHER

- 3 THE PROJECT IS ENTIRELY NEW CONSTRUCTION OR WHETHER THERE IS
- 4 AN ELEMENT OF DEMOLITION AND REPLACEMENT. I MEAN, THERE ARE
- 5 CERTAIN QUALITIES AND CHARACTERISTICS TO THESE DEVELOPMENT
- 6 DEALS THAT DIFFER ON A PARCEL-BY-PARCEL BASIS DEPENDING UPON
- 7 THE SIZE OF THE PROJECT TO BE DEMOLISHED, DEPENDING ON WHETHER
- 8 OR NOT THERE IS ALSO AN ANCHORAGE ON THE PARCEL IN ADDITION TO
- 9 RESIDENTIAL UNITS, DEPENDING ON WHETHER THE PARCEL IS ZONED
- 10 FOR DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF DENSITY. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
- 11 FACTORS WHICH COME INTO PLAY THAT COULD INDEED HAVE A DIRECT
- 12 BEARING ON PROJECT ECONOMICS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT IF YOU HAVE A BASIC PERCENTAGE
- 15 OF VERY LOW OR LOW OR MODERATE, WHATEVER THE COMBINATION WOULD
- 16 BE, IF YOU HAVE A BASIC PERCENTAGE, WHETHER YOU HAVE A DENSITY
- 17 OF 100 OR YOU HAVE THE DENSITY OF 1,000, IT WOULD STILL BE THE
- 18 SAME PERCENTAGE WOULD APPLY. WHY WOULD THAT-- WHY ISN'T THAT
- 19 FLEXIBILITY ENOUGH?

20

- 21 DAVID LEVINE: THAT MAY BE ONE AREA. AGAIN, WE WERE LOOKING FOR
- 22 ALTERNATIVES THAT AFFECT THE PROJECT ECONOMICS IN TERMS OF THE
- 23 REPLACEMENT UNIT CALCULATION AND THE IN LIEU FEE IS ONE
- 24 EXAMPLE OF AN OPTION WHICH YOU'RE CHOOSING TO REJECT.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THAT'S MY OPINION.

2

- 3 DAVID LEVINE: I'M TALKING THE BOARD, GENERALLY. THE COUNTY
- 4 GENERALLY. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SUFFICIENT FLEXIBILITY
- 5 HAVING TO DEAL WITH THE INDIVIDUAL QUALITIES OF THE INDIVIDUAL
- 6 PROJECT PROPOSAL BUILT INTO THE PROCESS TO GIVE THE COUNTY
- 7 FLEXIBILITY BECAUSE, AGAIN, AFTER ALL, THE COUNTY GROUND RENT
- 8 IS REALLY SUBSTITUTES, IF YOU WILL, FOR WHAT THE LAND COST IS
- 9 AND THEREFORE IT'S THE COUNTY, REALLY, THAT HAS TO PAY FOR
- 10 THIS.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, WE'RE VERY WELL AWARE OF THAT.
- 13 MISS STARRETT.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR KNABE: JUST A COMMENT. I UNDERSTAND FROM STAFF THAT
- 16 THE SURVEY MADE, THERE'S PROBABLY NO TWO AFFORDABLE POLICIES
- 17 THE SAME IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, IS THAT CORRECT?

18

19 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO TWO AFFORDABLE POLICIES
- 22 IN ANY TWO JURISDICTIONS?

23

24 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT IN ONE JURISDICTION, HOW MANY
- 2 POLICIES DOES ONE JURISDICTION HAVE? HOW MANY JURISDICTIONS DO
- 3 YOU KNOW THAT HAVE MORE THAN ONE POLICY FOR THEIR
- 4 JURISDICTION?

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR KNABE: WELL, WHAT I MEANT WAS EACH ONE, I MEAN,
- 7 COMPARED, I MEAN, SO...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: EACH ONE WHAT? EACH JURISDICTION
- 10 OR EACH PROJECT?

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR KNABE: THERE IS NO CONSISTENCY BETWEEN, SAY, L.A.
- 13 COUNTY, L.A. CITY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, THAT I UNDERSTAND. BUT WHAT
- 16 MR. LEVINE WAS ARGUING FOR WAS A FLEXIBILITY BETWEEN DEL REY
- 17 SHORES AND ABC CONDOS OR WHATEVER THE NEXT PROJECT OR MARINA
- 18 CITY CLUB. THEY WANT FLEXIBILITY AMONG, INTRA MARINA IS THE
- 19 WAY I READ IT AND THAT'S WHERE I THINK IT'S A PROBLEM. ALL
- 20 RIGHT.

- 22 CINDY STARRETT: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS, I'M CINDY STARRETT
- 23 FROM LATHAM AND WATKINS. WE WORKED ON ONE OF THE PROJECTS IN
- 24 THE CITY AND SPENT A LOT OF TIME WITH THE CITY'S SETTLEMENT
- 25 AGREEMENT AND HAVE ALSO SPENT TIME WORKING WITH THE TASKFORCE



- 1 AND HAD SOME INPUT INTO THE TASKFORCE. I THINK THAT THE
- 2 TASKFORCE REPORT AND WHAT'S BEFORE YOU REFLECTS ONE OF THE
- 3 ESSENTIAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY AND THAT
- 4 IS THAT THE COUNTY IS A LAND OWNER AND THE COUNTY HAS TO BE
- 5 VERY, VERY PRUDENT WITH ALL INCOME THAT THE COUNTY IS
- 6 FOREGOING FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AND, FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE
- 7 SCENARIOS YOU HEARD EARLIER THAT IT WAS 8 TO \$10 MILLION FOR
- 8 15 UNITS, OBVIOUSLY, THE COUNTY IS USING THE REVENUE IT
- 9 RECEIVES FOR PUBLIC BENEFITS FOR EVERYONE ELSE IN THE COUNTY,
- 10 INCLUDING HEALTHCARE, INCLUDING HOUSING, INCLUDING OTHER
- 11 THINGS. AND PERHAPS THERE ARE OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS THAT
- 12 COULD LEVERAGE \$10 MILLION AND PRODUCE MORE THAN 15 UNITS. SO
- 13 I THINK THAT THE POLICY THAT'S BEFORE YOU REFLECTS A CAREFUL
- 14 BALANCE BETWEEN PRODUCING AFFORDABLE HOUSING, WHICH IS
- 15 CRITICALLY IMPORTANT, ENCOURAGING IT TO BE PRODUCED ON SITE,
- 16 WHICH IS A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT SOCIAL GOAL BUT ALSO ALLOWING
- 17 THE COUNTY TO RECOGNIZE WHAT IS THE COST OF THESE UNITS? IF
- 18 THE COUNTY CAN PROVIDE DOUBLE THE NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT UNITS,
- 19 FOR EXAMPLE, JUST OUTSIDE OF THE MARINA, THAT IS A CHOICE THAT
- 20 THE REPLACEMENT HOUSING PROVISION, IT'S NOT THE INCLUSIONARY
- 21 BUT THE REPLACEMENT HOUSING PROVISIONS LET THE COUNTY MADE IN
- 22 YOUR CURRENT POLICY AND THAT'S THE KIND OF FLEXIBILITY THAT I
- 23 THINK MR. LEVINE WAS REFERRING TO, THAT THE COUNTY CAN
- 24 BASICALLY SAY WHAT'S BETTER. IS IT BETTER TO HAVE FEWER INSIDE
- 25 THE BOUNDARY LINE OR MORE OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARY LINE? ON THE



- 1 CITY POLICY WHICH AROSE OUT OF A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT THAT
- 2 AROSE OUT OF A LITIGATION IN THE YEAR 2000, THE PERCENTAGES
- 3 THAT IT REFLECTED AT THAT TIME WERE THE PERCENTAGES THAT STATE
- 4 LAW ALLOWS FOR DENSITY BONUSES. YOUR POLICY REFLECTS WHAT
- 5 STATE LAW CURRENTLY PROVIDES FOR DENSITY BONUSES UNDER A.B.
- 6 1818, WHICH IS 5 PERCENT VERY LOW AND 10 PERCENT LOW. SO THAT
- 7 IS A VERY IMPORTANT CRITERIA, I THINK, AS THE COUNTY TRIES TO
- 8 SAY, "IS THIS THE RIGHT BALANCE?" YOU'RE TAKING A BALANCE
- 9 THAT'S BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE. YOU'RE NOT TRACKING A
- 10 LAWSUIT THAT THE CITY LOST SEVEN YEARS AGO. SO I THINK THE
- 11 TASKFORCE CORRECTLY CONSIDERED THAT. AND YOU ALSO ENFORCE IT
- 12 MORE STRICTLY IN THAT, UNDER STATE LAW IN MOST JURISDICTIONS,
- 13 LOW INCOME WOULD BE 80 PERCENT OF MEDIAN AND MODERATE INCOME
- 14 MIGHT BE 100 PERCENT. IN THE MARINA, GENERALLY, LOW INCOME IS
- 15 60 PERCENT. THE CITY MIGHT LOOK AT IT AS 80 IN MANY
- 16 CIRCUMSTANCES. AND YOU'RE LOOKING AT MODERATE AS 80 PERCENT.
- 17 AND THAT'S THE FINAL POINT I'LL MAKE IS THAT, FROM A PUBLIC
- 18 POLICY PERSPECTIVE, THE COUNTY HAS A CRYING NEED FOR MODERATE
- 19 INCOME, AS WELL AS LOW INCOME AS WELL AS VERY LOW. AND IT IS A
- 20 VERY APPROPRIATE PUBLIC POLICY CHOICE TO SAY THAT WE WANT TO
- 21 MAKE SURE THAT MODERATE INCOME FAMILIES CAN ALSO LIVE IN THE
- 22 MARINA.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. MR. GOLDSMITH?



- 1 DALE GOLDSMITH: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MY NAME
- 2 IS DALE GOLDSMITH. I'M A PARTNER WITH THE LAW FIRM OF
- 3 ARMBRUSTER AND GOLDSMITH. AMONG OTHER THINGS, WE'VE
- 4 REPRESENTED THE SHORES AND WE'RE CURRENTLY REPRESENTING LEGACY
- 5 PARTNERS, WHICH IS SEEKING TO REDEVELOP PARCELS 10 R AND FF.
- 6 ONE OF THE KEY CONCEPTS OF THE MELLO ACT IS FEASIBILITY AND
- 7 THAT'S VERY BROADLY DEFINED IN THE ACT AND REFLECTING THAT KEY
- 8 COMPONENT, YOUR DRAFT POLICY WOULD REQUIRE A FEASIBILITY
- 9 DETERMINATION WITH RESPECT TO THE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING
- 10 OBLIGATION. THAT FEASIBILITY DETERMINATION MUST NECESSARILY
- 11 TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ALL COSTS AND ALL REVENUES ASSOCIATED WITH
- 12 THE VARIOUS COMPONENTS BOTH MARKET RATE, REPLACEMENT AND
- 13 INCLUSIONARY. THEN, ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS, DEVELOPERS MAY
- 14 NEGOTIATE RENT CREDITS IN ORDER TO ENABLE THE PROJECT TO
- 15 FEASIBLY MEET THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS. HOWEVER, THERE'S
- 16 LANGUAGE IN THE POLICY THAT SUGGESTS THAT THERE'S NO RENT
- 17 CREDIT AVAILABLE FOR THE REPLACEMENT OBLIGATION. WE BELIEVE
- 18 THAT IS INHERENTLY CONTRADICTORY TO THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF
- 19 DETERMINING FEASIBILITY AS TO THE WHOLE PROJECT AND IT IS
- 20 INAPPROPRIATE TO IGNORE CERTAIN COSTS OR CERTAIN REVENUES. SO
- 21 THEREFORE WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE POLICY BE
- 22 CLARIFIED. AS TO THE LIKE FOR LIKE, GROSS VERSUS NET AND SOME
- 23 OF THE OTHER IDEAS THAT HAVE BEEN OFFERED TODAY, IT'S
- 24 IMPORTANT TO KEEP IN MIND THAT ALL OF THESE THINGS WILL HAVE A
- 25 BIG HIT ON THE DEVELOPER'S BOTTOM LINE AND THE DEVELOPERS



- 1 WON'T BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE INCREASED, THE DEEPER LEVEL OF
- 2 AFFORDABILITY OR INCREASED NUMBER OF AFFORDABLE UNITS WITHOUT
- 3 ADDITIONAL COUNTY SUBSIDIES. THOSE SUBSIDIES WILL DEPRIVE THE
- 4 COUNTY OF FUTURE REVENUE STREAMS THAT COULD BE USED TO MEET
- 5 OTHER CRITICAL NEEDS SUCH AS HEALTHCARE AND THE LIKE. GIVEN
- 6 THE INHERENT FLEXIBILITY OF THE MELLO ACT, WE BELIEVE THAT
- 7 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO, AS THE ELECTED BODY, TO WEIGH THE
- 8 COMPETING PUBLIC POLICY INTERESTS. WE BELIEVE THAT THE
- 9 PROPOSED POLICY REPRESENTS A FAIR BALANCING OF SUCH INTERESTS.
- 10 WE ALSO THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER WHETHER
- 11 YOU'RE GETTING FAIR RETURN ON SOME OF THESE IDEAS. AS STAFF
- 12 MENTIONED, AT A COST OF \$10 MILLION, YOU CAN GET 15 UNITS. BY
- 13 IMPLEMENTING THE LIKE FOR LIKE AND THE GROSS VERSUS NET,
- 14 THAT'S 660,000 PER UNIT. I WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT THAT YOU
- 15 COULD INVEST THE MONEY ELSEWHERE AND GET MORE BANG FOR YOUR
- 16 BUCK. THEREFORE, WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU ADOPT THE
- 17 PROPOSED ORDINANCE WITH MY REQUESTED CLARIFICATION WITH
- 18 RESPECT TO THE SUBSIDY AND THE REPLACEMENT UNIT. THANK YOU.

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MARK KELLY.

19

- 22 MARK KELLY: HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND FELLOW BOARD MEMBERS. I'M
- 23 MARK KELLY WITH LANGE CAPITAL VENTURES. I'M SPEARHEADING THE
- 24 REDEVELOPMENT EFFORTS AT VILLA VENETIA I WANT TO RECOGNIZE THE
- 25 TASKFORCE AND STAFF. WE ARE ALL GETTING A TASTE OF HOW COMPLEX

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THIS ISSUE IS AND THEY'VE DONE AN ADMIRABLE JOB IN MOVING THIS
- 2 FORWARD. WHILE WE'RE ENCOURAGED BY THE PROSPECTS OF AN
- 3 AFFORDABLE HOUSING POLICY THAT WILL BRING CLARITY TO THE
- 4 PROCESS, WE WANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO KEEP AS FLEXIBLE AS
- 5 POSSIBLE. I WANT TO REITERATE MR. LEVINE'S COMMENTS AND
- 6 EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT THERE ARE NO TWO PROJECTS ALIKE. SITES
- 7 ARE DIFFERENT. TYPES OF CONSTRUCTION ARE DIFFERENT. FOR
- 8 INSTANCE, AT VILLA VENETIA, THE PROJECT WE'RE PROPOSING IS OF
- 9 TYPE 1 CONSTRUCTION AND WE ARE ANTICIPATING COSTS THAT WILL
- 10 DOUBLE WHAT MR. LEVINE'S BUILDING AND A TYPE 5 PODIUM PROJECT
- 11 WOOD FRAME CONSTRUCTION. WE ARE AT \$500 A FOOT. DAVID'S
- 12 PROBABLY AT \$250 TO \$200 A FOOT TO BUILD THAT TYPE OF PRODUCT.
- 13 SO THOSE ARE JUST-- THAT'S JUST ONE EXAMPLE OF ONE VARIATION
- 14 IN THE VARIOUS PROJECTS THAT YOU NEED TO KEEP FLEXIBILITY IN
- 15 PLACE FOR. IN ADDITION, I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THE FACT THAT, AT
- 16 THE PROJECT TODAY, THERE ARE NO COVENANTS AND NO ENCUMBRANCES
- 17 THAT ARE REQUIRING ANY LEVEL OF AFFORDABILITY TO BE CHARGED ON
- 18 EXISTING RENTS AND IF A REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT IS RENDERED
- 19 INFEASIBLE, THERE WILL BE NO AFFORDABLE HOUSING ON THAT SITE
- 20 FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE LEASE. I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND
- 21 EFFORT WITH THIS AND HEARING US OUT. THANK YOU.

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. KELLY. THAT'S THE

- 24 LAST CARD I HAVE. DO YOU HAVE ANSWERS? THANK YOU, GENTLEMEN
- 25 AND LADY, STAFF OR THE CONSULTANT HAVE ANY ANSWERS TO THE



- 1 QUESTIONS THAT HAD BEEN POSED ON THE MONEY, DOLLARS? WHILE
- 2 WE'RE WAITING, I GOT THE SAME NOTIFICATION YOU JUST DID. WE'VE
- 3 LOST A DEPUTY SHERIFF.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BURBANK.

6

- 7 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK IT WAS IN THE VALLEY
- 8 ON OXNARD STREET. A DEPUTY SHERIFF WAS KILLED WHILE ON A
- 9 SURVEILLANCE ASSIGNMENT.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: IN NORTH HOLLYWOOD.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BY A CAR. I THINK IT WAS AN
- 14 ACCIDENT. APPEARS TO BE AN ACCIDENT BUT WE'LL GET THE
- 15 INFORMATION AND TAKE THE APPROPRIATE STEPS. IT HAPPENED JUST A
- 16 LITTLE WHILE AGO. I GUESS WE WERE REALLY READY FOR THIS,
- 17 WEREN'T WE? [LAUGHTER]

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THEY GOT TO KNOW WHAT THE
- 20 RIGHT QUESTION IS FIRST. WHO WANTS TO WALK US THROUGH THIS?

- 22 SPEAKER: SUPERVISORS, THE TABLE THAT WE'VE HANDED OUT FOR THE
- 23 THREE PROJECTS, PARCEL 10, PARCEL 33 AND PARCEL 64, THE TABLE
- 24 THAT WE'VE GIVEN YOU HERE, WORKING FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, GIVES
- 25 YOU THEIR ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS, WHICH TOTAL TO-- AND



- 1 THESE ARE ALL IN MILLIONS. THEY TOTALED TO \$706 MILLION. OVER
- 2 THE PROJECTED EXTENDED-- IF WE EXTENDED THESE LEASES AND THESE
- 3 PROJECTS WENT FORWARD, OVER THE TERM OF THAT LEASE, WHICH
- 4 WOULD ROUGHLY BE OUT TO ABOUT 2060 TO 2065, THEY ALL HAVE
- 5 VARYING END DATES, IF THESE PROJECTS WERE ALL MARKET RATE, THE
- 6 RENTAL REVENUES TO THE COUNTY WOULD BE \$742 MILLION. THE
- 7 DIRECT IMPACT OF THE RENT LOSS ONLY FROM HAVING AFFORDABLE
- 8 UNITS, NOT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT FOR A SECOND THE RENT CREDIT,
- 9 POTENTIAL RENT CREDIT OR VALUE LOSS AND I HAVE TO COME BACK TO
- 10 THAT, JUST THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE 5 PERCENT OF THE UNITS THAT
- 11 ARE VERY LOW INCOME, THE TOTAL DOLLAR LOSS GOES FROM 742 TO
- 12 731. THAT'S AN \$11 MILLION DIFFERENCE.

14 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WAIT. LET ME UNDERSTAND. WHOSE POLICY?

16 SPEAKER: THE PROPOSED POLICY, I'M SORRY.

18 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHOSE PROPOSED POLICY? THE STUFF YOU CAME

19 IN WITH ON DAY ONE?

21 SPEAKER: YES, MA'AM. IT'S A COMPARISON THAT WE CAN DO AND IT

- 22 WILL APPLY ACROSS-- IT GENERALLY COULD BE APPLIED TO THE REST
- 23 OF THEM.

24

13

15

17



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, WHY DON'T YOU FINISH
- 2 GOING THROUGH THIS AND THEN WE'LL ASK QUESTIONS.

3

- 4 SPEAKER: OKAY. THE TWO RIGHT COLUMNS ARE PRESENT VALUES AND
- 5 THESE ARE THE NUMBERS THAT WE WOULD MAKING THE 29 AND THE 38
- 6 OR WHATEVER MILLION DOLLARS DEDUCTIONS FROM. OVER THE TERM OF
- 7 THE LEASE, IF THIS WAS ALL MARKET RATE, YOU WOULD HAVE \$146
- 8 MILLION IN PRESENT VALUE RENT TO THE COUNTY IF THEY WERE ALL
- 9 MARKET RATE PROJECTS. JUST THE AFFORDABILITY COMPONENT,
- 10 FORGETTING ANY SUBSIDY OF THE PROJECTS, WOULD GO FROM 146 TO
- 11 141, THAT'S A \$5 MILLION DEDUCTION. NOW...

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S JUST FOR WHAT NOW?

14

- 15 SPEAKER: THAT JUST TAKES INTO ACCOUNT THE RENT LOSS FROM
- 16 HAVING 5 PERCENT VERY LOW INCOME UNITS.

17

- 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO THAT'S THE SAME
- 19 ASSUMPTIONS YOU MADE IN THE MIDDLE COLUMNS.

20

- 21 SPEAKER: CORRECT. JUST WHOLE DOLLARS VERSUS PRESENT VALUE
- 22 DOLLARS.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THESE DOLLARS ARE THE RENTS WE
- 25 RECEIVE?



1

2 SPEAKER: THESE ARE YOUR DOLLARS, YES. NOW, THE 29 ON THE

- 3 PROPOSED-- ON THE STAFF POLICY, THE 29.9 MILLION OR \$29.8
- 4 MILLION THAT WE DISCUSSED EARLIER WOULD BE DEDUCTED FROM THE
- 5 146. SO THAT'S APPROXIMATELY ABOUT 20 PERCENT, 27 PERCENT
- 6 REDUCTION IN COUNTY INCOME BECAUSE YOU WILL NEED TO SUBSIDIZE
- 7 THESE UNITS VIA A RENT CREDIT OR SOME...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS THAT POLICY THAT GENERATES
- 10 A \$29 MILLION LOSS? JUST DESCRIBE WHAT IT IS. WHAT OPTION?

11

12 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: IT'S THE ONE THEY WERE TRYING TO PASS.

13

14 SPEAKER: NO, NO, NO. THAT'S THE "PROPOSED" POLICY.

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: YOU KNOW, I HAVE TO JUST SAY-- WAIT JUST A
- 17 SECOND. YOU KNOW, THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE DONE WHEN YOU CAME IN
- 18 HERE KNOWING WHAT THAT WAS. I'M ASKING YOU A DIFFERENT
- 19 OUESTION. AND IT WILL TAKE ANOTHER HALF HOUR TO GET YOU THE
- 20 NUMBERS FOR WHAT I ASKED YOU FOR?

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE: NO. YOU JUST ADD ANOTHER 10 MILLION ON WHAT
- 23 YOU ASKED FOR.



- 1 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, IT'S NOT IN THIS DOCUMENT. ALL I'M
- 2 SAYING IS, GUYS, I ASKED YOU A SIMPLE QUESTION. I KNOW YOU'RE
- 3 TAKING ME THROUGH YOUR POLICY. I DIDN'T ASK THAT QUESTION.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, MAYBE HE WAS GETTING THERE.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: HE'S GETTING TO YOUR POLICY.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, HE IS GOING TO GET THERE BUT IT'S NOT ON
- 10 HERE.

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND. I NOTICED
- 13 THAT, TOO.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: BECAUSE I'M GOING TO MAKE SURE THAT HE GETS
- 16 THERE.

17

- 18 SPEAKER: 146, IF YOUR POLICY IS OPTION C, YOU WOULD DEDUCT \$38
- 19 MILLION FROM THE 146.

20

21 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THANK YOU.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M SORRY.

24

25 SPEAKER: 38 MILLION, OPTION C.



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH POLICY IS THAT? 3 SPEAKER: OPTION C IS THE POLICY THAT REQUIRES LIKE-FOR-LIKE 4 5 REPLACEMENT UNITS. IT REQUIRES THE INCLUSIONARY UNITS TO BE CALCULATED BASED ON THE ADJUSTED FIGURE, WHICH WOULD BE THE 6 TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECT UNITS TO BE BUILT LESS THE REPLACEMENT 8 UNITS. 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT'S THE GROSS, THE TOTAL 10 11 FIGURE? 12 13 SPEAKER: CORRECT. 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S 38 MILLION? 15 16 SPEAKER: YES, 38.9. 17 18 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT ON TOP OF 29 OR IS 20 THAT 29 INCLUSIVE? 21 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NO. THAT'S INCLUSIVE. 23 SPEAKER: IT'S INCLUSIVE. 24 25



```
SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT'S AN ADDITIONAL $9 MILLION
1
    ON TOP OF THE 29?
2
3
    SPEAKER: YES.
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT'S 146 MINUS 38?
6
7
8
    SPEAKER: IT'S ACTUALLY 39.
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 39. SO THAT'S 107 MILLION WOULD BE
10
11
    OUR RENT DISCOUNTED FOR PRESENT VALUE, NET PRESENT VALUE. AND-
    - 107 VERSUS 146 MILLION. WELL, ACTUALLY VERSUS 116 MILLION
12
13
    UNDER YOUR POLICY, CORRECT? YOUR PROPOSED STAFF PROPOSED
    POLICY WOULD COST THE COUNTY 146 MINUS 29? CORRECT?
14
15
16
    SPEAKER: CORRECT.
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH IS 117. SO THIS WOULD BE--
19
    THE RENT WOULD GO FROM 117 TO 107 OR 108.
20
21
    SPEAKER: YES, SIR.
22
23
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S FOR TOTAL? CALCULATING
24
    THE TOTAL? HAVING LIKE FOR LIKE?
25
```

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SPEAKER: CORRECT. 1 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHAT IS THE MIX OF-- HOW MANY UNITS ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? THE PERCENTAGE OF UNITS? 4 5 SPEAKER: THERE'S 20 MODERATE UNITS. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 20 PERCENT? 9 10 SPEAKER: NO, NO, NO. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE REPLACEMENT ON 11 LIKE FOR LIKE, THERE WAS AN INCOME SURVEY THAT WAS PREPARED. THE RESULT OF THAT SURVEY... 12 13 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND. FORGET THE 14 REPLACEMENT. ON TOP OF THE REPLACEMENT, WHAT IS THE PERCENTAGE 15 16 FORMULA THAT WE HAVE? 17 18 SPEAKER: IT'S 5 PERCENT. 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 5 PERCENT FOR WHAT? 21 22 SUPERVISOR KNABE: VERY LOW. 23 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: FOR VERY LOW?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
SPEAKER: YES.
1
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S ALL?
4
5
    SUPERVISOR MOLINA: NO, THEY HAVE THE OPTION.
6
7
    SPEAKER: IT'S 5 PERCENT VERY LOW. THAT REFLECTS THE $39
8
   MILLION. IF YOU GO TO THE 10 PERCENT, WHICH WOULD BE OPTION D,
    IT INCREASES TO 66.9 MILLION...
10
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT'S VERY LOW?
11
12
13
    SPEAKER: LOW.
14
15
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 10 PERCENT LOW?
16
    SPEAKER: RIGHT. 5 PERCENT-- IF IT'S 5 PERCENT, IT'S A VERY LOW
17
18
    UNIT. IF IT'S 10 PERCENT, IT'S A LOW INCOME UNIT.
19
20
    SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THEY HAVE THE OPTION OF CHOOSING.
21
22
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE 10 PERCENT-- THE 5 PERCENT
23
    VERY LOW COSTS, THAT'S THE $38 MILLION BASED ON THE
    ASSUMPTIONS THAT WE'VE BEEN KICKING AROUND HERE. AND THE 10
24
```

PERCENT LOW WOULD BE WHAT? WOULD THERE BE ADDITIONAL LOSS?



```
1
    SPEAKER: 66.9 MILLION TOTAL NET PRESENT VALUE LOSS.
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 66.9?
4
5
    SPEAKER: CORRECT.
6
7
8
    SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AS OPPOSED TO 38?
9
    SPEAKER: 39.
10
11
12
    SUPERVISOR KNABE: HOW MANY MORE UNITS? LET'S SAY UNDER THE
13
    FIVE PERCENT, HOW MANY MORE UNITS DO WE GET FOR THAT
    ADDITIONAL 9 OR $10 MILLION THAT WE'RE LOSING? HOW MANY
14
    PHYSICAL UNITS?
15
16
    SPEAKER: YOU GO FROM 48 INCLUSIONARY UNITS TO 63 AT THE 5
17
18
    PERCENT.
19
20
    SUPERVISOR KNABE: 15 ADDITIONAL UNITS?
21
22
    SPEAKER: YOU WOULD GO TO 63 UNITS BASED ON OPTION C WITH 5
23
    PERCENT. YOU WOULD GO-- YOU WOULD DOUBLE THAT TO 126 UNITS OF
    LOW INCOME UNITS.
24
25
```



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DOES YOUR POLICY CALL FOR ANY

2 ITERATION FOR MODERATE UNITS? MODERATE PRICED UNITS?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: OUR POLICY REQUIRES MODERATE UNITS FOR REPLACEMENT
- 5 HOUSING ONLY.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: FOR ANY MODERATE INCOME INDIVIDUAL
- 8 TENANT?

9

10 **SPEAKER:** NO.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANY REPLACEMENT-- A VERY LOW
- 13 INCOME PERSON LIVING IN A PLACE THAT'S GOING TO BE DEMOLISHED
- 14 CAN BE REPLACED BY A MODERATE INCOME PERSON?

15

16 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: UNDER THEIR POLICY.

17

- 18 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT. ASSUMING THAT THEY'RE PAYING A MARKET
- 19 RENT NOW.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, THEY'RE ALL PAYING MARKET
- 22 RENT, AREN'T THEY?

23

24 SPEAKER: THAT'S RIGHT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEN WHAT'S THE REPLACEMENT POLICY
- 2 ALL ABOUT?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: WELL, IT'S BASED ON AN INCOME SURVEY BASED ON
- 5 GUIDELINES THAT WE'VE PREPARED.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DESIGNED TO GET YOU MODERATE
- 8 INCOME PERSONS.

9

10 SPEAKER: NO, WELL...

11

- 12 SPEAKER: THE WAY THE MELLO ACT IS WRITTEN, WHILE THE COUNTY IS
- 13 OR THE DEVELOPER'S LESSEES ARE RENTING PARCELS OR RENTING
- 14 UNITS AT MARKET RATE, THE INCOME SURVEY LOOKS AT THE INCOME OF
- 15 THE TENANT. AND SO, IF THE TENANT IN THE UNIT, EVEN THOUGH
- 16 IT'S RENTED AT A MARKET RATE, WAS A MODERATE INCOME INDIVIDUAL
- 17 OR A LOW INCOME INDIVIDUAL, THAT WOULD REQUIRE REPLACEMENT
- 18 UNDER THE POLICY, EVEN THOUGH THEY'RE PAYING MARKET RENT NOW,
- 19 THE UNIT THAT WAS REPLACED WOULD BE MODERATE. IF YOU DO LIKE
- 20 FOR LIKE, THEN IF THE INCOME OF THE PERSON WAS MODERATE, YOU'D
- 21 REPLACE IT WITH A MODERATE UNIT. IF THEY WERE LOW INCOME,
- 22 YOU'D REPLACE IT WITH A LOW INCOME.

- 24 SUPERVISOR KNABE: SO IT'S BASED ON INCOME, NOT THE RENT
- 25 THEY'RE PAYING.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHERE THE LIKE FOR LIKE 4 5 COMES IN. 6 7 SPEAKER: YES, SIR. 8 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT DOES THE MELLO ACT SAY ABOUT VERY LOW, LOW AND MODERATE? IS THERE SOME KIND OF A GUIDELINE 10 11 IN THE ACT? 12 13 SPEAKER: THE MELLO ACT, WITH RESPECT TO REPLACEMENT UNITS, INDICATES THAT, IF A UNIT IS DEMOLISHED THAT IS OCCUPIED BY A 14 15 PERSON OF LOW OR MODERATE INCOME... 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, I'M NOT INTERESTED IN THE 17 18 REPLACEMENT AT THIS POINT. I UNDERSTAND THAT. I'M TALKING 19 ABOUT INCLUSIONARY. 20 SPEAKER: OKAY. INCLUSIONARY, THE MELLO ACT SAYS NEW 21 22 DEVELOPMENTS SHALL PROVIDE HOUSING FOR PERSONS OF LOW OR 23 MODERATE INCOME WHERE FEASIBLE.

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF YOU,
- 2 INSTEAD OF LOOKING AT 5 PERCENT VERY LOW AND 10 PERCENT LOW,
- 3 IF YOU HAD A DIFFERENT KIND OF MIX, WHERE YOU HAD A
- 4 SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGE OF THEM IS MODERATE INCOME? WHAT WOULD
- 5 THAT DO TO THE 66 MILLION DOLLAR FIGURE? \$67 MILLION?

6

- 7 SPEAKER: YOU WOULD SEE A REDUCTION. WELL, COULD WE SEE
- 8 SOMETHING? I DON'T WANT TO THINK OUT LOUD HERE. WE HAVE DONE
- 9 ENOUGH OF THAT TODAY. BUT I'D LIKE TO SEE SOME OPTIONS. A
- 10 MODERATE, OBVIOUSLY, IF MY COLLEAGUES AGREE, BUT I'D LIKE TO
- 11 AT LEAST SEE WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE IF YOU HAD A DIFFERENT OPTION
- 12 THAN JUST 5 PERCENT VERY LOW OR 10 PERCENT LOW. BUT A MIX OF
- 13 VERY LOW AND LOW AND MODERATE AND SEE HOW THE DIFFERENT
- 14 OPTIONS AND WHAT THE FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS ARE OF EACH
- 15 OPTION. BECAUSE I DO BELIEVE THAT THE MODERATE PIECE IS
- 16 SOMETHING-- IS A VALUE WE NEED TO PURSUE AS WELL AS IS THE LOW
- 17 OR VERY LOW.

18

- 19 SPEAKER: AS PART OF THE INCLUSIONARY POLICY AS OPPOSED TO
- 20 REPLACEMENT?

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. I MEAN, I'M AT LIKE FOR LIKE
- 23 IN MY OWN HEAD. I NEED TO BE REALLY DISLODGED FROM THAT IN A
- 24 HEAVY DUTY WAY. I UNDERSTAND THERE'S A CONSEQUENCE TO IT BUT I
- 25 DON'T THINK IT'S FAIR TO DO IT ANY OTHER WAY. I THINK



- 1 SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS IN THE SAME PLACE ON THAT. BUT I AM
- 2 LOOKING AT THE INCLUSIONARY PIECE. I AGREE WITH WHAT-- I DON'T
- 3 KNOW IF IT WAS MR. LEVINE OR MISS STARRETT SAID THAT, IF YOU
- 4 ARE GOING TO DO INCLUSIONARY, YOU GOT TO MAKE IT ECONOMICALLY
- 5 WORK, YOU HAVE TO MAKE IT ECONOMICALLY WORK FOR THE DEVELOPER.
- 6 I AM A BIG BELIEVER IN INCLUSIONARY ZONING. I KNOW THAT THE
- 7 REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY HATES IT BUT WE'VE DONE IT. I DID IT WHEN
- 8 I WAS WITH THE CITY. WE DID IT AROUND U.C.L.A. IT WORKED GREAT
- 9 FOR LOW INCOME STUDENT HOUSING, NURSES' HOUSING WHEN U.C.L.A.
- 10 STILL HAD A NURSING SCHOOL. IT WORKED WELL. IT DOESN'T WORK
- 11 WELL UNDER EVERY CIRCUMSTANCE BUT GENERALLY IT WORKS WELL. AND
- 12 WHILE YOU HAVE A DEVELOPER MOTIVATED TO BUILD, THAT'S THE TIME
- 13 TO SAY WE'LL GIVE YOU A LITTLE MORE BUILDING IF YOU'LL BUILD A
- 14 LITTLE MORE AFFORDABILITY INTO IT. THAT'S-- IT'S A WIN/WIN FOR
- 15 EVERYBODY. AND IT DOESN'T GHETTOIZE LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS OR
- 16 VERY LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS. IT INTEGRATES SOME ECONOMIC
- 17 STRATA INTO A PROJECT AND IT WORKS FINE. I'M A BELIEVER IN IT.
- 18 BUT THE DEVELOPER IS NOT GOING TO DO IT ON HIS OWN NICKEL AND
- 19 THAT'S CLEAR AND THAT'S WHERE THE DENSITY COMES IN. AND I'M
- 20 ALL-- I'M THERE. I MEAN, IT'S EITHER DO IT THAT WAY OR YOU
- 21 DON'T GET THE AFFORDABILITY IN THOSE NUMBERS. SO I'M A BIG
- 22 BELIEVER IN INCLUSIONARY AND THAT'S WHY I DON'T SUPPORT THE IN
- 23 LIEU FEE. BECAUSE THE IN LIEU FEE, IF YOU GIVE THAT OPTION
- 24 THEN THEY'RE ALL GOING TO GO IN LIEU BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
- 25 OTHER REASONS WHY-- I APPRECIATE THE SENSITIVITY THAT ALL OF



- 1 THE PEOPLE TESTIFYING HAD FOR OUR POVERTY CLIENTELE BUT I
- 2 THINK THERE ARE OTHER REASONS AT PLAY HERE, TOO, AND I
- 3 UNDERSTAND THAT BUT I THINK THERE'S A WAY TO DO THIS THAT
- 4 WORKS FOR EVERYBODY. SO I'D LIKE TO SEE-- I'M GOING TO STOP.
- 5 WHAT I'D LIKE TO SEE-- FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT READY TO VOTE
- 6 TODAY. I MEAN, THIS HAS BEEN A VERY INTERESTING DISCUSSION.
- 7 I'D LIKE TO SEE SOME ALTERNATIVES THAT INCLUDE THOSE OTHER
- 8 MIXES OF MODERATE ALONG WITH LOW WITH LOW AND VERY LOW. AND
- 9 MAYBE YOU WOULD HAVE SOME TIME TO GO THROUGH THESE NUMBERS AND
- 10 MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE RIGHT. I'M SURE THAT YOU ARE RIGHT BUT
- 11 JUST TO BE DOUBLE SURE.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR KNABE: BUT IF YOU DO THAT, MAKE SURE YOU BREAK THEM
- 14 OUT LIKE YOU HAVE BROKEN THEM OUT HERE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
- 15 HAVE A COMPARISON OF WHAT-- BECAUSE I MEAN THE BOTTOM LINE IS,
- 16 WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOME GOOD THINGS BUT AS LONG AS
- 17 EVERYONE UNDERSTANDS IT'S GOING TO COST THE COUNTY REVENUE.
- 18 THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND I THINK YOU CAN MITIGATE SOME
- 21 OF THE FINANCIAL LOSSES AND STILL ACHIEVE A SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC
- 22 POLICY ACHIEVEMENT BY GOING-- BY OPENING IT UP TO THE MODERATE
- 23 CATEGORY, WHICH YOU HAVEN'T DONE IN THIS POLICY. AND I
- 24 UNDERSTAND THOSE WHO DON'T LIKE THAT APPROACH BUT WE OUGHT TO



I AT LEAST SEE WHAT THAT NUMBER IS. BEFORE YOU GO, YVONNE, DID

2 YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING? I CUT YOU OFF?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: ONE, WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT, WHEN WE COME BACK, THAT
- 5 WE HAVE THE TOTAL DOLLARS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THAT
- 6 ADDRESSED. THAT WAS THE ONE COMMENT. SECONDLY, BY MOVING TO
- 7 MODERATE, IT WILL MITIGATE THE IMPACT BUT IT WON'T MAKE IT GO
- 8 AWAY.

9

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF COURSE. WE JUST WANT TO
- 11 SEE IT. MISS BURKE?

- 13 SUPERVISOR BURKE: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE NEXT TIME, IS IT POSSIBLE
- 14 FOR US TO BREAK OUT EACH ONE OF THE ITEMS OF THE POLICY AND
- 15 HAVE-- IF WE'RE GOING TO VOTE, THAT WE VOTE ON EACH ONE OF
- 16 THESE SO THAT WE CAN SEE THE EFFECT IT HAS IN TERMS OF THE
- 17 REST OF THE FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS? IF ALL OF US ARE AT ONE
- 18 PLACE ON ONE PARTICULAR THING, IF WE CAN TIE THAT DOWN AND SO
- 19 THAT WE CAN LOOK AT THIS SECTION BY SECTION AND GET A REAL
- 20 UNDERSTANDING. BECAUSE IF WE-- I THINK IT'S GOING TO BE VERY
- 21 TOUGH TO COME-- FOR US TO EVER VOTE ON A WHOLE POLICY BECAUSE
- 22 EVERYONE'S GOING TO HAVE CERTAIN THINGS THAT THEY SUPPORT AND
- 23 OTHERS THEY DO NOT. AND I'M JUST SUGGESTING THAT WE BREAK OUT
- 24 THE POLICY INTO, ALL RIGHT, LIKE FOR LIKE AND THEN COME TO--
- 25 BECAUSE, AS SOON AS YOU DO THAT, YOU NEED TO LOOK AT THESE



- 1 NUMBERS AND EVALUATE WHERE YOU ARE ONCE THIS IS DETERMINED.
- 2 THEN YOU GO TO THE NEXT POLICY, WHICH I ASSUME WE STILL HAVE,
- 3 WHICH IS FEASIBILITY AS IT RELATES TO OFFSITE IN THE COASTAL
- 4 ZONE. AND LOOK AT THAT ISSUE. I'D JUST LIKE TO HAVE THE
- 5 ABILITY TO LOOK AT EACH ONE OF THESE AND GET SOME IDEA OF WHAT
- 6 THE REMAINDER IS FINANCIALLY AFTER WE HAVE TIED DOWN A
- 7 PARTICULAR PART OF IT. AND IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, I'D REALLY
- 8 LIKE TO SEE THAT APPROACH.

9

- 10 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA.
- 11 I THINK THEY TRIED TO DO THIS BUT I THINK OUR QUESTIONS ARE...

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR BURKE: IT'S TOO CONFUSING. IT'S TOO CONFUSING
- 14 BECAUSE IF YOU TAKE-- EACH ONE OF THESE THINGS HAS A DIFFERENT
- 15 IMPLICATION FINANCIALLY.

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK THEY HAVE A BETTER
- 18 SENSE NOW WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR. I THINK MISS BURKE'S
- 19 SUGGESTION IS EXCELLENT. MISS MOLINA?

20

- 21 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WHEN YOU COME BACK, I'D LIKE YOU TO ALSO
- 22 ADD, BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE WHOLE PICTURE. AND
- 23 I UNDERSTAND IT'S NOT PROPERTY TAX. IT'S CALLED POSSESSORY
- 24 INTEREST.



1 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

2

- 3 SPEAKER: THERE ARE TWO. WELL, YOU COLLECT TWO PORTIONS. THERE
- 4 IS THE PROPERTY TAX ON THE BUILDING AND POSSESSORY INTEREST ON
- 5 THE LAND. IT'S A COMBINATION.

6

- 7 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. AND I'LL SAY AGAIN, SO WE
- 8 NEED TO HAVE THAT IN THERE, AS WELL BECAUSE THE ESCALATION OF
- 9 UNITS GIVES US THAT, AS WELL AND WE ARE THE COUNTY. WELL, IT
- 10 GETS SEPARATE. IT'S A BENEFIT TO US. SO IF WE HAVE THAT, AS
- 11 WELL, THAT WOULD BE GOOD. SO THEY'RE GOING TO COME BACK WITH
- 12 ALL THAT?

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE
- 15 AMOUNT OF TIME?

16

- 17 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: I THINK ONE WEEK IS GOOD. CAN'T YOU GET THE
- 18 MATH TOGETHER IN ONE WEEK?

19

- 20 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK TWO WEEKS. I THINK
- 21 WE NEED SOME TIME TO GO OVER IT. WE'D LIKE TO GET IT AHEAD OF
- 22 TIME, TOO.

- 24 SPEAKER: THE FIRST ISSUE IS IN TERMS OF THE ALTERNATIVES OF
- 25 LOOKING AT MODERATE, WE WILL NEED SOME DIRECTION FROM YOU AND



- 1 PERHAPS YOUR STAFF AS TO WHERE YOU WANT TO GO IN THAT
- 2 DIRECTION.

3

4 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: YOU MEAN I WASN'T CLEAR?

5

6 SPEAKER: YOU WERE VERY CLEAR.

7

8 SUPERVISOR BURKE: SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 5 AND 10.

9

- 10 SPEAKER: WE NEED SOME GENERAL DIRECTION TO GIVE YOU OPTIONS
- 11 AND TRY TO GET IT DOWN TO A MANAGEABLE NUMBER OF OPTIONS.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR KNABE: DOES THIS INCLUDE LIKE TEACHERS, POLICE,
- 14 FIRE, THE WHOLE THING, RIGHT?

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR BURKE: COULD YOU LET US KNOW ALSO WHAT YOU'RE
- 17 LOOKING AT? IF YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT BALANCING MORE AND
- 18 MODERATE, EXACTLY WHAT THOSE NUMBERS ARE IN TERMS OF WHAT
- 19 PERCENTAGES AND WHAT YOU'RE WORKING AT SO THAT WE CAN ALSO
- 20 HAVE SOME IDEA.

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: AND IT'D BE GOOD NOT TO GET IT THE FRIDAY
- 23 BEFORE THE TUESDAY.

24

25 SPEAKER: I'M VERY SYMPATHETIC TO THAT.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. IT'S REALLY HARD WHEN... 2 3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT, THAT'S WHY I THINK 4 5 TWO WEEKS WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE. 6 7 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: WELL, YEAH, BUT TWO WEEKS THEN THEY WILL DO IT THE FRIDAY BEFORE THE TUESDAY. 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, WE WANT THE INFORMATION 10 11 SAY BY THE END OF THE BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY BEFORE MEETING THE 12 NEXT WEEK. 13 SUPERVISOR KNABE: I THINK THEY'RE LOOK AT PERCENTAGE ON THE 14 MODERATE, IS THAT CORRECT? 15 16 SPEAKER: IN ORDER TO DO WHAT YOU'RE REQUESTING, I THINK THE 17 18 DIRECTION THAT WE NEED IS IN TERMS OF HOW THE INCLUSIONARY IS 19 GOING TO BE CALCULATED. SO I THINK WHAT I'M HEARING IS YOU'D 20 LIKE IT TO BE DONE ON THE ADJUSTED BASE. 21 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: ABSOLUTELY. 23 SPEAKER: SO THAT'S ONE ISSUE. 24

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, YOU OUGHT TO DO IT BOTH WAYS
- 2 BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE THAT DON'T AGREE WITH THAT.

3

- 4 SPEAKER: YOU'D LIKE TO DO IT ON THE ADJUSTED BASE AND THE NET
- 5 NEW BASE?

6

7 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT'S FAIR TO SEE.

8

9 SPEAKER: WE'LL DO IT BOTH WAYS. POSSESSORY INTEREST TAX.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT THE QUESTION YOU ARE ASKING IS
- 12 WHAT WOULD BE THE PERCENTAGE? I MEAN...

13

14 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: RIGHT NOW, YOU'RE AT 5 PERCENT
- 17 VERY LOW OR 10 PERCENT LOW.

18

19 SPEAKER: RIGHT. YOU WANT SOME MIX.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE? 5
- 22 PERCENT LOW, 5 PERCENT VERY LOW, 10 PERCENT MODERATE? 5
- 23 PERCENT LOW OR VERY LOW AND 10 PERCENT MODERATE? I DON'T KNOW
- 24 WHAT THE...



- 1 SPEAKER: AND THEN IN TERMS OF THE LIKE FOR LIKE REPLACEMENT
- 2 BECAUSE THAT HAS AN IMPACT. I THINK WHAT I HEARD TODAY IS THAT
- 3 WE'RE LEANING IN THAT DIRECTION, SO OUR ANALYSIS WILL INCLUDE
- 4 THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU HEARD FROM TWO PEOPLE WHO WERE
- 7 LEANING IN THAT DIRECTION AND EVERYBODY ELSE DIDN'T SPEAK TO
- 8 IT BUT I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM BOTH.

9

10 **SPEAKER:** ALL, OKAY.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU'VE ALREADY GOT THE NUMBERS ON
- 13 THE NOT LIKE-FOR-LIKE.

14

15 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR OR ACTUALLY CHAIRMAN, I GUESS, FORGIVE ME.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOUR EXCELLENCY WILL DO.
- 18 (LAUGHTER)

19

- 20 SPEAKER: YOUR EXCELLENCY. DO YOU WANT US TO SIMULATE A
- 21 SITUATION IN WHICH THE MODERATE ARE FITTED INSIDE THE 10
- 22 PERCENT OR ARE ADDED TO IT? BECAUSE WE HAVE A 10 PERCENT KIND
- 23 OF...

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHAT DOES THE MELLO ACT SAY?

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SPEAKER: IT DOESN'T. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO, I THOUGHT YOU SAID-- WHAT DID 4 5 YOU SAY IN THE ANSWER TO MY PREVIOUS QUESTION? 6 SPEAKER: WITH RESPECT TO INCLUSIONARY HOUSING, THE MELLO ACT 7 8 SAYS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT, THE GOVERNMENT SHALL PROVIDE UNITS FOR PERSONS OF LOW OR MODERATE INCOME. 10 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT DOESN'T HAVE A 12 PERCENTAGE. 13 14 SPEAKER: IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY PERCENTAGE. NO QUANTIFIABLE 15 REQUIREMENTS. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, YOUR STAFF RECOMMENDATION 17 18 TODAY AS IT CAME BEFORE US WAS FOR EITHER 5 VERY LOW OR 10 19 PERCENT LOW. 20 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT. 21 22 23 SPEAKER: YES, SIR.

The Meeting Transcript of

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SUPERVISOR KNABE: SO YOU ADD A PIECE, WHAT, 10 PERCENT
- 2 MODERATE?

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT WOULD BE ONE OPTION. I THINK
- 5 YOU SHOULD GIVE US A COUPLE OF OPTIONS. BECAUSE, IF WE ORDER
- 6 IT TODAY, IT'S AS THOUGH WE'RE PREDETERMINING IT. I'D LIKE--
- 7 DO ONE WITH 10 PERCENT MODERATE ON TOP OF IT. DO IT WITH 10
- 8 PERCENT INCLUSIVE OF EVERYTHING ELSE OF MODERATE. JUST DO A
- 9 COUPLE OF OPTIONS SO THAT WE CAN TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

10

11 **SPEAKER:** OKAY.

12

13 SUPERVISOR KNABE: SOUNDS LIKE A DEFINITE MAYBE.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR BURKE: IF YOU'RE GOING TO THREE CATEGORIES, IT IS
- 16 MORE COMPLICATED.

17

- 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE.
- 19 IT IS MORE COMPLICATED BUT IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE IF THEY CAN
- 20 HELP SHAPE IT.

21

22 SPEAKER: WE WILL DO OUR BEST.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WORK WITH OUR STAFFS. GET
- 2 SOME-- THEY HAVE IDEAS. THEY'RE WHISPERING IN MY EAR, MY
- 3 STAFF. TALK TO THEM.

4

- 5 SPEAKER: BEFORE WE DO THE SIMULATION, I'D LIKE TO DRAW YOUR
- 6 ATTENTION TO ONE NUMERIC RELATIONSHIP WHICH IS EXTREMELY
- 7 POWERFUL AND THAT IS THAT THE PROPORTION OF AFFORDABLE
- 8 HOUSING, WHETHER IT IS MODERATE, LOW OR VERY LOW, IS THE
- 9 SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT NUMBER. IF YOU RAISE THAT, FOR EXAMPLE,
- 10 FROM 10 TO 15 PERCENT, EVEN IF THE RAISE IS ONLY MODERATE, IT
- 11 WILL HAVE A HUGE IMPACT ON YOUR INCOME. SO THE CHANGES WITHIN
- 12 THE 10 PERCENT, IF YOU WISH, IS LESS DRAMATIC THAN CHANGING--
- 13 SO WITH THAT, HAVING MADE THAT STATEMENT, DO YOU STILL WANT US
- 14 TO SIMULATE AT LEAST ONE PROFILE IN WHICH THE TOTAL NUMBER
- 15 GOES ABOVE 10 PERCENT? BECAUSE IT WILL BE A DRAMATIC-- IT WILL
- 16 BE A DRAMATIC REDUCTION IN YOUR INCOME.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALLAN, HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE YOU
- 19 TO RUN A PROFILE? PUSH OF THE BUTTON AFTER YOU INPUT THE
- 20 STUFF? SO JUST GIVE US THE PROFILE JUST SO THAT WE SEE IT. I
- 21 THINK THE PUBLIC OUGHT TO SEE IT SO THAT THEY KNOW WHAT WE'RE
- 22 WRESTLING WITH. BUT I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

- 24 SUPERVISOR BURKE: WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING IS THAT THE MODERATE
- 25 GO OVER THE 10 PERCENT?



1 SPEAKER: I'M ASKING. 2 3 SUPERVISOR BURKE: YOU'RE ASKING. BUT I'M TRYING TO FIND OUT 4 5 WHAT IS THE ISSUE OF WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT. THAT'S WHY I'D LIKE TO SEE IT. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M LOOKING AT BOTH OF THEM. 9 SUPERVISOR BURKE: ABOVE 10 OR BELOW 10? 10 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND AT 10. 12 13 SUPERVISOR KNABE: BUT THE DENSITY BONUS DOESN'T APPLY TO 14 MODERATE, IS THAT CORRECT? 15 16 SPEAKER: NO, IT DOES NOT. 17 18 19 SUPERVISOR KNABE: IT DOES NOT APPLY. THE DENSITY BONUS DOES 20 NOT APPLY TO MODERATE. 21 22 SUPERVISOR BURKE: SEE, THAT'S WHERE IT IS INCONSISTENT. 23 SUPERVISOR KNABE: DENSITY BONUS DOES NOT APPLY TO MODERATE 24 25 INCOME, IS THAT CORRECT? RICK?



1

2 SPEAKER: I'M NOT CERTAIN. THERE MAY BE A DENSITY BONUS OFFERED

- 3 FOR SOME MODERATE INCOME. NOT AT ALL? IT'S JUST LOW OR VERY
- 4 LOW I'M BEING TOLD.

5

- 6 SUPERVISOR KNABE: DENNIS? IT JUST SAID FOR SALE AND NOT RENTAL
- 7 ON MODERATE, OKAY.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, WE HAD THE-- DIDN'T SEEM TO
- 10 INTIMIDATE THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORTED THE POLICY. THEY WERE
- 11 ADVOCATING FOR MORE MODERATE, SO MAYBE THEY DON'T NEED THE
- 12 DENSITY BONUS AS MUCH. ANYWAY, I THINK THERE'S AN OPTION HERE
- 13 THAT WE OUGHT TO LOOK AT AND SEE WHAT INSTEAD OF TALKING
- 14 HYPOTHETICALLY, SEE THE REAL WORLD.

15

- 16 SUPERVISOR KNABE: JUST AS LONG AS IT'S IN COMPARATIVE
- 17 SITUATIONS.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M ASKING FOR COMPARISONS, NOT
- 20 PREDETERMINING IT. AND APPLES-TO-APPLES NUMBERS. IF YOU'RE
- 21 USING NET PRESENT VALUE, THEN USE IT ON ALL THE COMPARISONS.
- 22 THANK YOU. ANY OBJECTION TO A TWO-WEEK CONTINUANCE? REPORT
- 23 BACK?

24

25 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: AS LONG AS WE GET OUR REPORT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND TRY TO GET THE REPORT TO US BY
- 3 CLOSE OF BUSINESS THE WEDNESDAY BEFORE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 4 GLORIA, YOU'RE STILL UP.

5

6 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: OH, I'M SORRY. THAT'S ALL I HAD.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 10 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I WOULD MOVE THAT WE CONTINUE ITEM 5 I WAS
- 11 HOLDING. BUT, BEFORE I DO THAT, LET ME DO MY ADJOURNMENTS. I
- 12 MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF THE HONORABLE JUANITA
- 13 MILLENDER-MCDONALD. I THINK THAT, FIRST OF ALL, I REALLY
- 14 APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT WE HAD FOUR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AT
- 15 THE SERVICES. IT WAS A VERY-- I THOUGHT A REAL TRIBUTE TO
- 16 CONGRESSWOMAN MILLINGER-MCDONALD. SHE HAD SERVED AS A
- 17 COUNCILWOMAN. SHE HAD SERVED AS A MEMBER OF THE STATE
- 18 LEGISLATURE. SHE WAS IN HER SEVENTH TERM. IT WAS CERTAINLY
- 19 SIGNIFICANT THAT SHE WAS THE FIRST AFRICAN-AMERICAN WOMAN TO
- 20 CHAIR A FULL COMMITTEE OF THE CONGRESS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 21 HUSBAND, JIM, FIVE CHILDREN, FIVE GRANDCHILDREN. AND IT WAS
- 22 REALLY IMPRESSIVE, I THINK, THAT HER CHILDREN WERE SO
- 23 ARTICULATE AND EXPRESSED HOW THEY FELT THAT SHE HAD GIVEN THEM
- 24 HER PRIORITY. BUT WE ALL HAD TO RECOGNIZE THAT THIS IS
- 25 EXTRAORDINARY FOR ANYONE TO WORK UNTIL LITERALLY THE LAST DAY



- 1 BEFORE THEY'RE TOTALLY INCAPACITATED. AND SHE STOPPED WORKING,
- 2 I GUESS, THREE OR FOUR DAYS BEFORE HER PASSING.

3

- 4 SUPERVISOR KNABE: SHE VOTED ONE WEEK BEFORE HER PASSING.
- 5 THAT'S JUST INCREDIBLE.

- 7 SUPERVISOR BURKE: RIGHT. AND THAT WAS THE KIND OF A DEDICATION
- 8 THAT YOU JUST FIND SO FEW PEOPLE. AND I HEARD AGAIN AND AGAIN
- 9 PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON WHO SAID, WHEN SHE WAS OBVIOUSLY ILL, SHE
- 10 FELT SHE HAD AN OBLIGATION TO SOME OF THE ORGANIZATIONS, TO
- 11 STAFF PEOPLE, TO TRY TO GO OUT AND MAKE PRESENTATIONS, TO
- 12 APPEAR IN CONGRESS AND TO CERTAINLY BE ABLE TO OPERATE HER
- 13 COMMITTEE BECAUSE THIS WAS VERY SIGNIFICANT. IT WAS
- 14 SIGNIFICANT TO HER. IT WAS SIGNIFICANT IN TERMS OF ALL OF US
- 15 WHO WERE INTERESTED IN LAW AND IN GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATION
- 16 AND IT WAS TO HER CREDIT THAT SHE WAS WILLING TO TAKE THAT
- 17 COMMITTEE, WHICH SHE KNEW WAS A TREMENDOUS OBLIGATION, KNOWING
- 18 AT THE SAME TIME SHE WAS ILL AND TO SERVE IT WELL IN THE SHORT
- 19 TIME THAT SHE WAS ABLE TO DO IT. WE'LL ALL MISS HER. I KNOW
- 20 HER CONSTITUENTS WILL MISS HER. SHE WORKED VERY HARD ON SOME
- 21 OF OUR ISSUES. THOSE OF US WHO WERE CONCERNED ABOUT MARTIN
- 22 LUTHER KING HOSPITAL, SHE WORKED ON THAT EXTENSION AND HAD
- 23 CONVERSATION AFTER CONVERSATION WITH FIRST MCCLELLAN, WHO SHE
- 24 HAD A GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH. SHE HAD CONVERSATIONS LATER WITH
- 25 LESLIE NORWOOD. SHE HAD CONTINUING CONVERSATIONS AND SHE OF



- 1 COURSE WAS PART OF THE LETTER OF THE DELEGATION THAT WENT IN
- 2 TO C.M.S. SHE TOOK A VERY STRONG POSITION ON PUBLIC WORKS
- 3 ISSUES AND TRANSPORTATION ISSUES THAT AFFECTED M.T.A. WHEN SHE
- 4 WAS ON PUBLIC WORKS. SO SHE WAS SOMEONE THAT REALLY THE COUNTY
- 5 DEPENDED UPON. WE COULD CALL ON HER. AND I WOULD MOVE THAT ALL
- 6 OF US JOIN IN ADJOURNING IN HER MEMORY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL MEMBERS. WE'LL ADJOURN IN HER
- 9 MEMORY.

- 11 SUPERVISOR BURKE: AND HERMAN RILEY, A JAZZ SAXOPHONIST WHO
- 12 PASSED AWAY ON APRIL 14TH OF HEART FAILURE AT THE AGE OF 73. A
- 13 FAVORITE AMONG ARTISTS IN THE INDUSTRY BECAUSE OF HIS
- 14 REMARKABLE MUSIC ABILITIES. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY
- 15 HIS WIFE, THELMA, AND DAUGHTER CHANEL. AND DENNIS HUNT, VICE
- 16 PRESIDENT OF COMMUNICATION AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS FOR THE
- 17 CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT PASSED AWAY APRIL 22ND IN A TRAGIC CAR
- 18 ACCIDENT, WITH MORE THAN 30 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN PUBLIC
- 19 MEDIA AFFAIRS, HE PLAYED A KEY ROLE IN HELPING THE CALIFORNIA
- 20 ENDOWMENT IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF CALIFORNIANS. HE LEAVES TO
- 21 CHERISH HIS MEMORY A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. I DID NOT
- 22 WRITE UP AN ADJOURNMENT FOR PAUL POSENER. I ASSUME SOMEONE
- 23 ELSE WAS GOING TO DO THAT AND JACK VALENTE. BUT I WOULD ADD
- 24 PAUL POSENER. I WILL GET YOU THE INFORMATION. THERE WAS A
- 25 EXCELLENT ARTICLE IN THE L.A. TIMES ON HIM.



1 2 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BY UNANIMOUS VOTE. 3 SUPERVISOR BURKE: OKAY. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU WANT TO CONTINUE ITEM 5? 6 7 8 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I'LL CONTINUE ITEM 5 FOR A WEEK? SHOULD THAT BE SUFFICIENT FOR EVERYBODY TO GET THEIR...? I KNOW SOME PEOPLE HAD AMENDMENTS? 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION IT WILL BE 12 13 CONTINUED ONE WEEK. ITEM 5. 14 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I'LL CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 51. 15 16 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY WE HAVE THE CARDS ON 17 18 PEOPLE THAT WANT TO BE HEARD? PETER BAXTER? AND EZRA LEVY? AND 19 WHILE THEY'RE COMING UP, I WILL JUST SAY TO THE MEMBERS, I 20 SENT MY STAFF OUT WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS PEOPLE SINCE THE LAST MEETING TO INSPECT THIS SITE. I THINK MY STAFF HAS SHOWN YOUR 21 22 STAFFS, IF NOT YOU DIRECTLY, SOME OF THE PICTURES-- OR THE 23 PICTURES OF THE WALL. I AM NOW CONVINCED AND MR. LEVY WILL HAVE HIS OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD BUT I AM NOW CONVINCED THAT 24 OUR PUBLIC WORKS PEOPLE WERE ABSOLUTELY CORRECT. THIS IS NOT A 25



- 1 GOOD JOB. IT OUGHT TO BE-- WHAT'S THE TECHNICAL TERM? WE FOUND
- 2 A DEFAULT? YEAH. THIS PIECE OF THE WALL FELL INTO MY STAFF
- 3 MEMBER'S PALM WHEN SHE WAS JUST TOUCHING THE WALL AND THE
- 4 PICTURES SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES. SO I'M GOING TO, AT THE
- 5 APPROPRIATE TIME, AFTER WE HEAR, I'LL MAKE A MOTION CONSISTENT
- 6 WITH THAT. MR. BAXTER?

7

- 8 PETER BAXTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF YOUR HONORABLE BOARD,
- 9 MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, MY NAME IS PETER BAXTER AND
- 10 I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THAT I HAD
- 11 SEVERAL QUESTIONS FOR THIS-- CONCERNING THIS RETAINING WALL,
- 12 WHICH DIDN'T SEEM TO BE CLEAR TO ME LAST TIME YOU HAD A
- 13 HEARING. AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK MR. DONALD WOLFE,
- 14 THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS, THE SUBSTANCE OF MY QUESTIONS
- 15 AND HE VERY GRACIOUSLY AND FULLY AND PATIENTLY ANSWERED ALL MY
- 16 OUESTIONS. SO I REALLY HAVE NOTHING TO SAY EXCEPT THANK YOU
- 17 FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME AND MAKE MY POSITION ON IT CLEAR.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. BAXTER.

20

21 PETER BAXTER: OKAY, THANK YOU.

- 23 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT A BOSTON RED SOX
- 24 JACKET YOU'RE WEARING? IT LOOKS LIKE A BOSTON RED SOX JACKET.
- 25 MR. LEVI? YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES. SERGEANT, WOULD YOU--



- 1 SERGEANT, WOULD YOU GET THE DOCUMENTS? ALL RIGHT. START THE
- 2 CLOCK.

3

- 4 SPEAKER: I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS THAT THE BOARD DID GET OUR
- 5 PACKAGE THAT WE BROUGHT LAST WEDNESDAY? WE SERVED ON THE BOARD
- 6 A PACKAGE OF DOCUMENTS REGARDING TEST RESULTS THAT WERE
- 7 PERFORMED ON THE WALL?

8

- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I DON'T KNOW. NOTHING WAS
- 10 SERVED ON MY...

11

12 SPEAKER: WE DELIVERED SIX PACKETS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, WE DID RECEIVE IT. THIS IS
- 15 YOUR TIME TO BE HEARD, OKAY? YOU'RE ABOUT TO BE FOUND IN
- 16 DEFAULT, I BELIEVE. SO IF YOU WANT TO SPEAK TO THAT ISSUE,
- 17 NOW'S THE TIME TO DO IT. YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES AND 21 SECONDS
- 18 LEFT.

19

20 EZRA LEVI: OKAY, LAST TIME, I HAVE BEEN ASKED BY...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE, PLEASE.



- 1 EZRA LEVI: I HAVE BEEN ASKED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA LAST TIME TO
- 2 PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME INFORMATION SO WE CAN INVESTIGATE THE
- 3 ISSUE AND I HAVE PROVIDED A FILE IN THIS SIZE TO EACH MEMBER.

4

5 SPEAKER: AND THAT WAS DELIVERED LAST WEDNESDAY.

6

- 7 EZRA LEVI: AND I DON'T THINK ANY JUSTICE CAN BE SERVED UNLESS
- 8 THE BOARD WILL LOOK INTO THIS. I'M STANDING HERE AGAIN AND
- 9 AGAIN. I HAVE DONE NOTHING WRONG. THE WALL IS 100 PERCENT
- 10 PERFECT. IF THERE IS SOME PROBLEM WITH THE FACE OF THE WALL...

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. LEVI...

13

14 EZRA LEVI: YES.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 100 PERCENT PERFECT? IS THAT WHAT
- 17 YOU CALL PERFECT? IS THIS WHAT YOU CALL PERFECT? IS THIS WHAT
- 18 YOU CALL PERFECT? HOW ABOUT THIS WALL, WHICH LOOKS LIKE IT'S
- 19 ABOUT TO COLLAPSE? IT'S GOT MORE BENDS IN IT THAN THE RIO
- 20 GRANDE RIVER.

21

22 EZRA LEVI: CAN I LOOK AT THE PICTURE?

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OF COURSE YOU CAN. BE HAPPY TO
- 25 GIVE YOU AN ENTIRE SET OF YOUR OWN. I THINK YOU'RE FAMILIAR



- 1 WITH THE SITE. I DON'T THINK THIS IS NEWS TO YOU. THIS IS MY
- 2 DEPUTY'S THUMB IN ONE OF THE HOLES IN YOUR 100 PERCENT PERFECT
- 3 WALL. HERE IS ANOTHER FINGER IN YOUR 100 PERCENT PERFECT WALL.
- 4 HERE IS A CRACK THAT'S BIG ENOUGH TO RUN A GRAPEFRUIT THROUGH
- 5 IN YOUR 100 PERCENT PERFECT WALL.

6

7 EZRA LEVI: THIS IS A COLD JOINT.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS A WHAT?

10

11 EZRA LEVI: THIS IS A COLD JOINT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS A WALL THAT'S FALLING
- 14 APART. THIS CORE JOINT, SHMORE JOINT, THIS IS A WALL THAT'S
- 15 FALLING APART. THE QUESTION IS: IS THIS WALL, WAS THIS WALL
- 16 BUILT THE WAY IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE BUILT AS A FINISHED
- 17 PRODUCT? IS IT THE PRODUCT WE WERE LOOKING FOR? I THINK ANY
- 18 TOM, DICK OR HARRY WOULD KNOW THAT IT'S NOT. AND I'M JUST A
- 19 TOM, DICK OR HARRY. OUR EXPERTS HAVE ALREADY MADE THAT
- 20 DETERMINATION.

21

- 22 EZRA LEVI: MR. YAROSLAVSKY, YOU'RE MAKING A VERY SERIOUS
- 23 ALLEGATION, YOU MUST GIVE ME A CHANCE TO SPEAK.

24

25 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I GAVE YOU A CHANCE.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 EZRA LEVI: NO, I DON'T HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'LL GIVE YOU ANOTHER MINUTE AND A 4 5 HALF SINCE I TOOK ABOUT A MINUTE AND A HALF OF YOUR TIME. GO AHEAD. 6 7 8 EZRA LEVI: THIS WALL DESIGNED IN TWO SECTIONS AND THERE IS A CORE JOINT BETWEEN, SPLIT BETWEEN ONE SECTION TO THE OTHER. MY 9 ISSUES HERE, THAT WHOEVER IS UNDER YOU IS PROVIDING YOU WITH 10 FALSE INFORMATION. I AM RESPONSIBLE TO WHAT STATEMENT I'M 11 MAKING NOW. YOU HAVE BEEN PROVIDED BY FALSE INFORMATION. I 12 TRIED TO GET TO YOUR OFFICE TO LET YOU KNOW WHAT'S GOING AND I 13 HAVE BEEN BLOCKED. I HAVE BEEN DEFORMED, MY CHARACTER HAS BEEN 14 15 DESTROYED. ALL THE INFORMATION YOU HAVE, I AM MAKING STATEMENT 16 RIGHT HERE, IT IS FALSE. I WILL GO TO COURT WITH THIS AND I WILL PROVE IT. IT IS YOUR JOB TO BRING JUSTICE. ALL THE 17 18 INFORMATION I'M MAKING IT AGAIN HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO YOU IS 19 WRONG. THERE WAS TWO ENGINEERS INDEPENDENTS. THEY 20 THIS WALL. NOTHING IS WRONG. THEY ARE STATING 21 BLACK AND WHITE. THE TEST WAS DONE BY L.A. COUNTY WAS DONE 22 WRONG, IMPROPER. SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED. SMITH AND EMORY. 23 HOW MANY YEARS IN BUSINESS? THEIR ENGINEERS LOOKED AT YOUR OUTCOME OF THE DEPARTMENT, HE SAY IT'S A SHAME WHAT THEY DONE 24

TO THE WALL. YOU LOOKING AT THE PICTURE, TELL ME IS A CRACK.



- 1 THIS IS A COLD JOINT. ANY PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WOULD TELL
- 2 YOU. WHO IS PROVIDING YOU THIS INFORMATION? THIS IS
- 3 IMPOSSIBLE. YOU MUST, I'M SAYING, SLANDER ME, DO WHATEVER I
- 4 DESERVE, INVESTIGATE INTO THIS BY OTHERS. L.A. COUNTY
- 5 DEPARTMENT CLIMB ON A TREE AND EVERY TIME I TRY TO GO TO
- 6 SUPERVISOR, IT JUST GETS WORSE. THEY ATTACK ON ME AND IT GOT
- 7 WORSE AND GOT WORSE. THEY BLOCK ANY PLACE WHERE I CAN GO TO
- 8 PROVIDE WITH ALL OF YOU SOMETHING IS WRONG HERE AND IT'S NOT
- 9 ME.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY, THANK YOU. THANK YOU. YOUR
- 12 TIME IS UP.

13

- 14 EZRA LEVI: I'M NOT DONE, PLEASE. I HAVE TO TELL YOU THIS
- 15 BECAUSE YOU ARE ABOUT TO RULE INJUSTICE AND I WANT A CHANCE
- 16 TO...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU HAD ENOUGH TIME. YOU SPOKE TWO
- 19 WEEKS AGO. WE KNOW YOUR POSITION. IT IS VERY CLEAR. IF YOU
- 20 WANT TO GO TO COURT, I UNDERSTAND THAT. NO OFFENSE. PLEASE,
- 21 WE'LL SEE YOU IN COURT. THAT WILL BE FINE.

22

- 23 EZRA LEVI: YOU SHOWED ME A PICTURE OF THE COLD JOINT, YOU'RE
- 24 CALLING IT A CRACK.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. LEVI, I DIDN'T USE THE WORD
- 2 CROCK. YOU USED IT. BUT I WON'T DISPUTE IT. I'M GOING TO MOVE
- 3 THAT WE SUSTAIN THE PUBLIC WORKS' DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION
- 4 AND FIND THE CONTRACTOR IN DEFAULT.

5

6 EZRA LEVI: SODOM AND GOMORRAH I WILL JUSTICE.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SECOND BY MISS MOLINA. IS THERE
- 9 ANY OBJECTION? WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE. THANK YOU.

10

11 EZRA LEVI: THE BOARD MADE ITS MIND BEFORE I COME HERE.

12

- 13 SUPERVISOR MOLINA: THAT'S NOT TRUE. WE GAVE YOU EVERY
- 14 OPPORTUNITY.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU KNOW, TWO WEEKS AGO, WE HAD
- 17 THIS ITEM BEFORE US AND WE WAITED TWO WEEKS SO-- AND MY
- 18 COLLEAGUES ALL INDULGED ME IN THE TWO WEEKS.

19

20 EZRA LEVI: WHY WERE TWO ENGINEERS...

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET ME FINISH. NOT THAT I-- THEY
- 23 INDULGED ME SO THAT I COULD SEND MY STAFF OUT TO SEE THE SITE.
- 24 WE GAVE YOU EVERY OPPORTUNITY. I SIDED ON THE SIDE OF CAUTION,
- 25 ON YOUR SIDE OVER THE DEPARTMENT. THEY WANTED US TO ACT ON IT



- 1 TWO WEEKS AGO. I WANTED TO SEE WITH IT MY OWN EYES. AND SO WE
- 2 SENT MY STAFF MEMBER OF 32 YEARS TO SEE IT WITH HER OWN EYES.
- 3 SHE TOOK THESE PICTURES. BROUGHT THEM BACK TO ME. SHE BROUGHT
- 4 THIS BACK TO ME. WE HAVE GIVEN YOU MORE. WE'VE BENT OVER
- 5 BACKWARDS TO BE FAIR TO YOU. AND THAT'S THE DECISION WE'VE
- 6 MADE. IF YOU FEEL YOU'VE BEEN WRONGED AND YOU WANT TO TAKE IT
- 7 TO COURT, WE'LL DEAL WITH IT IN COURT. I DON'T THINK THAT'S
- 8 ADVISABLE BUT THAT'S YOUR BUSINESS.

10 EZRA LEVI: THE ENGINEER WOULD WRITE...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M NOT GOING TO GET-- WE'RE DONE
- 13 WITH THIS ITEM. NEXT ITEM. SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOU'RE STILL UP.

15 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH ONE? A-3?

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THE

- 20 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC HAS DECLINED AND DECIDED NOT TO SPEAK ON
- 21 THIS ITEM.

9

14

16

18

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEN THERE'S NO ACTION.
- 25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE'S NO ACTION ON THIS ITEM.



1

- 2 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD ASK THAT WE ADJOURN
- 3 IN THE MEMORY OF JACK VALENTE, ALL MEMBERS. AND I'LL GET YOU
- 4 THE INFORMATION. I THINK WE ALL KNEW HIM AND HAD A CHANCE TO
- 5 MEET WITH HIM. HE WAS A COLORFUL INDIVIDUAL WHO STARTED AN
- 6 ILLUSTRIOUS CAREER IN TEXAS AND THEN IN GOVERNMENT WITH
- 7 PRESIDENT JOHNSON AND THEN BECAME A FIXTURE IN THE MOTION
- 8 PICTURE INDUSTRY AS THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE MOTION PICTURE
- 9 INDUSTRY, THE ALLIANCE OF MOTION PICTURE-- THE ASSOCIATION IN
- 10 WASHINGTON FOR SEVERAL DECADES. THERE WAS NEVER A TIME WHEN I
- 11 MET WITH HIM OR SAW HIM WHEN I DIDN'T FEEL LIKE I USED TO FEEL
- 12 WHEN I WENT TO SEE SANDY COLFAX. SOMETHING WOULD HAPPEN WHEN
- 13 YOU SAW JACK VALENTE YOU WOULD REMEMBER AND IT ALWAYS DID. HIS
- 14 STORIES THAT HE REGALED YOU ABOUT, PERSONALITIES IN THE '60S
- 15 AND '70S IN GOVERNMENT AND EVEN TODAY. HE WAS A CLASS ACT.
- 16 IT'S A GREAT LOSS TO THE MOVIE INDUSTRY AND ALSO TO THE
- 17 COUNTRY. SO ALL MEMBERS ON THAT.

18

- 19 SUPERVISOR BURKE: I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN SAYING THAT JACK WAS
- 20 ALWAYS SUCH A GRACIOUS PERSON. WHEN I THINK BACK ON THE YEARS
- 21 IN CONGRESS, PROBABLY HIS ENTERTAINMENT AND HIS APPROACH TO
- 22 THE CONGRESS ARE THE THINGS THAT YOU REMEMBER MOST PLEASANTLY.
- 23 WONDERFUL. AND AFTER THAT, AS WELL.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, HE WAS ONE OF A KIND. ALSO
- 2 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF A MSTISLAV ROSTROPOVICH,
- 3 A LEGENDARY RUSSIAN CELLIST AND CONDUCTOR, WHO WAS RENOWNED
- 4 FOR BOTH HIS MUSICAL ACHIEVEMENT AND AS A CHAMPION OF HUMAN
- 5 RIGHTS IN THE CRITIC OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION'S REGIME. HE
- 6 PASSED AWAY LAST WEEK AT THE AGE OF 80 FOLLOWING A BOUT WITH
- 7 CANCER. HIS REPERTORY INCLUDED A NUMBER OF CELEBRATED WORKS
- 8 WRITTEN ESPECIALLY FOR HIM BY SOME OF THE 20TH CENTURY'S
- 9 LEADING COMPOSERS. AMONG THEM DIMITRI SHOSTAKOVICH, PROKOVIEF,
- 10 BRITTEN, KABALEVSKY, PENDERECKI AND MANY OTHERS. IN THE LATE
- 11 '60S AND '70S, HE PERSONALLY TOOK IN A RUSSIAN DISSIDENT,
- 12 ALEXANDER SOLZHENITSYN AND SHELTERED HIM FOR FOUR YEARS WHILE
- 13 ATTEMPTING TO INTERCEDE ON HIS BEHALF WITH THE AUTHORITIES.
- 14 FOR HIS PAINS, HIS SOVIET CITIZENSHIP WAS ULTIMATELY REVOKED
- 15 IN 1978. HE WAS FORCED TO TRAVEL ON SWISS DOCUMENTS BUT THE
- 16 PERESTROIKA REFORM UNDER MIKHAIL GORBACHEV FOLLOWING THE
- 17 SOVIET UNION'S COLLAPSE AND THE FALL OF THE BERLIN WALL IN
- 18 1989 ULTIMATELY RESTORED HIS CITIZENSHIP AND HE WENT BACK TO
- 19 RUSSIA TO PASS AWAY, ACTUALLY, IN MOSCOW. HE IS SURVIVED BY
- 20 HIS WIFE OF 52 YEARS, GALINA VISHNEVSKAYA, A SOPRANO, GREAT
- 21 OPERA SINGER. AND TWO DAUGHTERS, OLGA AND ELENA. ROSTROPOVICH
- 22 WAS NOT A STRANGER TO LOS ANGELES. HE CAME HERE A NUMBER OF
- 23 TIMES. I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF HEARING HIM. THE FIRST TIME WAS
- 24 IN A SMALL RECITAL OF ABOUT 100 PEOPLE AND I WILL SAY THAT I
- 25 THINK, OF ALL THE MUSICAL EXPERIENCES I'VE EVER HAD, THAT ONE



- 1 IS AT THE TOP OF THE LIST. HE AND THE CELLO WERE ONE AND HE
- 2 SANG THROUGH THAT INSTRUMENT. IT WAS AN INCREDIBLE EXPERIENCE.
- 3 IT'S A REAL SAD DAY. ALSO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 4 JIM TATREAU, A LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT COMMANDER IN
- 5 CHARGE OF THE DEPARTMENT'S TRAINING GROUP WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 6 SUNDAY. HE PREVIOUSLY SERVED AS THE ASSISTANT COMMANDING
- 7 OFFICER OF THE OPERATION SOUTH BUREAU BUT IS PROBABLY BEST
- 8 KNOWN AS THE LONG SERVING HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT'S ELITE
- 9 ROBBERY HOMICIDE DIVISION WHERE HE OVERSAW SOME OF THE
- 10 DEPARTMENT'S MOST HIGH PROFILE CASES OVER THE YEARS. JIM WAS A
- 11 GOOD FRIEND TO ME WHEN I WAS IN CITY GOVERNMENT. HIS WIFE,
- 12 TAMMY, ALSO A POLICE OFFICER AND THEIR TWO KIDS ARE WONDERFUL,
- 13 WONDERFUL PEOPLE. JIM WAS THE PRESIDENT OF THE COMMAND
- 14 OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION FOR MANY YEARS IN WHICH HE REPRESENTED
- 15 THE INTERESTS OF THE COMMAND OFFICERS EXTREMELY FAIRLY AND
- 16 WELL. AND IT'S A REAL, REAL SAD LOSS AFTER A TWO YEAR BOUT
- 17 WITHOUT WITH BRAIN CANCER. AND LAST I ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 18 TERM OF MICHELLE AMANDA BECK WHITEHEAD, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT
- 19 OF AGOURA HILLS WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 61. SHE
- 20 WAS DEEPLY INVOLVED IN PUBLIC SERVICE THROUGH THE KIWANIS
- 21 CLUB, THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, THE Y.M.C.A., AND VARIOUS OTHER
- 22 CHARITIES AS WELL AS THE WELL KNOWN CALABASAS PUMPKIN
- 23 FESTIVAL. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, GORDON, A MEMBER OF
- 24 THE LAS VIRGENES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD AND SEVEN
- 25 CHILDREN. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS. WITHOUT OBJECTION,



- 1 UNANIMOUS VOTE. WHAT DID I DO-- THERE IT IS. AM I HOLDING
- 2 ANYTHING?

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 18 AND 81-F.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET'S TAKE 81. MY ONLY QUESTION ON
- 7 81-- THIS IS THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH ISSUE.

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, ANTELOPE VALLEY BLUE CROSS.

10

- 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT NOW THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT IS WILLING TO CONTINUE THAT PORTION THAT DEALS WITH
- 13 THE CONTRACT.

14

15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ITEM NUMBER 3.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MOVE ITEMS NUMBER 1 AND 2 THEN, CONTINUE ITEM
- 18 3 FOR ONE WEEK.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ONE WEEK ENOUGH? YEAH, OKAY.
- 21 WITHOUT OBJECTION THAT WILL BE THE ORDER. THAT TAKES CARE OF
- 22 81-F. AND ITEM 18? MIKE, THE ONLY THING-- I HAVE NO PROBLEM
- 23 WITH THE PREAMBLE OF WHAT IT APPEARS YOU WANT TO DO UNTIL YOU
- 24 GET TO THE END OF IT WHERE IT CALLS FOR-- I HAVE NO PROBLEM
- 25 WITH ALL OF THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE RECOMMENDING IN THE



1 PREAMBLE. THE ONLY THING I WANT TO ASK YOU IS, WHEN IT GETS TO

2 THE RESOLVED PART, IT SAYS DEVELOP A PLAN...

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AN APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS

5 WITH A REPORT BACK IN 60 DAYS.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS DIFFERENT THAN WHAT I SAW

8 YESTERDAY.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE REASON FOR THIS IS I HAD A DEPUTY OR AN
- 11 INTERN, AN ACADEMIC SCHOLAR, BOTH AT MASTERS AND LOWER DEGREES
- 12 WHO ENDED UP BEING HIRED BY THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES BECAUSE OF
- 13 THE PROCESS IT TAKES TO BE HIRED BY THE COUNTY ON THE WEB
- 14 PAGE. AND WE'RE JUST TRYING TO UPDATE THE WEB PAGE SO THAT
- 15 PEOPLE DON'T HAVE TO WAIT ONE YEAR TO KNOW WHAT THEY'RE GOING
- 16 TO DO.

17

- 18 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THIS CONFINED TO THE WEB
- 19 PAGE AND THOSE KIND OF THINGS? BECAUSE THE SECOND POINT JUST
- 20 SAID STREAMLINE JOB APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS.

21

- 22 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: RIGHT, BECAUSE, ON THERE, THEY DON'T
- 23 TELL YOU ON THE WEB PAGE IF IT'S BEEN ACCEPTED AND YOU CAN'T
- 24 ELECTRONICALLY SUBMIT. YOU HAVE TO MAIL IN THAT INFORMATION.



- 1 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THAT NUMBER 2 IS IN THE
- 2 CONTEXT OF THE WEB PAGE. AS LONG AS WE UNDERSTAND. I DON'T
- 3 HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. ALL RIGHT.

4

5 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: SO MOVED BY MR. CHAIRMAN.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOVES AND I'LL SECOND. UNANIMOUS
- 8 VOTE.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE ALSO HAVE ITEM 79, WHICH IS THE OTHER
- 11 PUBLIC HEARING ITEM.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. I'LL LET MIKE HANDLE
- 14 THAT. I'M DONE. MR. KNABE?

- 16 SUPERVISOR KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I WANT TO JOIN IN A
- 17 COUPLE FIRST OF ALL WITH JUANITA MILLENDER-MCDONALD. I KNOW
- 18 IT'S ALL MEMBERS BUT A LOT OF HER DISTRICT FELL WITHIN THE
- 19 CONFINES OF THE FOURTH DISTRICT. WE WORKED VERY CLOSELY OVER
- 20 THE YEARS ON NUMEROUS PROJECTS AND FROM THE SAVING THE L.A.
- 21 AIR FORCE BASE TO THE C-17 TO THE DAY-TO-DAY KINDS OF VARIOUS
- 22 ACTIVITIES OF COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS, SHE WAS A CLASSY
- 23 LADY. SHE WAS KNOWN FOR HER GREAT STYLE AND HER DETERMINATION.
- 24 AND SHE WAS AN ABSOLUTE PLEASURE TO WORK WITH. I THOUGHT, AS
- 25 YVONNE SAID, IT WAS JUST A BEAUTIFUL SERVICE YESTERDAY. I



- 1 THOUGHT THEY DID AN INCREDIBLE JOB THERE. ALSO I'D LIKE TO
- 2 JOIN IN THE ADJOURNMENT FOR DENNIS HUNT, AS WELL. THAT WAS A
- 3 REAL TRAGEDY WITH THE AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT. AND I'D ALSO LIKE
- 4 TO JOIN IN THE ADJOURNMENT FOR JIM TATREAU. GAVE HIS
- 5 BACKGROUND BUT JIM AND I, HE'S A LONG TIME RESIDENT OF
- 6 CERRITOS. OUR KIDS GREW UP TOGETHER IN THE PARK SYSTEM AND
- 7 PLAYED BALL TOGETHER AND FOOTBALL, BASEBALL, BASKETBALL IN THE
- 8 PARK LEAGUES. JIM AND I COACHED TOGETHER OVER THE YEARS. GREAT
- 9 GUY. GREAT FAMILY. HIS SON IS A DEPUTY SHERIFF. WORKS OUT OF
- 10 THE LAKEWOOD STATION. HE'S ALSO GOT ANOTHER SON IN THE MESA,
- 11 ARIZONA POLICE DEPARTMENT, AS WELL, IN ADDITION TO HIS WIFE.
- 12 SO I WANT TO JOIN IN JIMMY'S TRIBUTE. ALSO TO ADJOURN IN
- 13 MEMORY OF SOMEONE THAT'S BEEN IN AND OUT OF THIS COUNTY FOR A
- 14 LONG TIME. WE'VE ALL KNOWN HIM FOR MANY YEARS. A LONG TIME
- 15 FRIEND, RUSS SHIPMAN. RUSS PASSED AWAY. HE WAS PRESIDENT OF
- 16 RUSS SHIPMAN AND ASSOCIATES. A GOOD FRIEND. HE SERVED IN THE
- 17 UNITED STATES NAVY. HE WORKED FOR PACIFIC BELL FOR 28 YEARS.
- 18 HE STARTED HIS OWN CONSULTING BUSINESS. AND HE'S SURVIVED BY
- 19 HIS WIFE, CHERRY. HIS DAUGHTERS, PATRICIA, PAMELA, KATHLEEN.
- 20 HE WILL BE MISSED BY ALL HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. GREAT GUY.
- 21 ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR. WAYNE MILLER, LONG TIME MEMORY
- 22 OF OUR CERRITOS OPTIMISTS CLUB WHO PASSED AWAY OVER THE
- 23 WEEKEND. HE WAS A HARD WORKING MEMBER. PAST PRESIDENT. WAS
- 24 GOING TO RUN FOR GOVERNOR AND THEN BECAME ILL FOR THE
- 25 OPTIMISTS. SO HE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND



- 1 FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF FRANK SALLEE, WHO
- 2 LOST HIS BRAVE BATTLE WITH CANCER ON APRIL 9TH. NATIVE OF LOS
- 3 ANGELES, HE WAS A SPECIAL AGENT WITH WESTERN AIRLINES,
- 4 TRAVELER. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS BROTHER, KENNETH AND HIS TWO
- 5 SONS, MICHAEL AND JEFF. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

9 SUPERVISOR KNABE: I WAS NOT HOLDING ANYTHING.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 13 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO MAKE THE
- 14 FOLLOWING ADJOURNMENTS. FIRST, TO JEAN IAMURRI PURDY, WHO IS
- 15 THE SISTER OF MY DEPUTY J. C. IAMURRI, PASSED AWAY ON APRIL
- 16 21ST AWAY AFTER SUFFERING CANCER. SHE RETIRED AFTER 36 YEARS
- 17 OF SERVICE AT THE AZUSA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND SHE LEAVES
- 18 BEHIND TWO SONS AND FIVE BROTHERS AND SISTERS. SHE WAS A GREAT
- 19 BOOSTER AND FAN OF THE LOS ANGELES BASEBALL TEAM. PAM CAMERON,
- 20 32-YEAR EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. RESIDENT OF THE
- 21 ANTELOPE VALLEY, LANCASTER. SHE ALSO LOST HER BATTLE TO CANCER
- 22 AT THE AGE OF 62. ALSO JOINING IN JIM TATREAU, THE L.A.P.D.
- 23 COMMANDER. DR. JAMES CLEARLY, SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE
- 24 CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY NORTHRIDGE FOR 23 YEARS. DURING
- 25 THAT TIME, HE PROVIDED GREAT LEADERSHIP IN HAVING A GREAT



- 1 UNIVERSITY BUILT AND THE ARCHITECTURE THAT WAS BEAUTIFUL TO
- 2 THE SURROUNDING VALLEYS. GREW FROM 18,500 STUDENTS TO MORE
- 3 THAN 30,000 STUDENTS OFFERING OVER 90 ACADEMIC DEGREES. HE WAS
- 4 A GOOD PERSON TO WORK WITH AND A GREAT REPRESENTATIVE OF THE
- 5 SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. AUGUST CARLONI, WHO WAS A FOUNDER AND
- 6 PRESIDENT OF THE ITALIAN AMERICAN LAWYERS ASSOCIATION. ALSO
- 7 SERVED THE UNITED STATES MILITARY AS A MILITARY POLICE OFFICER
- 8 AND PURSUED A CAREER IN LAW, RECEIVING HIS LAW DEGREE FROM
- 9 CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY AND THE OHIO STATE BAR AND THEN
- 10 HELD VARYING POSITIONS IN THE ST. PETER'S ITALIAN CHURCH.
- 11 DANNY DURAN, SON OF LONG TIME LOS ANGELES COUNTY TREASURER,
- 12 MARTHA DURAN. FREDERICK HORN, RETIRED DETECTIVE OF THE LOS
- 13 ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. WILLIAM KRANTZ, HONORARY
- 14 MEMBER AND PAST MEMBER OF THE PASADENA TOURNAMENT OF ROSES AND
- 15 ACTIVE IN THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY. RESIDENT OF ALTADENA.
- 16 PAULINE METKOVICH, BORN IN CROATIA IN 1914. CAME TO THE UNITED
- 17 STATES AS A YOUNG WOMAN. LIVED HERE. PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF
- 18 92 AND ALSO WAS A COUSIN. TED PASHALIDES PASSED AWAY AT THE
- 19 AGE OF 87. A GOOD FRIEND. HE HAD A GREAT CAREER IN THE
- 20 FURNITURE INDUSTRY. WAS A MEMBER OF THE FURNITURE
- 21 MANUFACTURING ASSOCIATION, THE SPORTSMAN'S LODGE, AMERICAN
- 22 LEGION, LOS COYOTES COUNTRY CLUB, ST. SOPHIA'S GREEK ORTHODOX
- 23 CATHEDRAL. TED AND HIS WIFE, JEAN, WERE CLOSE FRIENDS AND WERE
- 24 WITH ME WHEN I FIRST BEGAN MY CAREER IN POLITICS. HE'S
- 25 ASSOCIATED WITH AL FREEDMAN, AL AND FRANCES FREEDMAN IN CALAC



- 1 FURNITURE. PERRY O'BRIEN, THE OLYMPIC GOLD MEDAL RECIPIENT OF
- 2 1952 AND '56 AND THE SILVER MEDAL RECIPIENT IN 1960. PASSED
- 3 AWAY AT THE AGE OF 75. HE WAS RECENTLY ELECTED TO THE UNITED
- 4 STATES TRACK AND FIELD HALL OF FAME AS WELL AS THE UNITED
- 5 STATES OLYMPIC HALL OF FAME. CHARLES "CHUCK" WESLEY OWENS WAS
- 6 A CONSTRUCTION SITE INSPECTOR FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 7 RESIDENT OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, PALMDALE. MEMBER OF THE FIRST
- 8 GRADUATING CLASS FROM PALMDALE HIGH SCHOOL. ROBERT RIEDENAUER,
- 9 CHIEF TEST PILOT FOR THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AND RECENT
- 10 CHAIRMAN OF THE PALMDALE AVIATION AEROSPACE COMMISSION. HIS
- 11 TASK WAS ADVISING THE L.A. PALMDALE REGIONAL AIRPORT. HE
- 12 GRADUATED THE TOP GUN OF HIS CLASS AT EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE
- 13 IN 1969, FLEW COMBAT MISSIONS AND, IN 1982, WAS THE TEST PILOT
- 14 FOR THE F-117 FIGHTER JET AND THE LOCKHEED MARTIN U-2 JET,
- 15 WHICH AIDED ADVANCES AND A CAREER LOG OF OVER 6,500 HOURS IN
- 16 50 DIFFERENT AIRCRAFT. HE LEAVE HIS WIFE, SHARON, AND HIS
- 17 CHILDREN, JEFF, SCOTT, DAUGHTERS, CHERYL AND KIMBERLY. AND HE
- 18 WAS ACTIVE IN THE VALLEY. MATAN ZAKS OF LITTLE ROCK. HE WAS
- 19 THE OWNER OF A.W.D. PERFORMANCE TUNING IN THE VALLEY AND WAS A
- 20 COMMUNITY LEADER AS WELL. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

,

24 **SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH:** I GUESS ITEM 79?

25

21



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 79, I'LL JUST READ THE SHORT TITLE
- 2 IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE HEARING TO PURCHASE REAL
- 3 PROPERTY LOCATED AT 30300 SAN MARTINEZ ROAD, UNINCORPORATED
- 4 VAL VERDE AREA FROM MARLENE MILLER FOR THE PURCHASE PRICE OF
- 5 215,000 PLUS CLOSING COSTS NOT TO EXCEED 5,000, FOR A TOTAL
- 6 COST OF 220,000. THERE WAS NO WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE PRESENTED
- 7 ON THIS MATTER.

8

- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANYBODY WANT TO BE HEARD ON
- 10 THIS ITEM? SEEING NONE, THE PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED.

11

- 12 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: MOVE THE HEARING BE CLOSED, WE APPROVE
- 13 THE ITEM AS RECOMMENDED BY THE DEPARTMENT.

14

- 15 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE HEARING IS CLOSED AND
- 16 THE ITEM'S BEFORE US. I'LL SECOND IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 17 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

18

19 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH: THAT'S ALL I HAVE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

20

- 21 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY, THANK YOU. MR. ANTONOVICH, THAT'S
- 22 ALL WE HAVE. EXCEPT FOR CLOSED SESSION.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT. IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT
- 25 REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF



- 2 NUMBER C.S.-1, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
- 3 EXISTING LITIGATION; ITEM NUMBER C.S.-3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL
- 4 COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, ONE
- 5 CASE; ITEM NUMBER C.S.-4, DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE
- 6 EVALUATIONS; AND ITEM NUMBER C.S.-5 CONFERENCE WITH LABOR
- 7 NEGOTIATORS DAVID E. JANSSEN AND DESIGNATED STAFF AS INDICATED
- 8 ON THE POSTED AGENDA. THANK YOU.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors May 1, 2007,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th
16	day of May 2007 for the County records to be used only for
17	authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	
24	